PRIMARY RESEARCH PAPER



How are local fish communities structured in Brazilian semiarid headwater streams?

Carlos Alberto de Sousa Rodrigues-Filho · Ronaldo César Gurgel-Lourenço · Luis Artur Valões Bezerra · Edson Fontes de Oliveira · Rafael Pereira Leitão · Danielle Sequeira Garcez · Jorge Iván Sánchez-Botero

Received: 14 August 2017/Revised: 29 March 2018/Accepted: 22 April 2018/Published online: 25 May 2018 © Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract Environmental and spatial factors are known as the main determinants of community variation in aquatic organisms. However, historical factors may interact with local processes to regulate community structure patterns. Here we compared historical, environmental, and spatial factors in a multi-scale approach in order to identify the main drivers structuring species composition and functional diversity of fish communities in forest enclaves across three hydrographic basins in semiarid Brazil Caatinga biome. We initially modeled spatial structure within

Handling editor: André Padial

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-018-3650-8) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

C. A. S. Rodrigues-Filho (⊠) · R. P. Leitão
Departamento de Biologia Geral, Programa de
Pós-Graduação em Ecologia, Conservação e Manejo da
Vida Silvestre, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais,
Avenida Presidente Antônio Carlos 318, Belo Horizonte,
MG CEP 31270-910, Brazil
e-mail: carlosfilho918@gmail.com

R. C. Gurgel-Lourenço · D. S. Garcez ·

Instituto de Ciências do Mar, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Marinhas e Tropicais, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Avenida da Abolição 3207, Fortaleza, CE CEP 60165-081, Brazil each basin using asymmetric eigenvector maps (AEM). We then partitioned the explanation of the variation in local community structure into three groups of predictor variables: (1) environmental variables, (2) spatial variables, and (3) phylogenetic history. Biogeographical bias was assessed using a basin identity matrix as covariable. The combination of 1, 2, and 3 explained the variation in species composition, while pure spatial, phylogenetic, and environmental components explained the distribution of functional groups and their nested patterns. Our findings confirmed the importance of phylogenetic history, as well as the usefulness of robust methods in community studies in refining explanations of the processes determining variation in species composition and functional groups.

L. A. V. Bezerra

Laboratório de Análise e Síntese em Biodiversidade (LASB), Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia e Conservação, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Centro Politécnico, Curitiba, PR CEP 81531-980, Brazil

E. F. de Oliveira

Departamento de Engenharia Ambiental, Campus Londrina, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Engenharia Ambiental, Universidade Tecnológica Federal do Paraná, Avenida dos Pioneiros 3131, Marumbi, Londrina, PR CEP 86036-370, Brazil

J. I. Sánchez-Botero

Keywords Caatinga biome · Freshwater fish · Historical constraints · Functional groups · Spatial analysis · Environmental filters

Introduction

The assembly of communities is a central issue within ecology, with classical approaches assuming nichebased processes and dispersion as the main drivers structuring communities across spatial scales (Hubbell, 2001; Leibold et al., 2004). In addition, historical processes affect the configuration of the past, defining the spatial arrangement of ecosystems and consequently the regional pool of species capable of colonizing local communities (Cavender-Bares et al., 2009). As a result, the phylogenetic structure of communities, the patterns of species coexistence (Cavender-Bares et al., 2009), and the diversity of functional traits within species pool are closely related to the history of a given region (Olden et al., 2010; Rodrigues-Filho et al., 2018). This view is based on the assumption that biogeography and phylogenetic history are, together, the main structuring forces acting on communities at the regional scale (Peres-Neto et al., 2012; Sternberg et al., 2014). For example, patterns purely linked to species dispersion limitation (mass effect or neutral dynamics) could be due to historical legacies (i.e., tectonic movements; Peres-Neto & Legendre, 2010). Similarly, patterns of species coexistence commonly interpreted as a consequence of environmental filters (sorting) may, in fact, result from allopatric effects (Wiens & Graham, 2005). In this context, the knowledge of phylogenetic history can provide additional information on how nichebased processes and dispersion structure current local communities (Sternberg et al., 2014; Castillo-Escrivà et al., 2017).

Aquatic systems are ideal for testing the effects of historical, niche-based, and dispersion processes, since they show discrete spatial distribution and have extensive environmental gradients (Heino, 2013). In such systems, in addition to the action of historical filters, processes based on niche breadth and dispersion capacity can act together to structure local communities across spatial scales (Tonn, 1990). From

the niche perspective, environmental conditions would select species with similar phenotypic characteristics (Poff, 1997). On the other hand, the dendritic configuration of river systems can act as a barrier to the movement of species with low dispersion capacity (Heino et al., 2015). Thus, taken together, niche-based process and dispersal limitation supposedly are the main factors determining the spatial structure of stream fish communities (Vitorino Júnior et al., 2016).

In headwaters, great hydrological variation coupled with high environmental heterogeneity favors processes based on niche (Landeiro et al., 2011; Zbinden & Matthews, 2017), although contrary results have been reported (Cetra et al., 2017). In part, these contradictory results may be explained by the complex dynamic of colonization and extinction typically found in headwaters, where flash flood events are common (Taylor & Warren, 2001). This dynamic can generate a pattern of nested subgroups in headwater streams. However, to better understand the complexity of this relationship, it is necessary to investigate the other facets of biodiversity (McGill et al., 2006). Functional ecology is an aspect of biodiversity which adds complementary information to taxonomic approaches, especially by providing a mechanistic link to buttress explanations based solely on environmental conditions (Heino et al., 2007; Sternberg et al., 2014; Zorzal-Almeida et al., 2017; Tolonen et al., 2018). In addition, grouping species based on functional traits (related to performance or fitness) has proven useful in the development of generalized ecological models (Teresa & Casatti, 2012; Arantes et al., 2017; Henriques et al., 2017). This is explained by the relation between the functional characteristics of the species and its environmental requirements and dispersion capacity (de Campos et al., 2018). Fish are a good model group with which to examine such relationships since they have a wide range of trophic associations, habitat uses, and body sizes (Villéger et al., 2017).

The South American ichthyofauna is diverse and one of the best models for the assessment of functional relationships (Toussaint et al., 2016). Marine transgressions, tectonics, climate change, and historical connections between river basins have been the principle events shaping fish diversity and distribution in the Neotropics (Hubert & Renno, 2006; Ribeiro, 2006; Dias et al., 2014). Humid forest enclaves in semiarid Brazil (Caatinga biome) are a very particular system due to the long-term disturbances occurring during their formation (Pôrto et al., 2004). However, apart from their high levels of endemism, little is known about the ecology of stream fish in such enclaves (Rodrigues-Filho et al., 2016; but see Gurgel-Lourenço et al., 2017).

In this study, we assessed (i) the relative importance of environmental, spatial, and historical events in taxonomically and functionally structuring stream fish communities, (ii) the relation between environmental gradients and the distribution of species and functional groups, and (iii) whether environmental variation is responsible for the nested patterns of species distribution and functional groups. We expected variations between the communities in humid forest enclaves within Caatinga to be explained by a suite of opposing forces. In the taxonomic approach, historical events should be of primary importance, whereas environmental conditions will be better at explaining functional group distribution. Moreover, considering the importance of basin identity to river fish (Heino et al., 2017), and their association with factors influencing regional biogeographical aspects (Benone et al., 2017), we expected the results of the taxonomic approach to be basin-specific. We also tested whether environmental conditions generate taxonomic and functional nesting patterns and expected spatial determinants to explain the local distribution of species and functional groups within the species pool.

