



UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO CEARÁ
CENTRO DE TECNOLOGIA
DEPARTAMENTO DE ENGENHARIA QUÍMICA
PROGRAMA DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO EM ENGENHARIA QUÍMICA

NATHALIA SARAIVA RIOS

**DEVELOPMENT OF STRATEGIES FOR THE PRODUCTION OF BIOCATALYSTS
THROUGH IMMOBILIZATION / CO-IMMOBILIZATION OF LIPASE FROM
*Pseudomonas fluorescens***

FORTALEZA

2019

NATHALIA SARAIVA RIOS

DEVELOPMENT OF STRATEGIES FOR THE PRODUCTION OF BIOCATALYSTS
THROUGH IMMOBILIZATION / CO-IMMOBILIZATION OF LIPASE FROM
Pseudomonas fluorescens

Tese apresentada ao Programa de Pós-Graduação em Engenharia Química da Universidade Federal do Ceará, como requisito parcial à obtenção do título de Doutora em Engenharia Química. Área de concentração: Processos Químicos e Bioquímicos

Orientadora: Profa. Dra. Luciana Rocha Barros Gonçalves.

Coorientador: Prof. Dr. Roberto Fernández Lafuente.

FORTALEZA

2019

Dados Internacionais de Catalogação na Publicação
Universidade Federal do Ceará
Biblioteca Universitária

Gerada automaticamente pelo módulo Catalog, mediante os dados fornecidos pelo(a) autor(a)

R453d Rios, Nathalia Saraiva.
Development of strategies for the production of biocatalysts through immobilization / co-immobilization of lipase from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* / Nathalia Saraiva Rios. – 2019.
239 f. : il. color.

Tese (doutorado) – Universidade Federal do Ceará, Centro de Tecnologia, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Engenharia Química, Fortaleza, 2019.

Orientação: Profa. Dra. Luciana Rocha Barros Gonçalves.
Coorientação: Prof. Dr. Roberto Fernández Lafuente.

1. Imobilização. 2. Co-imobilização. 3. Lipase de *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. I. Título.

CDD 660

NATHALIA SARAIVA RIOS

DEVELOPMENT OF STRATEGIES FOR THE PRODUCTION OF BIOCATALYSTS
THROUGH IMMOBILIZATION / CO-IMMOBILIZATION OF LIPASE FROM
Pseudomonas fluorescens

Thesis presented to the Post Graduate Program in Chemical Engineering of the Federal University of Ceará, as a partial requirement to obtain the title of Doctor of Chemical Engineering. Concentration Area: Chemical and Biochemical Processes.

Approved on: _04_ / _11_ / _2019_.

EXAMINATION BOARD

Profª. Dra. Luciana Rocha Barros Gonçalves (Orientadora)
Universidade Federal do Ceará (UFC)

Prof. Dr. Enrique Vilarrasa García
Universidade Federal do Ceará (UFC)

Profª. Dra. Maria Cristiane Martins de Souza
Universidade da Integração Internacional da Lusofonia Afro-Brasileira (UNILAB)

Prof. Dr. Pierre Basílio Almeida Fachine
Universidade Federal do Ceará (UFC)

Profª. Dra. Raquel Petrilli Eloy
Universidade Federal do Ceará (UFC)

To God.

To my Family, especially to my parents,
Ilzanir and Antonio, my brother, Victor,
and my husband, Candido; People who I
love that are always by my side.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thank God for blessing my steps on this journey and helping me overcome all obstacles. I thank my parents for always helping to achieve my goals and my brother Victor for their support and understanding. I thank my husband Cândido, who has always been at my side, for his invaluable support and understanding.

I thank my grandmother Maria for always believing in my potential. To my godfathers, Graça and Daniel, who always cheered for me. To my aunts, Irene and Socorro, for the support in those years and for the patience.

To Prof^a Dr^a. Luciana Rocha Barros Gonçalves, for the trust deposited, for all the opportunities, for all the teachings and the dedication in my orientation. To Prof Dr. Roberto Fernández Lafuente for welcoming me so well in his lab in Madrid, for the trust and dedication in my orientation.

