Combined Performance of Packet Scheduling and Smart Antennas for Data Transmission in EGPRS'

Francisco R. P. Cavalcanti, Waltemar M. de Sousa Jr., **Yuri** C. B. Silva, Tarcisio F. Maciel GEL-UFC: Wireless Telecom Research Group, Federal University of Ceara, Fortaleza, Brazil URL: www.gtel.ufc.br E-mail: {rod; waltemar; yuri; maciel}@gtel.ufc.br

Abslract - The capacity **of GERAN** is expected to be limited by interference, parricularly in urban areas, and by the quality of service (QoS) requirements demanded by the users. Smart antenna arrays employing beam-forming techniques, commonly known as adaptive antennas (AA), are an efficient technology in mitigating interference in urban areas with **low** azimuth spread. In **EGPRS,** the packet data service **of GERAN,** resource scheduling is responsible for multiplexing users on shared physical channels in order to best fulfill *QoS* requirements. By using more efficient scheduling algorithms, the resulting *QoS* is enhanced and, ultimately, it may be exchanged for capacity. In this work, the **QoS** and capacity gains provided by an AA system and **two** scheduling algorithms in several **EGPRS** system configurations are shown. Furthermore, it is demonstrated that, when combined, **a** tighter reuse scheme, a base station **AA sys**tem, and an enhanced scheduling algorithm *can* result in a capacity gain **of** up **to** approximately **450%** over the reference scenario.

I. INTRODUCTION

As mobile communications move into the third generation **(3G),** it is expected that mobile phone users **surpass** the one billion mark and packet-based multimedia services, including IP telephony, dominate wireless traffic, which will continue to push the ever increasing demand for capacity and bandwidth [I]. But, although new spectrum has been reserved for 3G systems worldwide, spectral efficiency remains a vital issue in next generation wireless technologies. The Universal Mobile Telecommunications System *(UMTS),* one of the leading 3G standards, has been designed to work **on** both existing *2G* and new 3G spectra.

UMTS' radio access network (RAN) technology, according to release ROO, may be either a GSMEDGE RAN (GEing to release R00, may be either a GSM/EDGE RAN (GERAN) or a WCDMA RAN (UTRAN). It is expected that UTRAN will be solely deployed on new spectrum, while **GERAN** may also be implemented on existing *2G* frequency bands, specially in those networks whose operators have chosen the General Packet Radio Service (GPRS), a technology that allows for packet transmission in GSM or TDMA **IS-136** systems, **as** a *2.5G* interim data transport solution.

The packet-switched service of **GERAN** is called Enhanced GPRS (EGPRS) and it is able to provide 3G services with data rates up to 384 kbps for wide area coverage. EGPRS achieves higher data rates and spectral efficiency than GPRS because it is built on top of the Enhanced Data Rates for Global Evolution (EDGE) concept, which uses **8-** PSK modulation in addition to GMSK and an efficient Link Quality Control (LQC) mechanism. There are two LQC modes in EDGE, namely Link Adaptation (LA) and Incremental Redundancy (IR). IR outperforms LA due to its faster response to variations in the link quality at the cost of increased complexity and implementation costs. LA has been specified **as** mandatory and IR as optional.

The capacity of GERAN is expected to be limited by interference, particularly in urban areas, and by the quality of service (QoS) requirements demanded by the users. Therefore, two ways of increasing system capacity are reducing the interference power level and optimizing radio resource sharing in order to best fulfill *QoS* requirements.

Intelligent or smart antenna arrays employing beamforming techniques, commonly **known as** adaptive antennas (AA), are **an** efficient technology in mitigating interference in urban **areas** with low azimuth spread. When'applied at the base station side *of* the radio communication link, the **AA** beam-forming network generates a radiation pattern with a narrow beam pointing at the estimated user direction, thus reducing the interference power level in other azimuth directions. This strategy may be explored in circuit-switched **as** well **as** in packet-switched systems, although it may be more complicated to do so in the latter due to in-band control signaling in the shared packet data channel.

EGPRS shares a physical data channel among several users exploring the bursty nature of packet-switched data and its tolerance to system delay. Resource scheduling is responsible for multiplexing users on the shared channel in order to best fulfill their QoS requirements. By using more efficient scheduling algorithms, the resulting *QoS* is enhanced and, ultimately, it may be exchanged for capacity.