Methods

Study location and sampling

We sampled 26 streams of the Caatinga highlands of Araripe and Ibiapaba, two plateaus climatically and hydrologically defined as tropical forest enclaves. Such rainforests are typically found in tablelands up to 1000 m elevation, with annual rainfalls (\sim 1000 mm) well above the average for semiarid regions. Over the last 25,000 years, these forests have become uncommon due to the synergy between drought and anthropic activity, exacerbated by the short duration of the rainy season (usually from January to May) in northeastern Brazil (Brasil et al., 2016; Rito et al., 2017). The investigated streams were perennial, despite a considerable decrease in flow during the dry season (Rosa & Groth, 2004). During the rainy seasons in 2011–2013, we sampled streams from three river basins: Jaguaribe (J), São Francisco (F), and Coreaú (C), the first two on the Araripe Plateau, the third on the Ibiapaba Plateau (Fig. 1; Table S1.1 of Online Appendix 1).

Sampling occurred on 50 m stretches of the stream, following a standardized protocol designed by Mendonça et al. (2005). We blocked the extremities of each stretch with 12-mm nets to prevent fish from escaping, and then defined four equidistant transects in which average width (m) and depth (m) were measured. Water velocity $(m \cdot s^{-1})$ in the channel was measured three times in each transect using the distance traveled by a Styrofoam ball. We recorded water temperature (°C) and dissolved oxygen $(mg \cdot L^{-1})$ at once sampling downstream from the stretches. A GPS was used to determine geographic coordinates and elevation (m). Vegetation coverage (%) was calculated based on 16 b/w photographs of the canopy, using a digital camera fitted with 5.8-23 mm lenses (Mendonça et al., 2005). Area (m²) and average depth (m) of each stretch were used to determine habitat volume (m³). Relative substrate composition (%) was determined using the classification of de Gonçalves & Braga (2012): pebble/gravel (1-10 mm; Pb), coarse sand (0.1-1 mm; Cs), fine sand (0.05-1 mm; Fs), and silt/clay (< 0.05 mm; Sc) (see Table S1.2 of Online Appendix 1 for a summary of environmental data).

Taxonomic and functional data

Fish were captured with cast nets $(5.3 \text{ m}^2; 14 \text{ mm} \text{ mesh})$, sieves $(0.7 \text{ m}^2; 1 \text{ mm} \text{ mesh})$, and seine nets $(1.3 \text{ m}^2; 2 \text{ mm} \text{ mesh})$ in a fishing effort of four personhours. Specimens were euthanized by immersion in an anesthetic solution of eugenol and preserved in a 10% formalin solution, followed by storage in 70% alcohol. Specimens were identified to species level (Table S1.3), and voucher specimens deposited in the fish collection of the Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte (UFRN).

Classification of species into functional groups used six traits (diet, feeding tactic, vertical compartment, body mass, current flow, and substrate specificity) and 26 trait categories (Sabino & Zuanon, 1998;



Fig. 1 Sampling points (white circles) and riverine basins (1—Coreaú; 2—Jaguaribe; 3—São Francisco) in forest enclaves in semiarid Brazil

Teresa & Casatti, 2012; Brejão et al., 2013; Tables 1, S3.1 of Online Appendix 3). For trait categories, we adopted a binary approach when characterizing each species. When information at the species level was not available in the literature (30% of the species), we used a genus-level classification. These traits were selected due to their mechanistic relation with species locomotion capacity (Blanchet et al., 2010), resource use, and microhabitat exploration (Teresa & Casatti, 2012; Rodrigues-Filho et al., 2017), these being key aspects in dispersal and niche-based processes.

As recommended by Pillar and Sosinski (2003) and Dumay et al. (2004), functional group classification included three steps, in order to minimize subjectivity bias. We first calculated Pearson correlation

Description Springer

coefficients among trait categories to determine if the selected traits reflected informative and complementary functions within the fish assemblage (Online Appendix 2). Subsequently, and to reduce the dimensionality of functional space, we performed a principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) based on a Gower's distance matrix of species traits (Pavoine et al., 2009). We selected the first nine eigenvectors (broken-stick explanation percentage: 71.7%; Table S3.2 of Online Appendix 3) and submitted it to non-hierarchical cluster analysis (*k*-means clustering). Then, based on functional space, five functional groups were defined by minimizing the sum of squared Euclidian distances between the species and the centroid of the groups (Tables 2, S3.3 and Fig. S3 of Online Appendix 3). Table functio

Table 1 Trait and 26 functional categories used to group fish sampled in enclaves of tropical forest in semiarid Brazil, with the abbreviations used in subsequent analyses	Trait	Category	Abbreviation
	Diet	Detritivore	det
		Aquatic insectivore	a.ins
		Herbivorous insectivore	h.ins
		Invertivore	inv
		Omnivore	omn
		Piscivore	pis
	Feeding tactic	Water column	wcol
		Substrate speculation	sspe
		Ambusher	amb
		Grazer	gra
		Stalker	sta
		Night active	nig
		Surface	sur
	Vertical compartment	Nektonic	nek
		Nektobenthic	nekt
		Benthic	ben
	Current flow	Slow	slo
		Intermediate	int.flo
		Fast	fas
	Body mass	Very light (< 0.001 g)	v.lig
		Light (0.001–1 g)	lig
		Intermediate (1–2.5 g)	int.bod
		Heavy (> 2.5 g)	hea
	Specify for substrate	Low	low
		Intermediate	int.sub
		High	hig

Predictor variables

Phylogenetic history

To evaluate the evolutionary history of the humid forest enclaves in the Caatinga, a phylogenetic information matrix was built at the family level, exploring the descriptive aspect rather than the phylogenetic signs (Webb, 2000). Thus, following Sternberg and Kennard (2013), phylogeny of the species were defined from a matrix of sampled streams (lines) versus families (columns). For this, we built a matrix of species richness for each stream. Although phylogenetic predictors are often extracted using phylogenetic trees (Leibold et al., 2010), or paleoecological differences between sampling locations (Castillo-Escrivà et al., 2017), we adopted Webb's classic approach due to the lack of detailed phylogenetic information for the endemic fauna of the study area, and also because the two humid forest enclaves displayed similar geology and formation history. Although their use may result in information loss, Ricotta et al. (2012) have demonstrated that cladistic relationships are strongly related to distance based on phylogenetic trees.

Spatial

A spatial predictor matrix was constructed using spatial analysis generating eigenvectors capable of capturing complex patterns in taxonomic and functional composition; eigenvectors with high eigenvalues are associated with large-scale spatial effects, while eigenvectors with low eigenvalues represent fine-scale effects (Griffith & Peres-Neto, 2006). We used asymmetric eigenvector maps (AEMs) to reflect