To my friends of the Laboratory of Enzymatic Processes (GPBio): Ticiane, Ravenna, Renata, Eddie, Juliana, Layanne, Carlinha, Eva, Mary for the support in carrying out this work. To my friends of the Laboratory 301 (Madrid-Spain): Sara, Carmen, Yuliha (lipase group – the best!), Yako, Roberto/Bob, Hocine, Diego, Javier, Juan, Lucas e Priscila. To my friends of heart Bruna, Dayanne, Aline, Rayanne, Maisa and Kimberle for always being by my side supporting me in my decisions.

To Federal University of Ceará (UFC) and Department of Post-Graduation in Chemical Engineering-UFC for the support to the development of the thesis. To Institute of Catalysis and Petroleumchemistry (ICP-CSIC/ Madrid-Spain) for the support and for welcoming me in my stay in Madrid. To Director del ICP Dr. Enrique Sastre. To project - grant number CTQ2017-86170-R (Spain).

This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001 (Brazil fellowship – (2017-2018)). To Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, a Brazilian agency for scientific and technological development, for financial support (Brazil fellowship – (2016-2017) /Doctorate Sandwich fellowship - (2018-2019)).

To the examination board composed by Enrique Vilarrasa García, Maria Cristiane Martins de Souza, Pierre Basílio Almeida Fechine, Raquel Petrilli Eloy for the valuable collaborations and suggestions. To all those who contributed directly and indirectly to my academic and professional training.

“Those who feel satisfied sit and do nothing. The dissatisfied are the only benefactors in the world”.

Walter S. Landor

RESUMO

Neste estudo, lipase de *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (PFL) foi imobilizada e co-imobilizada por diferentes estratégias, produzindo uma biblioteca de biocatalisadores capazes de catalisar reações de interesse industrial em diferentes condições operacionais. Os suportes baseados em agarose e nanopartículas magnéticas foram utilizados para a imobilização e co-imobilização de lipases. Para produzir biocatalisadores altamente ativos, a estratégia de imobilização da lipase na sua forma aberta foi conduzida por adsorção em suportes hidrofóbicos (octil-agarose e octil-nanopartículas), imobilização em suportes heterofuncionais contendo grupos hidrofóbicos (glioxil-octil-agarose) e ligação covalente no suporte ativado na presença de surfactantes (TEOS-nanopartículas). As estratégias de co-imobilização foram derivadas de algumas estratégias de imobilização: multicamadas de PFL foram derivadas da imobilização de PFL por adsorção interfacial em octil-agarose, cuja camada de PFL é imobilizada sobre a anterior para multiplicar a capacidade de carga final do suporte; PFL também foi co-imobilizado com outras lipases (RML ou LU) usando suporte herofuncional (Glioxil-octil-agarose) para reutilizar a lipase mais estável (PFL) após inativação, dessorção e imobilização da lipase menos estável. Esses biocatalisadores co-imobilizados podem catalisar reações enzimáticas em cascata ou catalisar reações envolvendo substratos heterogêneos, como a modificação de óleos e gorduras. Por outro lado, biocatalisadores produzidos por imobilização em suportes à base de agarose geralmente são aplicados para catalisar substratos solúveis (na qual o substrato pode facilmente penetrar nos poros do suporte) e biocatalisadores produzidos por imobilização em suportes baseados em nanopartículas magnéticas geralmente são aplicados na catalise de substratos grandes ou insolúveis, no qual a enzima é imobilizada na superfície do suporte, permitindo o contato da lipase com o substrato.

Palavras-chave: Imobilização. Co-imobilização. Lipase de *Pseudomonas fluorescens*

ABSTRACT

In this study, lipase from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (PFL) was immobilized and co-immobilized by different strategies, producing a biocatalyst library able to catalyze reactions of industrial interest in some operational conditions. Agarose and magnetic nanoparticles based supports were used as support for lipase immobilization and co-immobilization. In order to produce highly active biocatalysts, the strategy of immobilization in the open-form of lipase was maintained through adsorption on hydrophobic supports (Octyl-agarose and Octyl-nanoparticles), immobilization on heterofunctional supports containing hydrophobic groups (Glyoxyl-octyl-agarose) and covalent attachment on activated support in presence of surfactants (TEOS-nanoparticles). The strategies of co-immobilization were derived of some immobilization strategies: Multilayers of PFL were derived from the immobilization of PFL by interfacial adsorption on Octyl-agarose, which one layer of PFL is immobilized over the previous to multiply the final loading capacity of the support; PFL also was co-immobilized with other lipases (RML or LU) using the heterofunctional support (Glyoxyl-octyl-agarose) to reuse the more stable lipase (PFL) after inactivation, desorption and immobilization of the least stable lipase. These co-immobilized biocatalysts catalyze enzymatic cascade reactions or catalyze reactions involving heterogeneous substrates, such as modification of oils and fats. On the other hand, biocatalysts produced by immobilization on agarose-based supports generally are applied to catalyze soluble substrates (which the substrate can easily penetrate into the pores of the support) and biocatalysts produced by immobilization on magnetic nanoparticles-based supports generally are applied to catalyze insoluble or large substrates, which the enzyme is immobilized on the surface of the support, enabling the contact of the lipase with the substrate.