This work **studies** the performance of resource scheduling in EGPRS combined with smart antennas technology. Several scheduling algorithms have already been evaluated in EGPRS systems **[2]** and advanced antenna technology **has** been shown to provide significant performance gains in such *systems* [3]. Section **II** describes the simulation tool and the scheduling algorithms used in this system performance evaluation. Section **III** shows the smart antenna model and the simulation parameters. In section N, results are presented and discussed. Finally, conclusions are drawn in section V.

^{&#}x27;This work is supported by a pant from Ericsron of Brazil - Research Branch under ERBB/UFC.01 Technical Cooperation Contract. URL: **www.ericssoo.ufc.br**

II. EDGESIM: EDGE SYSTEM-LEVEL SIMULATOR

EdgeSim is a dynamic discrete-time system-level simulation tool developed for the evaluation of EGPRS in the forward link (downlink). It consists of two main blocks: a radio environment simulator and a data traffic simulator. Only the forward link is considered since packet-switched mobile services are expected to be downlink-limited. This is due to the limited signal-processing capability in the MSs (constrained by size and power consumption) and the expected amount of asymmetrical data traffic.

A. Radio Environment Simulator

The radio environment simulator models the service area. user mobility, the radio channel and measures the radio quality perceived by each mobile terminal following recommendations from [4]. It models a macro cellular vehicular environment consisting of a uniform grid with a finite number of hexagonal tri-sectored cells, which may be organized in different frequency reuse patterns. Each sector has a radius of 500 m and the distance between two base stations (BSs) is 1500 m. Table I presents a summary of the radio environment simulator parameters used in this work.

Mobile stations (MSs) arrive at each cell sector according

TABLE II TRAFFIC MODEL PARAMETERS

Sessions		
Distribution for number of packet	Geometric	
calls per session		
Mean number of packet calls per	10 packet calls	
session		
Packet Calls		
Distribution for reading times	Truncated Pareto	
between packet calls		
Mean reading time between con-	10 _s	
secutive packet calls		
Pareto parameters: alpha, k, cut-off	1.4.3.45.120 s	
value		
Number of packets within a packet	1 packet	
call		
Packets		
Distribution for packet size	Lognormal	
Mean packet size	$4,100$ bytes	
Standard deviation of packet size	30,000 bytes	
Added TCP/IP header	50 bytes	
Maximum nachet eize	100.000 hytes	

to a Poisson process, the parameter of which is used to control the offered load, and are positioned according to a uniform area distribution. Every simulation time step. MSs move a distance equivalent to the time of four GSM radio frames. This is modeled as 20 ms when the effect of idle radio frames is taken into account. Mobility is characterized by mobile speeds of 120 km/h, 20% chance of changing direction (maximum turning angle of 45 degrees).

Border effects are avoided by means of a wrap-around technique (torus-shape model) [5,6]. The size of the grid depends on the number of interfering cells to be taken into account in the signal-to-interference ratio (SIR) calculation. It has been demonstrated that, for simulations not including power control, using two tiers of interference gives a good approximation of the actual SIR value [7]. In order to speed up simulations, though, we have used a grid supporting only one tier of co-channel interference.

Path loss calculation is used for the purposes of both the link budget and for the simplified handover algorithm as proposed by [4]. Handover margins are not considered. The long term fading is dependent on the mobile's master base station only and is correlated throughout its path according to a model proposed by [8]. Short term fading power is exponentially distributed (the amplitude of the received signal is Rayleigh distributed) and averaged over four GSM bursts. The base station power level is constant at 35 dBm.

The SIR calculation requires information of which channels are active at each time step (20 ms). This information is particularly dependent on characteristics of the data traffic, traffic load, and scheduling algorithm. The traffic simulator described in section II-B provides this data. SIR figures are used in assessing the quality of transmitted radio blocks and in executing the LQC mechanism, which is assumed in pure LA mode. LA is considered ideal in all simulations, *i.e.*, the network selects the modulation and coding scheme maximizing the throughput for the mean channel quality (SIR) that will be experienced during the transmission.

B. Traffic Simulator

The data traffic simulator is responsible for the generation and management of user data traffic, and also responds for functions of the Radio Resource (RR) sublayer. It consists of a traffic generator, simplified implementation of the MS-BS protocol hierarchy, transmission queues for each physical channel (time slot), and traffic scheduler. In this work, we consider a World Wide Web (WWW) traffic model found also in other publications [3,9], making it easier to compare results. The traffic service corresponds to 8 kbps web browsing. Table II shows the parameters of the traffic model used.