Species	Behavioral profile	J	F	С
Astyanax bimaculatus Astyanax fasciatus Phenacogaster calverti Poecilia reticulata Poecilia sp. Serrapinnus heterodon Serrapinnus piaba	Mostly small nektonic fish feeding predominantly on insects in the water column or on the surface. They have no specific substrate preference and thrive in streams with low current flows	441	767	1776
Hoplias malabaricus Synbranchus marmoratus	 Behavioral profile Mostly small nektonic fish feeding predominantly on insects in the water column or on the surface. They have no specific substrate preference and thrive in streams with low current flows Piscivorous species, which ambush their prey. Nektonic or nektobentonic, they prefer streams with low current flows Small nektobentonic fish, which sift through the substrate for food (usually aquatic invertebrates), preferably in faster-flowing streams Benthic grazers feeding mostly on detritus. Most abundant in streams with riffles Species with great trophic plasticity, feeding on plant debris, detritus, insects, and fish. Some stalk or pursue their prey, usually at twilight. The detritivore <i>S. notonota</i> is an exception 	3	1	14
Aspidoras menezesi Aspidoras rochai Aspidoras spilotus Corydoras garbei	Small nektobentonic fish, which sift through the substrate for food (usually aquatic invertebrates), preferably in faster-flowing streams	14	67	119
Hypostomus sp. Hypostomus jaguribensis Parotocinclus cearensis Parotocinclus haroldoi	Benthic grazers feeding mostly on detritus. Most abundant in streams with riffles	49	0	71
Characidium bimaculatum Cichlasoma orientale Coptodon rendalli Crenicichla menezesi Rhamdia quelen Trachelyopterus galeatus	Species with great trophic plasticity, feeding on plant debris, detritus, insects, and fish. Some stalk or pursue their prey, usually at twilight. The detritivore <i>S. notonota</i> is an exception	14	64	64
	SpeciesAstyanax bimaculatusAstyanax fasciatusPhenacogaster calvertiPoecilia reticulataPoecilia sp.Serrapinnus heterodonSerrapinnus piabaHoplias malabaricusSynbranchus marmoratusAspidoras menezesiAspidoras rochaiAspidoras spilotusCorydoras garbeiHypostomus sp.Hypostomus sp.Hypostomus aguribensisParotocinclus cearensisParotocinclus haroldoiCharacidium bimaculatumCichlasoma orientaleCoptodon rendalliCrenicichla menezesiRhamdia quelenTrachelyopterus galeatusSteindachnering notonota	SpeciesBehavioral profileAstyanax bimaculatus Astyanax fasciatusMostly small nektonic fish feeding predominantly on insects in the water column or on the surface. They have no specific substrate preference and thrive in streams with low current flowsPhenacogaster calverti Poecilia reticulataMostly small nektonic fish feeding predominantly on insects in the water column or on the surface. They have no specific substrate preference and thrive in streams with low current flowsSecrapinnus heterodon Serrapinnus heterodonPiscivorous species, which ambush their prey. Nektonic or nektobentonic, they prefer streams with low current flowsAspidoras menezesi Aspidoras rochaiPiscivorous species, which ambush their prey. Nektonic or nektobentonic, they prefer streams with low current flowsSmall nektobentonic fish, which sift through the substrate for food (usually aquatic invertebrates), preferably in faster-flowing streamsAspidoras rochai Hypostomus sp. Hypostomus jaguribensis Parotocinclus haroldoiBenthic grazers feeding mostly on detritus. Most abundant in streams with rifflesSpecies with great trophic plasticity, feeding on plant debris, detritus, insects, and fish. Some stalk or pursue their prey, usually at twilight. The detritivore <i>S. notonota</i> is an exceptionCoptodon rendalli Trachelyopterus galeatusSpecies with great trophic plasticity, feeding on plant debris, detritus, insects, and fish. Some stalk or pursue their prey, usually at twilight. The detritivore <i>S. notonota</i> is an exception	SpeciesBehavioral profileJAstyanax bimaculatus Astyanax fasciatus Phenacogaster calverti Poecilia reticulata Poecilia sp. 	SpeciesBehavioral profileJFAstyanax bimaculatus Astyanax fasciatus Phenacogaster calvertiMostly small nektonic fish feeding predominantly on insects in the water column or on the surface. They have no specific substrate preference and thrive in streams with low current flows441767Poecilia reticulata Poecilia sp.Serrapinnus heterodon Serrapinnus heterodon91441767Serrapinnus heterodon Serrapinnus mamoratusPiscivorous species, which ambush their prey. Nektonic or nektobentonic, they prefer streams with low current flows31Aspidoras menezesi Aspidoras menezesi Hypostomus spaSmall nektobentonic fish, which sift through the substrate for food (usually aquatic invertebrates), preferably in faster-flowing streams1467Hypostomus sp. Hypostomus spauribensis Parotocinclus haroldoi Characidium bimaculatum Cichlasoma orientale Coptodon rendalli Crenicichla menezesi Rhamida quelen Trachelyopterus galeatusSpecies with great trophic plasticity, feeding on plant debris, detritus, in an exception1464

Table 2 Taxonomic composition within each functional group and their behavioral profile, and number of individuals collected according to river basin (Jaguaribe = J, São Francisco = F and Coreaú = C)

the unidirectional flow of aquatic systems (Blanchet et al., 2008), at the hydrographic basin level (Declerck et al., 2011). Such eigenvectors are capable of modeling the distribution of species and functional groups within each basin but, to avoid bias related to the number of spatial predictors, only spatially structured vectors were selected (initially, 25) (Dray et al., 2012). To do so, Moran's *I* coefficients were calculated for each AEM, selecting those with significant spatial autocorrelation (p < 0.05) (Blanchet et al., 2011). Subsequently, five eigenvectors representing large-scale forces were selected (AEM-1, AEM-2, AEM-3, AEM-4, AEM-6; Online Appendix 4).

Environmental

Using PCoA for the log(x + 1)-transformed data (except pH), the selected environmental variables

were tested for redundancy. The purpose of the procedure was to identify variables with high collinearity in the PCoA biplot. After visual identification of obvious collinearities, we tested the variance of inflation (VIF) factor, excluding values > 10 from further analysis. The following variables were retained for the subsequent analyses: width, depth, elevation, temperature, pebble/gravel, coarse sand, fine sand, silt/clay, and pH.

Data analysis

The importance of environmental (E), spatial (S), and phylogenetic (P; streams vs. family) components for taxonomic and functional structure was determined by partitioning the variance in a partial analysis of redundancy (pRDA), using Hellinger-transformed data (Borcard et al., 1992; Anderson & Gribble, 1998). Variables selected by forward selection were included in the pRDA as long as they did not exceed p = 0.05 (based on 999 Monte Carlo permutations). Previous selection of variables allows for a more reliable interpretation of the importance of the predictor variables.

Once the predictors were associated, the total variance was partitioned into eight components: (i) pure environmental (Ep), (ii) pure spatial (Sp), (iii) pure phylogenetic (Pp), (iv) spatially structured environmental (SE), (v) phylogenetically structured environmental (PE), (vi) spatially structured phylogenetic (SP), (vii) spatially and phylogenetically structured environmental (SPE), and (viii) unexplained (U). Following the recommendations of Peres-Neto et al. (2006), we estimated the adjusted coefficients of determination (R_{adi}^2) . Biplot ordinations were tested based on 10,000 permutations, assuming $\alpha = 0.05$. Nestedness of the first axis of functional and taxonomic RDA (only for environmental variables) was determined with the nestedness overlap and decreasing fill index (NODF) (Almeida-Neto et al., 2008). We tested whether the observed NODF differed from the NODF derived from 1000 permutations of the species matrix of species and functional groups (SIM9 algorithm, Gotelli, 2000). We adopted this pattern based on the environmental conditions responsible for functional or taxonomic nestedness (observed NODF significantly different from expected NODF).

To control for biogeographical differences, an identity matrix was built for each hydrographic basin and used as covariable in a new partitioning analysis (PERMANOVA). Hydrographic basin-level analysis suggested a homogeneous taxonomic (Pseudo- $F_{2,24}$ = 1.01; p > 0.38), and functional composition (Pseudo- $F_{2.24} = 1.07; p > 0.35$). The process consists of relating the predictive matrixes with the identity vector of the hydrographic basin of each stream in a residual environmental matrix (E_r) and a residual phylogenetic matrix (P_r) . The identity of the hydrographic basin was controlled during the extraction of spatial eigenvectors (AEM). Finally, pRDA analyses were performed with the predictor matrixes E_r , P_r , and S and the response matrixes of species composition and functional groups, evaluating the influence of the hydrographic basin. All analyses were performed in R, version 3.4.2 (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2017).