Keywords: Immobilization. Co-immobilization. Lipase from *Pseudomonas fluorescens*

FIGURES LIST

Figure 1.1 – Schematic representation of biocatalysts that will be studied in this work	30
Figure 2.1 – Lipase from <i>Pseudomonas</i> closed and open form. Protein data bank codes: closed form (1OIL), open form (2LIP). Active sites: Serine, Aspartate and Histidine	39
Figure 2.2 – Effect of detergents on the structure of lipase from <i>Pseudomonas</i>	43
Figure 2.3 – Purification of enzymatic extract by immobilization on ionic exchange matrices.....	52
Figure 2.4 – Schematic representation of chemical modification of lipases from <i>Pseudomonas</i>	53
Figure 2.5 – Schematic representation of strategies of immobilization of lipases from <i>Pseudomonas</i>	56
Figure 2.6 – Adsorption process of lipases from <i>Pseudomonas</i> on hydrophobic supports.....	57
Figure 2.7 – Model reaction of transesterification catalyzed by lipases from <i>Pseudomonas</i>	68
Figure 3.1 – Immobilization course of PFL in octyl agarose (A) and glyoxyl-octyl-agarose (B). The experiments were performed using 1mg of enzyme/g of support. Other specifications are described in Methods. Solid squares: reference; solid circles: supernatant; empty triangles: suspension.....	109
Figure 3.2 – Effect of the incubation of the activity of glyoxyl-octyl-PFL biocatalyst before reduction in 50 mM sodium carbonate-bicarbonate at pH 10.5 and 25°C. Experiments were performed as described in Methods.....	110
Figure 3.3 – Desorption of immobilized PFL in the presence of growing concentrations of Triton X-100 (0.5 – 4 % - v/v) in 50 mM Tris at pH 7 and 25°C. Solid circles: Octyl-PFL biocatalyst; Solid squares: Glyoxyl-octyl-PFL biocatalyst.....	111
Figure 3.4 – Inactivation profiles of different PFL preparations incubated under different conditions: 75 °C, pH 5 (A); 70 °C, pH 7 (B) and 65 °C, pH 9 (C). Other	

	specifications are described in methods. Solid circles: Octyl-PFL biocatalyst; Solid squares: Glyoxyl-octyl-PFL biocatalyst.....	113
Figure 3.5	– Effect of the presence of some ions on the inactivation profiles of different PFL biocatalysts incubated at pH 7 and 70°C. 50 mM sodium phosphate versus Tris (A); 50 mM Tris with or without addition of 10 mM CaCl ₂ (B). Other specifications are described in methods. Tris buffer without addition of further ions: solid line; Addition of other buffers (phosphate) or some additional salts (10 mM CaCl ₂): pointed line; Solid circles: Octyl-PFL; Solid squares: Glyoxyl-octyl-PFL.....	114
Figure 3.6	– Inactivation course of different PFL preparations incubated in the presence of 60 % acetonitrile (A) and 60 % 1,4 dioxane (B), at pH 7 and 50 °C. The experiments were performed in 50 mM Tris at pH 7 as described in Methods. Solid circles: Octyl-PFL; Solid squares: Glyoxyl-octyl-PFL.....	115
Figure 3.7	– Operational stability of different PFL biocatalysts in the hydrolysis of 1 M triacetin in 60% dioxane at pH 5 and 25°C. Other specifications are described in methods. Octyl-PFL (solid circles), Glyoxyl-octyl-PFL before washing (solid squares) and Glyoxyl-octyl-PFL after washing (solid triangles) with 4 % of Triton X-100.....	116
Figure 4.1	– Scheme of the multilayer immobilization strategy proposed in this paper. PEI: polyethylenimine; PFL: lipase from <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>	126
Figure 4.2	– Immobilization courses of PFL on octyl agarose at different enzyme loadings (A: 1 mg/g, B: 80 mg/g). Experiments details as described in Methods. Circles: supernatant; Triangles: suspension, Squares: reference.....	130
Figure 4.3	– Effect of enzyme loading in the inactivation courses of octyl PFL biocatalysts. Inactivation carried out in 50 mM Tris at pH 7 and 75 °C. Experiments details as described in Methods. Squares: highly loaded biocatalyst; Circles: lowly loaded biocatalyst.....	131
Figure 4.4	– Effect of PEI coating of lowly (A) and highly (B) loaded PFL octyl biocatalysts. Inactivation carried out in 50 mM Tris at pH 7 and 75 °C. Other details are	131