The RR sublayer provides the necessary functions for RR management of packet data channels (PDCHs), Radio Link Control (RLC) and Medium Access Control (MAC) on PDCHs. The MAC function defines the procedures for cell selection and re-selection, resource allocation (queuing and

798

TABLE 111 **SIMULATION PARAMETERS**

Parameter	Value
Simulation time step	20 _{ms}
Number of iterations for transient	50.000
state	
Number of iterations for drawing	50,000 (high loads)
statistics	100,000 (low loads)
Tiers of interference	
Carriers per sector	
Traffic channels	
Data transfer direction	Downlink only
MS multi slot capability	Single slot only
BS antenna system	90° sector antenna
	AA system
Stepped function beam width (BW)	30°
Stepped function side lobe level	-18 dB
(SLL)	
Polling frequency for the acknowl-	16 RLC/MAC blocks
edgement message	
LOC mechanism	LA mode
MCS selection	Ideal (no delays and SIR is known
	a priori)
MCS update frequency	20 ms
Traffic scheduling algorithms	FIFS
	LBFS

scheduling of access attempts) and the provision of Temporary Block Flows (TBFs) that allow point-to-point transfer of data within a cell between the network and a mobile station. The RLC function is responsible for Backward Error Correction (BEC) enabling the selective retransmission of unsuccessfully delivered RLC/MAC blocks, and for the interface between the Logical Link Control (LLC) layer and the MAC function [10].

Resource allocation in EdgeSim explores the concept of the TBF, which **is** assigned to one (single slot allocation) or more (multi slot allocation) PDCHs and comprises a number of RLC/MAC blocks carrying one or more LLC protocol data units (LLC fiames). When a network protocol data unit, which will be referred here simply **as** a packet, anives at the base station it is either allocated radio resources for immediate transmission *or* it is queued for later transmission.

The choice of the PDCH(s) associated with a new TBF is based on the criterion of minimizing queue load. When a packet is first scheduled for transmission, it is assumed that a TBF has been established for the transfer of data between the BS and the destination MS and that the MS has been notified of which PDCHs to monitor. We further assume that a TBF is maintained until all data for a particular user is exhausted, which includes any packets arriving **at** a later time hut before release of the TBF. In EdgeSim, packet downlink reassignments **are** considered only for cell re-selections (handovers).

The traffic scheduler decides the priority of transmission among the packets assigned to each physical channel. The scheduling algorithms are presented in section II-C. In previous versions of EdgeSim **[2],** RLC/MAC blocks could be scheduled for transmission on any PDCH at any time. This of course could only be accomplished if the MS monitored all PDCHs *or* if packet reassignments occurred continuously. We understand that this situation does not hold in a real implementation considering single slot capable terminals.

C. Scheduling Algorithm

There are four scheduling algorithms available in Edge-Sim. Their performance has been previously evaluated in EGPRS without smarl antennas **[2].** In this work, we examine those algorithms that achieved, respectively, the worst and the best performance in **[2],** namely:

- First In First Served (FIFS): traffic is scheduled according to the order of arrival of packets.
- Least Bits left First Served (LBFS): packets with the least bits left to transmit are scheduled with priority.

The FIFS algorithm serves **as** a reference **for** comparison. We shall use the FIFS algorithm in a system without adaptive antennas **as** the reference scenario.

m. SIMULATION MODELS AND PARAMETERS

The system level simulations are performed with the parameters shown in Table **m.** System capacity is evaluated **as** the spectral efficiency achieved for a QoS of lOkbps at the 10th percentile of the average packet throughput per user. Average throughput per user was chosen as the dominant QoS parameter despite the fact that it does not accurately describe the user's effective experienced delay **[11,12].** Nonetheless, it was pondered that it is a widely used measure, thus enabling comparisons with a larger set of similar works.

A. Adaptive Antenna Model

Smart antennas are among the many methods of improving the performance and capacity of mobile communications systems. AA is a beam-forming approach among the several smart antennas categories [13]. An AA system consists of an antenna array, usually composed of a number of equally spaced antenna elements, and a beam-fotming network, *re* sponsible for the adjustment of the gains of the individual elements of the antenna array. The *set* of tap gains of the array determines the AA system's radiation pattern, which gives the amount of amplification or attenuation in all **azi**muth directions (only horizontal polarization is considered in this work). The procedure adopted in order to control the set of tap gains of the antenna array, and that ultimately generates a desired radiation pattem, is called the beam-forming algorithm.