Results

The forest enclave ichthyofauna

Fish sampled comprised 23 species belonging to five orders and 11 families (Table S1.3 of Online Appendix 1). Siluriformes and Characiformes were the most strongly represented orders. Coreaú had the greatest number of species (17), followed by Jaguaribe and São Francisco (14 each). On average, 5.6 species (2-12) were captured per stream. Two of the registered species were non-native to the Caatinga. One of these, Poecilia reticulata Peters 1859, was widely distributed across samples sites, occurring in 69.2% of them. The most commonly captured native species was Astyanax bimaculatus (Linnaeus, 1758), occurring in 73.0% of the sites. Nektonic fish feeding on insects in the water column (Group 1) and ambushers with high trophic plasticity (Group 5) were the most species-rich and abundant groups in all basins (Table 2). In contrast, detritivorous and piscivorous species with strong substrate preferences had low abundances, occurring in specific environmental and elevational gradients. According to rarefaction procedures, the number of sites used (n = 26) allowed complete sampling of the species richness of the study region (Fig. S1 of Online Appendix 1).

Relative contribution of structuring factors (environment, space, and phylogeny)

Following forward selection, three spatial eigenvectors (AEM-1, AEM-2, and AEM-4), phylogenetic information on the clades Characidae and Poeciliidae, and two environmental variables (elevation and channel width) (Table 3) together explained, respectively, 29% and 24% of the total variation in species composition and functional groups (Table 4). The components most important for the taxonomic approach were those spatially and phylogenetically structured by the environment (SPE) and the phylogenetically structured environmental (PE) (17% and 7%, respectively). In contrast, composition of functional groups was explained primarily by the pure spatial component (Sp = 13%; p = 0.001), followed by the pure phylogenetic component (Pp = 7%; p = 0.021).

The identity of the basins had little influence on taxonomic variation but was important for the

Determinant	Variable	Taxonomic		Functional	
		Cumulative R_{adj}^2 (%)	р	Cumulative R_{adj}^2 (%)	р
Spatial	AEM-1	17.4	0.02	0.28	0.07
	AEM-2	10.5	0.01	29.7	0.21
	AEM-3	18.1	0.33	17.8	0.08
	AEM-4	17.7	0.31	12.7	0.02
	AEM-6	17.6	0.46	23.1	0.08
Environmental	Width	15.7	0.01	14.7	0.11
	Depth	16.2	0.85	11.6	0.60
	Elevation	28.4	0.01	5.2	0.01
	Temperature	29.2	0.14	18.1	0.16
	Pebble/gravel	31.8	0.59	9.8	0.12
	Coarse sand	32.4	0.06	7.1	0.91
	Fine sand	30.0	0.72	1.7	0.96
	Silt/clay	28.5	0.70	14.2	0.91
	pН	31.2	0.50	16.2	0.55
Phylogenetic history	Auchenipteridae	29.3	0.08	18.8	0.28
	Callichthyidae	31.3	0.37	12.9	0.26
	Characidae	19.3	0.01	8.6	0.04
	Cichlidae	30.2	0.64	18.7	0.34
	Crenuchidae	26.0	0.13	10.4	0.83
	Curimatidae	28.9	0.60	4.4	0.93
	Erythrinidae	27.1	0.78	19.1	0.34
	Heptapteridae	31.2	0.14	14.3	0.24
	Loricariidae	21.4	0.90	12.1	0.15
	Poeciliidae	23.8	0.03	18.6	0.13
	Synbranchidae	24.6	0.80	15.1	0.72

Table 3 Spatial, environmental, and phylogenetic predictors retained after forward selection for the taxonomic and functional approaches

The Cumulative R_{adj}^2 (%) corresponds to the R_{adj}^2 values of each variable within the RDA models which contain all the other variables. Statistically significant (p < 0.05) variables, highlighted in bold, were retained

functional groups (Table 4). For example, after controlling for basin identity, the phylogenetic component structured by the environment (PE = 6%) and the pure phylogenetic component (Pp = 5%; p = 0.03) explained most of the functional distribution (Table 4). Moreover, the pure environmental component increased in importance (Ep = 3%, p = 0.003), while the pure spatial component decreased (Sp = 2%; p = 0.02) when compared to the results of the analysis without controlling for hydrographic basin identity.

A moderate proportion (28.8%) of the species distribution was explained by the environmental

variables (Pseudo-F = 1.47; p = 0.03), especially channel width and elevation (Table 3). The first RDA axis (11.7%) was constituted by elevational gradient, with positive scores corresponding to narrow streams and negative scores to wide streams. Temperature (negative scores) and depth (positive scores) explained the second RDA axis (4.7%). Most species occurred in wider and moderately elevated streams (< 250 m a.s.l) (RDA axis 1; Fig. 2a), without a nested distribution (*NODF* = 48.11; p = 0.75; Fig. 3a). The distribution of functional groups was associated mainly with elevation (Fig. 2b). Small species feeding mainly on small insects (Group 1) and

	Without basin identity control				With basin identity control			
	Taxonomic		Functional		Taxonomic		Functional	
	$R_{\rm adj}^2$ (%)	р	$R_{\rm adj}^2$ (%)	р	$\overline{R_{\rm adj}^2}$ (%)	р	$\overline{R_{\rm adj}^2}$ (%)	р
Ep	3	0.209	2	0.231	4	0.134	3	0.003
Sp	< 0	0.403	13	0.001	1	0.326	2	0.020
Рр	1	0.279	7	0.021	2	0.220	5	0.003
SE	1	_	1	_	2	_	4	_
PE	7	-	2	-	5	-	6	_
SP	< 0	-	< 0	-	2	-	2	_
SPE	17	_	1	_	20	_	< 0	_
U	71	-	76	_	80	-	80	-

Table 4 Summary of results of variation partitioning of environmental, spatial, and phylogenetic history factors (%) on taxonomic and functional approaches with and without control for basin identity

Statistically significant components appear in bold (p < 0.05). Negative R_{adj}^2 values are shown by < 0 (see Table 3 for variables selected by forward selection)

 E_P pure environmental, S_P pure spatial, P_P pure phylogenetic history, S_E spatially structured environmental, P_E phylogenetically structured environmental, S_P spatially structured phylogenetic, S_PE spatially and phylogenetically structured environmental, U unexplained



Fig. 2 Ordination of 26 stream fish assemblages from forest enclaves in semiarid Brazil, based on the taxonomic structure (a) and functional groups (b). Loadings of significant and nonsignificant environmental determinants are represented by

carnivorous species (Group 2) occurred predominantly at intermediate to elevated altitudes (RDA axis 1; Fig. 4), while small nektobenthic substrate-sifting species (Group 3) and benthic grazers feeding mostly on detritus (Group 4) predominated in wider streams with fine sandy substrates (intermediate elevation;



continuous and dashed arrows, respectively. The size of the circle represents the taxonomic richness and the functional groups in each sampling unit

Fig. 4). Piscivorous and nocturnal species (Group 5) were markedly common in low-elevation streams (< 200 m; Fig. 4). These distribution patterns followed the different elevational zones and explained the observed functional nesting patterns (*NODF* = 76.23; p = 0.007; Fig. 3b).



Fig. 3 Simulated (bars) and observed (dashed line) values from the analysis of nestedness overlap and decreasing fill (*NODF*) for taxonomic (**a**) and functional (**b**) composition of stream fish



Fig. 4 Relative frequency of individuals from each functional group of stream fish (G1–G5) at each elevational zone from forest enclaves in semiarid Brazil. For details of each group, see Table 2

Discussion

Our study brings new information about community structure in headwater streams, where niche-based processes are expected to predominate (Landeiro et al., 2011; Siqueira et al., 2012; Schmera et al., 2017; Zbinden & Matthews, 2017). By partitioning the variance of taxonomic structure, we separated the



assemblages from forest enclaves in semiarid Brazil. Dashed lines are *NODF* when rows of the incidence matrices were reordered following the first RDA axis (see "Data analysis")

purely phylogenetic history (Pp) from the spatially and environmentally structured fish fauna. We demonstrated that the inclusion of phylogenetic history as a predictor can generate relevant information on the main factors structuring local communities. We also found that elevation gradients promote functional group nestedness, probably due to the differential dispersal capacity of species from different clades and environmental affinities. Taken together, our results help to clarify the relationship between historical and contemporary determinants in current patterns of fish biodiversity in headwater streams from semiarid Brazil.