described in Methods section. Circles: unmodified preparations. Squares: PEI coated preparations.....

- Figure 4.5 – SDS-PAGE of the different immobilized PFL preparations. The experiments were performed as described in Methods (load was 80 mg/g per layer). Lane 1: Low molecular marker; Lane 2: OA-PFL, Lane 3, OA-PFL-PEI, Lane 4: OA-PFL-PEI-PFL, Lane 5: OA-PFL-PEI-PFL-PEI, Lane 6: OA-PFL-PEI-PFL-PEI-PFL..... 134
- Figure 4.6 – SDS-PAGE of the glutaraldehyde modified PFL preparations. The experiments were performed as described in Methods (load was 80 mg/g per layer). Lane 1: Low molecular marker; Lane 2: OA-PFL-PEI-PFL-Glutaraldehyde, Lane 3: OA-PFL-PEI-PFL-Glutaraldehyde-PEI, Lane 4: OA-PFL-PEI-PFL-Glutaraldehyde-PEI-PFL..... 138
- Figure 4.7 – Effect of glutaraldehyde modification of OA-PFL-PEI biocatalysts preparations at different enzyme loadings (A: lowly loaded, B: highly loaded). Inactivation was carried out in 50 mM Tris at pH 7 and 75 °C as described in Methods. Solid symbols: PEI coated octyl-PFL; Hollow symbols: Glutaraldehyde modified biocatalysts..... 139
- Figure 5.1 – Immobilization course PFL (A), RML (B) and LU (C) in octyl agarose beads. The experiments were performed using an enzyme loading of 1mg/g of support as described in Methods. Solid squares: reference, solid circles: supernatant; empty triangles: suspension..... 153
- Figure 5.2 – Inactivation profiles of different lipase biocatalysts incubated under different conditions. Other specifications are described in methods. Residual activity was determined at pH 7 and 25°C. 55 °C, pH 7 (A); 55 °C, pH 5 (B); 50 °C, pH 5 (C) and 42°C, pH 9 (D). Solid circles: Octyl-PFL; Solid triangles: Octyl-RML; Solid squares: Octyl-LU..... 154
- Figure 5.3 – Inactivation profile of different lipase preparations incubated in the presence of 30 % (v/v) of different cosolvents. Acetonitrile (A); 1,4-dioxane (B) and dimethylformamide (C). The experiments were performed at pH 7 and 25 °C as described in Methods. Solid circles: Octyl-PFL; Solid triangles: Octyl-RML; Solid squares: Octyl-LU..... 155