A simple AA system model is used in EdgeSim avoiding time-consuming beam-forming algorithms. It corresponds to **a** simplification of the normalized radiation pattem of an eight-element **ULA** (Uniform Linear Array) with a spacing of half the wavelength between the elements. The choice for an antenna array with eight elements meets financial costs and implementation complexity restrictions **as** well **as** the fact that it was shown in **[I41** that only a minor improvement is achieved by using antenna arrays with more than *6-8* horizontal elements in urban environments with reasonable azimuth

Fig. 1. $G(\phi)_{dB}$ steered toward ϕ_0 (from [15]).

spread. All array elements correspond to a typical **GSM** network antenna with a horizontal pattern corresponding to a main sector of 90 degrees, **as** depicted in [4].

The normalized radiation pattern of the **AA** system is obtained from the superposition of the radiation pattems of the sector antenna and a stepped function. The stepped function simplifies the normalized adaptive radiation pattern of an **8** element ULA, using omni directional antenna elements, **un**der the assumptions that the power level within the beam width *BW* (null-to-null) is constant at 0 **dB,** and also constant outside it at *SLL* (side lobe level) decibels, *SLL* < 0. The constant side lobe level assumes the pattern nulls to be filled up to *SLL* and the front-to-back ratio to be **also** equal to SLL. The stepped function is described as (see Fig. 1) [15]:

$$
G(\phi)_{dB} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } -BW/2 \le \phi - \phi_0 \le BW/2 \\ SLL & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$
 (1)

in which $G(\phi)_{dB}$ is the antenna gain in dB in the direction ϕ , *BW* is the beam width, *SLL* is the average side lobe level in **dB,** and *q0* is the direction towards the desired mobile.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

Fig. 2 illustrates the *QoS* and capacity gains provided by the introduction of the AA system in $1/1$, $1/3$, $3/9$, and $4/12$ frequency reuse patterns using the **FIFS** scheduling algo**rithm.** At the 10 kbps *QoS* measure adopted for evaluation of spectral efficiency, the **AA** system yields capacity gains of 425% (a factor of 5.25), 172%, **72%,** and 47%, respectively. It **results** that the tighter the frequency reuse pattern and, consequently, the higher the co-channel interference levels, the greater the gains provided by the adaptive antennas.

Fig. 3 compares the performance of FIFS and LBFS in systems with sector and adaptive antennas. Only the 1/1 and 1/3 reuse patterns were evaluated. Note that in systems with high interference (1/1 SE and 1/3 SE), both algorithms perform the same. The explanation lies in the fact that there is little or no queuing in these scenarios even at **loads** close to the *QoS* limit and, therefore, any scheduling method will result in similar performance. As queues build up, in lower interference scenarios such as those with the **AA** system, the performance difference of both scheduling algorithms **stands**

Fig. 2. **QoS** requirement versus spectral efficiency for the **sector** antenna (SE) and the **AA** system in 111, 1/3,3/9, and 4/12 reuse patterns using the FIFS scheduling algorithm.

out, LBFS achieving better results in both 1/1 and 1/3 reuse patterns. Note the smaller spectral efficiency gain provided by LBFS over FIFS in 111 **AA** in comparison to 1/3 **An,** even though 111 **AA** yields higher capacity figures. [Fig. 4](#page-4-0) elucidates this fact depicting *QoS* versus offered load per sector, i.e., per carrier, instead of spectral efficiency, which is a measure normalized by the total system bandwidth. Since the interference level in 1/3 AA is lower than in the 1/1 **AA** case, the queues are larger in 1/3 AA, resulting in more room for optimization of radio resources through scheduling.

Fig. 3 also shows the performance of the reference scenario $(4/12 \text{ SE} + \text{FIFS})$, which is similar to $1/1 \text{ SE}$, in particular close to the *QoS* limit. [Fig.](#page-4-0) *5* shows the trade-off in capacity and *QoS* gains of several scenarios relative to the 10 kbps *QoS* parameter and the respective system capacity *of* the reference scenario. This graph is useful in showing the *QoS* gain immediately provided by the introduction of a new system-enhancing concept, such **as** changing the frequency reuse

Fig. 3. **QoS** requirement versus **spectral** efficiency for the sector antenna (SE) and the **AA** system in **l/l** and 1/3 reuse pattems using the FIFS and **LBFS** scheduling algorithms (4/12 SE + FIFS is shown in the graph **as** the reference scenario),

0-7803-7484-3/02/\$17.00 02002 IEEE **800**

Fig. **4. QoS** requirement versus offered load per sector for the sector antenna **(SE)** and the **AA system** in **1/1** and **113** reuse panems using the FIFS and **LBFS** scheduling algorithms.

pattem, introducing an AA system or changing the scheduling algorithm, and observing QoS degradation **as** the system load is increased towards the capacity limit.