Fish community assembly

Recent studies on fish community have identified environmental variability (Ep, in this study) as the main component in explaining patterns of biodiversity (Cottenie, 2005; Sternberg et al., 2014; Viana et al., 2016). However, in our study, environmental conditions were not more important than the phylogenetically structured environmental component (PE). The importance of the phylogenetic history is reflected in the wide distribution of the clades Characidae and Poeciliidae, which may also be one of the explanations for the low importance of the spatial components in the taxonomic approach (spatial homogenization). Two major geological events were likely responsible for these findings in elevated streams in semiarid Brazil: marine incursions during Plio-Pleistocene in coastal areas, and the confluence of river systems resulting from subsequent headwater captures (Hubert & Renno, 2006; Dias et al., 2014). Headwater captures consists of connecting different river basins after a historical event (Dias et al., 2014). In the studied region, the geographic isolation of the three river basins was interrupted 400,000 years ago when, after a glacial event, the course of the São Francisco river was modified, generating a dispersion corridor to the Jaguaribe and Coreaú river basins (Mabessone, 1994). These historical events appear to be responsible for the similarity of the three basins in terms of the phylogenetic and spatial structure of their fish faunas. Based on the known history of the evolutionary radiation of the South American ichthyofauna (Lévêque et al., 2008), it was expected that species from the Characidae family would be very important in structuring local communities. However, the selection of the clade Poecilidae (explained by the high occurrence of the introduced species P. reticulata) alerts us to the role of anthropic interventions in modifying the dynamics of local communities. Indeed, introduction of non-native species cannot be ruled out as a driver of taxonomic homogenization (Villéger et al., 2014). This becomes still more serious in view of the ability of such species to interfere directly in ecosystem functioning (Reznick, 1982).

Species composition was weakly explained by the identity of the hydrographic basin. Similar results were found by Heino et al. (2017), suggesting that spatial-environmental heterogeneity increases with increasing sampling scale. In fact, Rodrigues-Filho et al. (2016) found that the biotas of these two humid enclaves have similar species composition. However, variation in functional group composition was dependent on the biogeographic factor of the drainage basins. Therefore, we agree with Heino et al. (2017) that measuring biogeographical effects on local community variation is a difficult task. Thus, we suggest that further assessments should explore the main factors determining the composition of the functional groups after controlling for the hydrographic basin.

Pure components (Ep, Sp, and Pp) in partitioning analyses should be interpreted with caution because the environmental gradients capable of influencing the community are difficult to quantify: variances are underestimated, whereas the spatial component is overestimated (Smith & Lundholm, 2010; Vellend et al., 2014). This problem has been documented mainly for environmental and spatial components, but it probably applies to other components as well (e.g., phylogenetic, biogeographical, connectivity). Nevertheless, our findings suggest the opposite pattern: large-scale spatial factors (AEM-4) and phylogenetic history were of greater importance in determining functional group composition. This may be due to functional differences in terms of dispersal capacity, associated with strong environmental selection and the complexity of the drainage network in headwater regions (Tonkin et al., 2018).

This result is not in agreement with the hypothesis of the drainage network position, which predicts greater importance for environmental conditions in headwater streams (Brown & Swan, 2010). This is indicated by the high importance of processes based on dispersion and phylogenetic history, reinforcing the emerging topic of context dependence in aquatic systems (Tonkin et al., 2016; Schmera et al., 2017). In fact, spatial structuring driven by dispersion (e.g., dispersions limitation and mass effect) has also been observed in headwater streams, to be an important structuring factor (Mykrä et al., 2007; Cetra et al., 2017). Thus, our results highlight the importance of combining environmental, spatial, and historical (SPE) factors when analyzing the factors shaping the structure of current local fish communities. While our results agree with the major community assembly theories, in which phylogenetic history and spatial connectivity are key to selecting the regional species pool that will be filtered by local environmental conditions (Emerson & Gillespie, 2008), they are novel because they apply to communities of fish from headwaters of streams in a semiarid area.

Nesting patters in headwater streams

As expected, species richness was greatest at intermediate altitudes and lowest in narrow and elevated streams (see Fig. 2a). Wider streams generally offer more diversified habitats, an important feature in the structuring of fish communities (Mattos et al., 2014), and tend to be slightly warmer and deeper, so favoring greater species diversity (Ibanez et al., 2007; Báldi, 2008). However, the gradients of elevation and width do not show nested patterns in species composition. On the other hand, we observed nesting patterns for functional groups which could be the result of (1)physical isolation preventing dispersal (Hill et al., 2017); (2) local communities being connected, but functional groups containing species with varying dispersal capacities (Thompson & Townsend, 2006; Heino, 2011, 2013), and (3) strong environmental gradients (Schmera et al., 2017). Based on the result of the partitioning analysis (while recognizing its limitations) and RDA, we believe the second and third options provide the most plausible explanation: the functional groups include species with varying dispersal capacities responding differently to the environmental gradient. Furthermore, the selection of eigenvectors with wide distribution (AEM-4) suggests that dispersal limitation is more important than mass effect when explaining the local community structure within each basin (Heino et al., 2015).

Studies using functional traits to evaluate the influence of niche or dispersal-based processes in local communities usually classify fish as either good and bad dispersers (e.g., Padial et al., 2014; Tolonen et al., 2018; but see Wojciechowski et al., 2017). Bad dispersers have spatially structured distribution, while good dispersers will spread as far as environmental conditions permit. In the current study, species were grouped canonically according to functional traits. We could thus explore functional traits responsible for the phylogenetic structure of fish communities, in line with habitat complexity (stream width/elevation relationship). Despite adopting a non-conventional functional approach to specifically explore the factors responsible for local fish community structure, our results also agree with those of studies that simply classified species into good and bad dispersers.

In river ecosystems, elevation gradients are well known for promoting species composition modifications in terms of habitat use, feeding, and locomotion (Jaramillo-Villa et al., 2010). In streams at lower altitudes (< 200 m), nocturnal species that capture their prey by ambush were more strongly represented. These lowland streams were wider, favoring species with high maneuverability (e.g., Cichlidae), that rely on macrophytes for ambushing their prey (Ribeiro et al., 2016). At intermediate elevations (> 200 and < 650 m), streams were generally narrower and substrate composed of pebble and gravel were more common. Here detritivorous and invertivorous species occurred in greater abundance. Species of these two groups show high adaptive specify to riffles habitats, and both of then have low dispersal capacity (Pagotto et al., 2011). An abiotic and biotic transition occurs in streams located above 750 m, as there are smaller numbers of pools, fewer macrophytes, and greater inputs of allochthonous material (Rodrigues-Filho, pers. obs.). In such streams, nektonic species that feed on small insects carried along by the stream are more commonly found. Due to their fusiform shape, these species have high dispersion capacity (Makrakis et al., 2010), which explains their often extensive distribution in streams at other altitudes. Similar patterns were observed by Jaramillo-Villa et al. (2010), and reflect the adaptation of these species to highly hydrological complexity of headwater streams (Pusey et al., 2010). Lomolino (2001) proposes that the modification of the diversity and species composition along altitudinal gradients occurs because higher regions are more isolated. Such isolation together with the low dispersion capacities and high environmental affinities of the species present in such regions promotes differentiation of functional composition, thus explaining the nesting patterns for the streams within the forest enclaves studied here. Indeed, similar results were reported by Taylor & Warren Jr. (2001). According to these authors, extirpations of populations at higher altitudes promote significant nestedness at lower altitudes.

Conclusions

We highlight the joint action of space, environment, and phylogenetic history in determining the fish community structure in the highlands of semiarid Brazil. Together with environmental and spatial predictors, phylogenetic history shapes the taxonomic and functional distributions functional groups of headwater streams. Specifically, we have confirmed that predictive models based solely on environmental conditions are not adequate to understand the functioning of in headwaters. Thus, exploring the true reasons for the phylogenetic history of the study region and the dispersal capacity of the species becomes critical points for an understanding of the local dynamics of headwater fish communities (Eros et al., 2012). Likewise many other articles using variation partitioning to explain community structure, we usually reported low explanation powers (Landeiro et al., 2011; Cetra et al., 2017; Zbinden & Matthews, 2017), preventing broad generalizations considering determinants in metacommunity structure. Even so, we do highlight the joint action of space, environment and phylogenetic history in determining the fish community structure in the highlands of semiarid Brazil. In addition, we have also shown that a basin's identity is important for the functional approach to community composition analysis, suggesting that idiosyncratic processes are more common than imagined in biological systems. This idiosyncrasy can be explained by the high hydrological variability of headwaters and the rapid response of the functional structure of communities to changes in the environment compared to the taxonomic structure (Poff, 1997).

Acknowledgements The study was funded by CNPq/PPBIO through Grant #457463/2012-0 and by CNPq/ICMBio through Grant #552009/2011-3. We also thank the Ministério do Meio Ambiente (MMA; 26174-2) and the Instituto Chico Mendes para Conservação da Biodiversidade (ICMBio/SISBIO; 32921-4) for the licenses to collect fish samples. Laboratório de Análise e Síntese em Biodiversidade (LAVB) thanks the CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior) for granting scholarships during the Master degree and PhD studies. We would like to thank the staff at the UFC Laboratory of Aquatic Ecology for the support and Dr. Sergio Lima and Dr. Telton Ramos for help identifying species.

References

- Almeida-Neto, M., P. R. J. Guimarães, R. D. Loyota & W. Ulrich, 2008. A consistent metric for nestedness analysis in ecological systems: reconciling concept and measurement. Oikos 117: 1227–1239.
- Anderson, M. J. & N. A. Gribble, 1998. Partitioning the variation among spatial, temporal and environmental components in a multivariate data set. Australian Journal of Ecology 23: 158–167.
- Arantes, C. C., K. O. Winemiller, M. Petrere, L. Castello, C. E. C. Freitas & L. L. Hess, 2017. Relationships between forest cover and fish diversity in the Amazon River floodplain. Journal of Applied Ecology 55: 386–395.
- Báldi, A., 2008. Habitat heterogeneity overrides the speciesarea relationship. Journal of Biogeography 35: 675–681.
- Benone, N. L., M. C. Esposito, L. Juen, P. S. Pompeu & L. F. A. Montag, 2017. Regional Controls on Physical Habitat Structure of Amazon Streams. River Research and Applications 33: 766–776.
- Blanchet, F. G., P. Legendre & D. Borcard, 2008. Modelling directional spatial processes in ecological data. Ecological Modelling 215: 325–336.

- Blanchet, F. G., P. Legendre, R. Maranger, D. Monti & P. Pepin, 2011. Modelling the effect of directional spatial ecological processes at different scales. Oecologia 166: 357–368.
- Blanchet, S., G. Grenouillet, O. Beauchard, P. A. Tedesco, F. Leprieur, H. H. Dürr, F. Busson, T. Oberdorff & S. Brosse, 2010. Non-native species disrupt the worldwide patterns of freshwater fish body size: Implications for Bergmann's rule. Ecology Letters 13: 421–431.
- Borcard, D., P. Legendre & P. Drapeau, 1992. Partialling out the spatial component of ecological variation. Ecology 73: 1045–1055.
- Brasil, J., J. L. Attayde, F. R. Vasconcelos, D. D. F. Dantas & V. L. M. Huszar, 2016. Drought-induced water-level reduction favors cyanobacteria blooms in tropical shallow lakes. Hydrobiologia 770: 145–164.
- Brejão, G. L., P. Gerhard & J. Zuanon, 2013. Functional trophic composition of the ichthyofauna of forest streams in eastern Brazilian Amazon. Neotropical Ichthyology 11: 361–373.
- Brown, B. L. & C. M. Swan, 2010. Dendritic network structure constrains metacommunity properties in riverine ecosystems. Journal of Animal Ecology 79: 571–580.
- Castillo-Escrivà, A., L. Valls, C. Rochera, A. Camacho & F. Mesquita-Joanes, 2017. Disentangling environmental, spatial, and historical effects on ostracod communities in shallow lakes. Hydrobiologia 787: 61–72.
- Cavender-Bares, J., K. H. Kozak, P. V. A. Fine & S. W. Kembel, 2009. The merging of community ecology and phylogenetic biology. Ecology Letters 12: 693–715.
- Cetra, M., M. Petrere Júnior & W. Barrella, 2017. Relative influences of environmental and spatial factors on stream fish assemblages in Brazilian Atlantic rainforest. Fisheries Management and Ecology 24: 139–145.
- Cottenie, K., 2005. Integrating environmental and spatial processes in ecological community dynamics. Ecology Letters 8: 1175–1182.
- De Campos, R., F. M. L. Tôha, E. O. De Conceição & K. Martens, 2018. Factors affecting the metacommunity structure of periphytic ostracods (Crustacea, Ostracoda): a deconstruction approach based on biological traits. Aquatic Sciences 80: 1–16.
- Declerck, S. A. J., J. S. Coronel, P. Legendre & L. Brendonck, 2011. Scale dependency of processes structuring metacommunities of cladocerans in temporary pools of High-Andes wetlands. Ecography 34: 296–305.
- Dias, M. S., T. Oberdorff, B. Hugueny, F. Leprieur, C. Jézéquel, J. F. Cornu, S. Brosse, G. Grenouillet & P. A. Tedesco, 2014. Global imprint of historical connectivity on freshwater fish biodiversity. Ecology Letters 17: 1130–1140.
- Dray, S., R. Pélissier, P. Couteron, M. J. Fortin, P. Legendre, P. R. Peres-Neto, E. Bellier, R. Bivand, F. G. Blanchet, M. De Cáceres, A. B. Dufour, E. Heegaard, T. Jombart, F. Munoz, J. Oksanen, J. Thioulouse & H. H. Wagner, 2012. Community ecology in the age of multivariate spatial analysis. Ecological Monographs 82: 257–275.
- Dumay, O., P. S. Tari, J. A. Tomasini & D. Mouillot, 2004. Functional groups of lagoon fish species in Languedoc Roussillon, southern France. Journal of Fish Biology 64: 970–983.