V. CONCLUSIONS

This paper presents performance results of an AA system and two scheduling algorithms in EGPRS systems. EdgeSim, a dynamic discrete-time system-level simulation tool, was used to evaluate the performance of several scenarios combining frequency reuse pattem, BS antenna type and scheduling algorithm. It has been shown that AA systems provide **high** *QoS* and capacity gains. Also, tighter reuse pattems result in higher gains. The **RFS** scheduling algorithm achieves the same performance **as** LBFS for high interference scenarios, such as $1/1$ and $1/3$ reuse patterns with sector antennas, in which the offered loads per sector are low and the channel queues are negligible. At lower interference scenarios, such **as** the cases of systems employing adaptive antennas, queuing is significant and the LBFS algorithm outperforms FIFS. When combined, a tighter reuse scheme, BS AA system, and LBFS scheduling *can* provide a capacity gain of up to approximately 450% over the reference scenario.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Dixit, Y. Guo, and Z. Antoniou, "Resource management and quality of service in third-generation wireless networks," *IEEE Communications Magazine,* vol. **39,** pp. **125-133,** February **2001.**
- **[2]** W. M. de Sousa Jr., F. R. P. Cavalcanti, Y. C. B. Silva, and T. F. Maciel, "System-level performance evaluation of different scheduling strategies in EGPRS," in *Proc. of WPMC,* vol. **2,** pp. **615-619,** September **2001.**
- [3] B. K. Lau, M. Berg, S. Andersson, B. Hagerman, and M. Olsson, "Performance of an adaptive antenna system in EGPRS networks," in *Proc. of IEEE VTC-spring*, vol. 4, pp. **2354-2358,2001.**

Fig. 5. Trade-off between QoS and capacity gains provided by enhancing technology concepts relative **to** the reference scenario.

- **[4]** ETSI, **"UMTS 30.03** selection procedures for the choice of radio transmission technologies of the **UMTS," v.3.2.0,** April **1998.**
- [5] M. D. Yacoub, *Foundations of mobile radio engineering*, CRC Press, February **1993.**
- **[6] J.** Zander, and S. L. Kim, *Radio resource management for wireless network,* Artech **House** Publishers, Boston, **2001.**
- **[7]** M. Liljenstam, and R. Ayani, "Interference radius in pcs radio resource management simulations," in *Proc.* of *IEEE Winter Simulation Conference,* **vol. 2,** pp. **1629- 1637,1998.**
- **[SI** M. Gudmundson, "Correlation model for shadow fading in mobile radio systems," *Electronics Letters,* vol. **27,** pp. **2145-2146,** November **1991.**
- [9] C. Johansson, L. De Verdier, and F. Khan, "Performance of different scheduling strategies in a packet radio system," in *Proc. of IEEE ICUPC,* vol. **1,** pp. **267-271, 1998.**
- [10] 3GPP, "Radio link control/medium access control (RLCiIvfAC) protocol," **3GPP** TS 44.060, **v4.3.0,** September **2001.**
- [11] A. Furuskär, *Can 3G services be offered in existing spectrum?,* Ph.D. Dissertation, Radio Communication Systems Laboratory, Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden, May **2001.**
- **[12]K.** B. Johnsson, and D. C. Cox, *"QoS* scheduling of mixed priority non real-time traffic," in *Proc. of IEEE VTC-spring,* vol. **4,** pp. **2645-2649, 2001.**
- **[13]R.** M. Buehrer, A. G. Kogiantis, S. Liu, J. Tsai, and D. Uptegrove, "Intelligent antennas for wireless communications - uplink," Bell Labs Technical Journal, July-September **1999.**
- **[14]2.** Zvonar, P. Jung, and K Kammerklander, *GSM- Evo*lution towards 3rd generation systems, Kluwer Academic Publishers, **1999.**
- [**151** P. Cardieri, *Resource allocation and adaptive antenna in cellular communications,* Ph.D. Thesis, Virginia Polytechnic Institute, USA, September 2000.

0-7803-7484-3/02/\$17.00 02002 **IEEE. 801 VTC 2002**