- Emerson, B. C. & R. G. Gillespie, 2008. Phylogenetic analysis of community assembly and structure over space and time. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 23: 619–630.
- Eros, T., P. Sály, P. Takács, A. Specziár & P. Bíró, 2012. Temporal variability in the spatial and environmental determinants of functional metacommunity organization stream fish in a human-modified landscape. Freshwater Biology 57: 1914–1928.
- Gonçalves, C. S. & F. M. S. Braga, 2012. Changes in ichthyofauna composition along a gradient from clearwaters to blackwaters in coastal streams of Atlantic forest (southeastern Brazil) in relation to environmental variables. Neotropical Ichthyology 10: 675–684.
- Gotelli, N. J., 2000. Null model analysis of species co-occurrence patterns. Ecology 81: 2606–2621.
- Griffith, D. A. & P. R. Peres-Neto, 2006. Spatial modeling in ecology: the flexibility of eigenfunction spatial analyses. Ecology 87: 2603–2613.
- Gurgel-Lourenço, R. C., C. A. S. Rodrigues-Filho, L. A. V. Bezerra, D. S. Garcez & J. I. Sánchez-Botero, 2017. Length-weight relationships for freshwater fish species from humid forest enclaves at the Brazilian semiarid. Journal of Applied Ichthyology 33: 1254–1257.
- Heino, J., 2011. A macroecological perspective of diversity patterns in the freshwater realm. Freshwater Biology 56: 1703–1722.
- Heino, J., 2013. The importance of metacommunity ecology for environmental assessment research in the freshwater realm. Biological Reviews 88: 166–178.
- Heino, J., H. Mykrä, J. Kotanen & T. Muotka, 2007. Ecological filters and variability in stream macroinvertebrate communities: do taxonomic and functional structure follow the same path? Ecography 30: 217–230.
- Heino, J., A. S. Melo, T. Siqueira, J. Soininen, S. Valanko & L. M. Bini, 2015. Metacommunity organisation, spatial extent and dispersal in aquatic systems: patterns, processes and prospects. Freshwater Biology 60: 845–869.
- Heino, J., J. Soininen, J. Alahuhta, J. Lappalainen & R. Virtanen, 2017. Metacommunity ecology meets biogeography: effects of geographical region, spatial dynamics and environmental filtering on community structure in aquatic organisms. Oecologia 183: 121–137.
- Henriques, S., F. Guilhaumon, S. Villéger, S. Amoroso, S. França, S. Pasquaud, H. N. Cabral & R. P. Vasconcelos, 2017. Biogeographical region and environmental conditions drive functional traits of estuarine fish assemblages worldwide. Fish and Fisheries 18: 752–771.
- Hill, M. J., J. Heino, I. Thornhill, D. B. Ryves & P. J. Wood, 2017. Effects of dispersal mode on the environmental and spatial correlates of nestedness and species turnover in pond communities. Oikos 126: 1–11.
- Hubbell, S. P., 2001. The unified neutral theory of biodiversity. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Hubert, N. & J. F. Renno, 2006. Historical biogeography of South American freshwater fishes. Journal of Biogeography 33: 1414–1436.
- Ibanez, C., T. Oberdorff, G. Teugels, V. Mamononekene, S. Lavoué, Y. Fermon, D. Paugy & A. K. Toham, 2007. Fish assemblages structure and function along environmental gradients in rivers of Gabon (Africa). Ecology of Freshwater Fish 16: 315–334.

- Jaramillo-Villa, U., J. A. Maldonado-Ocampo & F. Escobar, 2010. Altitudinal variation in fish assemblage diversity in streams of the central Andes of Colombia. Journal of Fish Biology 76: 2401–2417.
- Landeiro, V. L., W. E. Magnusson, A. S. Melo, H. M. V. Espírito-Santo & L. M. Bini, 2011. Spatial eigenfunction analyses in stream networks: do watercourse and overland distances produce different results? Freshwater Biology 56: 1184–1192.
- Leibold, M. A., M. Holyoak, N. Mouquet, P. Amarasekare, J. M. Chase, M. F. Hoopes, R. D. Holt, J. B. Shurin, R. Law, D. Tilman, M. Loreau & A. Gonzalez, 2004. The metacommunity concept: a framework for multi-scale community ecology. Ecology Letters 7: 601–613.
- Leibold, M. A., E. P. Economo & P. Peres-Neto, 2010. Metacommunity phylogenetics: separating the roles of environmental filters and historical biogeography. Ecology Letters 13: 1290–1299.
- Lévêque, C., T. Oberdorff, D. Paugy, M. L. J. Stiassny & P. A. Tedesco, 2008. Global diversity of fish (Pisces) in freshwater. Hydrobiologia 595: 545–567.
- Lomolino, M. V., 2001. Elevation gradients of species-density: historical and prospective views. Global Ecology and Biogeography 10: 3–13.
- Mabessone, L. M., 1994. Sedentary basins of northeast Brazil. Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Recife.
- Makrakis, S., L. E. Miranda, L. C. Gomes, M. C. Makrakis & H. M. F. Junior, 2010. Ascent of Neotropical migratory fish in the Itaipu reservoir fish pass. River Research and Applications 1: 5–18.
- Mattos, T. M., M. R. Costa, B. C. T. Pinto, J. L. C. Borges & F. G. Araújo, 2014. To what extent are the fish compositions of a regulated river related to physico-chemical variables and habitat structure? Environmental Biology of Fishes 97: 717–730.
- McGill, B. J., B. J. Enquist, E. Weiher & M. Westoby, 2006. Rebuilding community ecology from functional traits. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 21: 178–185.
- Mendonça, F. P., W. E. Magnusson & J. Zuanon, 2005. Relationships between habitat characteristics and fish assemblages in small streams of Central Amazonia. Copeia 2005: 751–764.
- Mykrä, H., J. Heino & T. Muotka, 2007. Scale-related patterns in the spatial and environmental components of stream macroinvertebrate assemblage variation. Global Ecology and Biogeography 16: 149–159.
- Olden, J. D., M. J. Kennard, F. Leprieur, P. A. Tedesco, K. O. Winemiller & E. García-Berthou, 2010. Conservation biogeography of freshwater fishes: recent progress and future challenges. Diversity and Distributions 16: 496–513.
- Padial, A. A., F. Ceschin, S. A. J. Declerck, L. De Meester, C. C. Bonecker, F. A. Lansac-Tôha, L. Rodrigues, L. C. Rodrigues, S. Train, L. F. M. Velho & L. M. Bini, 2014. Dispersal ability determines the role of environmental, spatial and temporal drivers of metacommunity structure. PLoS ONE 9: e111227.
- Pagotto, J. P. A., E. Goulart, E. F. Oliveira & C. B. Yamamura, 2011. Trophic ecomorphology of Siluriformes (Pisces, Osteichthyes) from a tropical stream. Brazilian Journal of Biology 71: 469–479.

- Pavoine, S., J. Vallet, A. B. Dufour, S. Gachet & H. Daniel, 2009. On the challenge of treating various types of variables: application for improving the measurement of functional diversity. Oikos 118: 391–402.
- Peres-Neto, P. R. & P. Legendre, 2010. Estimating and controlling for spatial structure in the study of ecological communities. Global Ecology and Biogeography 19: 174–184.
- Peres-Neto, P. R., P. Legendre, S. Dray & D. Borcard, 2006. Variation partitioning of species data matrices: estimation and comparison of fractions. Ecology 87: 2614–2625.
- Peres-Neto, P.-R., M. Leibold & S. Dray, 2012. Assessing the effects of spatial contingency and environmental filtering on metacommunity phylogenetics. Ecology 93: 14–30.
- Pillar, V. D. & E. E. Sosinski, 2003. An improved method for searching plant functional types by numerical analysis. Journal of Vegetation Science 14: 323–332.
- Poff, N. L., 1997. Landscape filters and species traits: towards mechanistic understanding and prediction in stream ecology. Journal of the North American Benthological Society 16: 391–409.
- Pôrto, K. C., J. J. P. Cabral & M. Tabarelli, 2004. Brejos de Altitude em Pernambuco e Paraíba: História Natural, Ecologia e Conservação. Ministério do Meio Ambiente, Brasília.
- Pusey, B. J., A. H. Arthington, B. Stewart-Koster, M. J. Kennard & M. G. Read, 2010. Widespread omnivory and low temporal and spatial variation in the diet of fishes in a hydrologically variable northern Australian river. Journal of Fish Biology 77: 731–753.
- R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2017. R version 3.4.2. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Retrieved from https://www.R-project.org/.
- Reznick, D., 1982. The impact of predation on life history evolution in Trinidadian guppies: Genetic basis of observed life history patterns. Evolution 36: 1236–1250.
- Ribeiro, A. C., 2006. Tectonic history and the biogeography of the freshwater fishes from the coastal drainages of eastern Brazil: an example of faunal evolution associated with a divergent continental margin. Neotropical Ichthyology 4: 225–246.
- Ribeiro, M. D., F. B. Teresa & L. Casatti, 2016. Use of functional traits to assess changes in stream fish assemblages across a habitat gradient. Neotropical Ichthyology 14: 1–10.
- Ricotta, C., G. Bacaro, M. Marignani, S. Godefroid & S. Mazzoleni, 2012. Computing diversity from dated phylogenies and taxonomic hierarchies: does it make a difference to the conclusions? Oecologia 170: 501–506.
- Rito, K. F., V. Arroyo-Rodríguez, R. T. Queiroz, I. R. Leal & M. Tabarelli, 2017. Precipitation mediates the effect of human disturbance on the Brazilian Caatinga vegetation. Journal of Ecology 105: 828–838.
- Rodrigues-Filho, C. A. D. S., R. C. Gurgel-Lourenço, L. A. V. Bezerra, W. A. D. Sousa, D. S. Garcez, S. M. Q. Lima, T. P. A. Ramos & J. I. Sánchez-Botero, 2016. Ichthyofauna of the humid forest enclaves in the tablelands of Ibiapaba and Araripe, Northeastern Brazil. Biota Neotropica 16: e20160273.
- Rodrigues-Filho, C. A. S., R. C. Gurgel-Lourenço, S. M. Q. Lima, E. F. de Oliveira & J. I. Sánchez-Botero, 2017. What

governs the functional diversity patterns of fishes in the headwater streams of the humid forest enclaves: environmental conditions, taxonomic diversity or biotic interactions? Environmental Biology of Fishes 100: 1023–1032.

- Rodrigues-Filho, C. A. S., R. P. Leitão, J. Zuanon, J. I. Sánchez-Botero & F. B. Baccaro, 2018. Historical stability promoted higher functional specialization and originality in Neotropical stream fish assemblages. Journal of Biogeography 00: 1–10.
- Rosa, R. S. & F. Groth, 2004. Ictiofauna dos ecossistemas de brejos de altitude de pernambuco e paraíba. In Pôrto, K. C., J. J. P. Cabral & M. Tabarelli (eds), Brejos de Altitude de Pernambuco e Paraíba. Ministério do Meio Ambiente, Brasília: 201–228.
- Sabino, J. & J. Zuanon, 1998. A stream fish assemblage in Central Amazonia: distribution, activity patterns and feeding behaviour. Ichthyological Exploration of Freshwaters 8: 201–210.
- Schmera, D., D. Árva, P. Boda, E. Bódis, Á. Bolgovics, G. Borics, A. Csercsa, C. Deák, E. Á. Krasznai, B. A. Lukács, P. Mauchart, A. Móra, P. Sály, A. Specziár, K. Süveges, I. Szivák, P. Takács, M. Tóth, G. Várbíró, A. E. Vojtkó & T. Erős, 2017. Does isolation influence the relative role of environmental and dispersal-related processes in stream networks? An empirical test of the network position hypothesis using multiple taxa. Freshwater Biology 63: 74–85.
- Siqueira, T., L. M. Bini, F. O. Roque & K. Cottenie, 2012. A metacommunity framework for enhancing the effectiveness of biological monitoring strategies. PLoS ONE 7: e43626.
- Smith, T. W. & J. T. Lundholm, 2010. Variation partitioning as a tool to distinguish between niche and neutral process. Ecography 33: 648–655.
- Sternberg, D. & M. J. Kennard, 2013. Environmental, spatial and phylogenetic determinants of fish life-history traits and functional composition of Australian rivers. Freshwater Biology 58: 1767–1778.
- Sternberg, D., M. J. Kennard & S. R. Balcombe, 2014. Biogeographic determinants of Australian freshwater fish lifehistory indices assessed within a spatio-phylogenetic framework. Global Ecology and Biogeography 23: 1387–1397.
- Taylor, C. M. & M. L. Warren Jr., 2001. Dynamics in Species composition of stream fish assemblages: environmental variability and nested subsets. Ecology 82: 2320–2330.
- Teresa, F. B. & L. Casatti, 2012. Influence of forest cover and mesohabitat types on functional and taxonomic diversity of fish communities in Neotropical lowland streams. Ecology of Freshwater Fish 21: 433–442.
- Thompson, R. & C. Townsend, 2006. A truce with neutral theory: local deterministic factors, species traits and dispersal limitation together determine patterns of diversity in stream invertebrates. Journal of Animal Ecology 75: 476–484.
- Tolonen, K. T., Y. Cai, A. Vilmi, S. M. Karjalainen, T. Sutela & J. Heino, 2018. Environmental filtering and spatial effects on metacommunity organisation differ among littoral macroinvertebrate groups deconstructed by biological traits. Aquatic Ecology 52: 119–131.

- Tonkin, J. D., J. Heino, A. Sundermann, P. Haase & S. C. Jähnig, 2016. Context dependency in biodiversity patterns of central German stream metacommunities. Freshwater Biology 61: 607–620.
- Tonkin, J. D., F. Altermatt, D. Finn, J. Heino, J. D. Olden, S. U. Pauls & D. A. Lytle, 2018. The role of dispersal in river network metacommunities: patterns, processes, and pathways. Freshwater Biology 63: 141–163.
- Tonn, W. M., 1990. Climate change and fish communities: a conceptual framework. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 119: 337–352.
- Toussaint, A., N. Charpin, S. Brosse & S. Villeger, 2016. Global functional diversity of freshwater fish is concentrated in the Neotropics while functional vulnerability is widespread. Scientific Reports Nature Publishing Group 6: 1–9.
- Vellend, M., D. S. Srivastava, K. M. Anderson, C. D. Brown, J. E. Jankowski, E. J. Kleynhans, N. J. B. Kraft, A. D. Letaw, A. A. M. Macdonald, J. E. Maclean, I. H. Myers-Smith, A. R. Norris & X. Xue, 2014. Assessing the relative importance of neutral stochasticity in ecological communities. Oikos 123: 1420–1430.
- Viana, D. S., J. Figuerola, K. Schwenk, M. Manca, A. Hobæk, M. Mjelde, C. D. Preston, R. J. Gornall, J. M. Croft, R. A. King, A. J. Green & L. Santamaría, 2016. Assembly mechanisms determining high species turnover in aquatic communities over regional and continental scales. Ecography 39: 281–288.
- Villéger, S., G. Grenouillet & S. Brosse, 2014. Functional homogenization exceeds taxonomic homogenization among European fish assemblages. Global Ecology and Biogeography 23: 1450–1460.

- Villéger, S., S. Brosse, M. Mouchet, D. Mouillot & M. J. Vanni, 2017. Functional ecology of fish: current approaches and future challenges. Aquatic Sciences 79: 783–801.
- Vitorino Júnior, O. B., R. Fernandes, C. S. Agostinho & F. M. Pelicice, 2016. Riverine networks constrain β-diversity patterns among fish assemblages in a large Neotropical river. Freshwater Biology 61: 1733–1745.
- Webb, C. O., 2000. Rain forest trees exploring the phylogenetic structure of ecological communities: an example for rain forest trees. The American Naturalist 156: 145–155.
- Wiens, J. J. & C. H. Graham, 2005. Niche conservatism: integrating evolution, ecology, and conservation biology. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 36: 519–539.
- Wojciechowski, J., J. Heino, L. M. Bini & A. A. Padial, 2017. The strength of species sorting of phytoplankton communities is temporally variable in subtropical reservoirs. Hydrobiologia 800: 31–43.
- Zbinden, Z. D. & W. J. Matthews, 2017. Beta diversity of stream fish assemblages: partitioning variation between spatial and environmental factors. Freshwater Biology 62: 1460–1471.
- Zorzal-Almeida, S., J. Soininen, L. M. Bini & D. C. Bicudo, 2017. Local environment and connectivity are the main drivers of diatom species composition and trait variation in a set of tropical reservoirs. Freshwater Biology 62: 1551–1563.