- Figure 5.4 – Inactivation profiles of different lipase preparations incubated under different conditions.: in the presence of 40 % (v/v) acetonitrile at 25 °C (A); in 30 % (v/v) acetonitrile at 30 °C (B); in 40 % (v/v) 1,4-dioxane at 25 °C (C) and 30 % (v/v) 1,4-dioxane at 30 °C (D) . The experiments were carried out at pH 7. Other specifications are described in Methods. Solid circles: Octyl-PFL; Solid triangles: Octyl-RML; Solid squares: Octyl-LU..... 157
- Figure 5.5 – Effect of the incubation in 4% Triton X-100 on the activity of glyoxyl-octyl-PFL biocatalyst. The lipase preparation was incubated in 4 % (v/v) of Triton X-100 at pH 7 and 25 °C. Experiments were performed as described in Methods. Empty circles: Glyoxyl-octyl-PFL (control); Solid circles: Glyoxyl-octyl-PFL in presence of Triton X-100..... 158
- Figure 5.6 – Effect of incubation in the presence of 4 %Triton X-100 of the supports and washing as described in methods, on the stability of glyoxyl-octyl-PFL at 70 °C, pH 7 (A). Reduced glyoxyl-Octyl was submitted to the same protocols and then RML (B) or LU (C) were immobilized. Inactivation was performed at 55 °C and pH 7. Experiments were performed as described in Methods Empty symbols: enzyme immobilized in supports without incubation with Triton X-100 (control); Solid symbols: enzyme immobilized on support incubated in Triton X-100 and washed with distilled water as described in methods..... 159
- Figure 5.7 – Courses of immobilization in the production of combibiocatalysts. Activity is given per gram of wet support used in the experiment. Experiments were carried out as described in Methods (A) LU immobilized on reduced glyoxyl-octyl-PFL. Solid line, empty square: LU reference; Solid line, solid square: suspension; Dotted line, empty squares: supernatant; Solid line, solid circle: reduced glyoxyl-octyl-PFL reference. (B) RML immobilized on reduced glyoxyl-octyl-PFL. Solid line, empty triangle: RML reference; Solid line, solid triangle: suspension; Dotted line, empty triangle: supernatant; Solid line, solid circle: reduced glyoxyl-octyl-PFL..... 160
- Figure 5.8 – SDS-PAGE analysis of combi-biocatalysts PFL-RML/PFL-LU. Lane 1: molecular weight marker, Lane 2: Octyl-PFL; Lane 3: Octyl-RML; Lane 4: Octyl-LU; Lane 5: COMBI-PFL-RML; Lane 6: COMBI-PFL-RML washed

with triton 4%; Lane 7: COMBI-PFL-LU; Lane 9: COMBI-PFL-LU washed with triton 4%. Experiments were performed as described in Methods..... 160

- Figure 5.9 – Cycles of reuse of reduced glyoxyl-octyl-PFL in the preparation of combilipases after immobilization of LU or RML and its inactivation and desorption and washing as described in Methods section. PFL is PFL covalently immobilized on glyoxyl-octyl. First cycle: LU was immobilized on reduced glyoxyl-octyl-PFL and inactivated at 50 °C, pH 5; Second cycle: Fresh RML was immobilized on reduced glyoxyl-octyl-PFL and inactivated at 42 °C, pH 9; Third cycle: Fresh LU was immobilized on reduced glyoxyl-octyl-PFL and inactivated in presence of 30 % acetonitrile at 30 °C, pH 7; Fourth cycle: Fresh RML was immobilized on reduced glyoxyl-octyl-PFL and inactivated in presence of 40 % acetonitrile at 25 °C, pH 7; Fifth cycle: Fresh RML was immobilized on reduced glyoxyl-octyl-PFL and inactivated at 55 °C, pH 7. After all inactivations cycles, the lipase preparations were incubated in 4 % (v/v) of Triton X-100 during 1 hour to desorb all lipases hydrophobic adsorbed on the support..... 161
- Figure 6.1 – Schematic representation of the production of OCTYL-NANO-PFL..... 175
- Figure 6.2 – XRD pattern of the NiZnFe₂O₄ nanoparticles. The black line represents the experimental data (Yobs), the dark gray line represents calculated intensities obtained through the refinement (Ycal) and the light gray line represents the relative difference between experimental and calculated data (Yobs-Ycal)..... 178
- Figure 6.3 – FTIR spectra of different nanoparticle samples. (A) Full (4000 to 350 cm⁻¹) and (B) extended (1900 to 800 cm⁻¹) FTIR spectra for NiZnFe, OCTYL-NANO and OCTYL-NANO-PFL samples. (C) Extended FTIR spectra of the modified biocatalysts..... 180
- Figure 6.4 – VSM curves for (A) NiZnFe nanoparticle, OCTYL-NANO and OCTYL-NANO-PFL samples and (B) chemically modified biocatalysts..... 181
- Figure 6.5 – Immobilization course of PFL on OCTYL-NANO. Relative total activities of reference (○) and supernatant (●) during immobilization and expressed activities during immobilization (■). The lines represent the tendency of experimental data. 100% is taken as the initial activity of PFL in both cases.....

- Figure 6.6 – Inactivation courses of differently modified OCTYL-NANO-PFL at 60 °C at different pH values. Unmodified biocatalyst (A); -GA 0.5 % modified biocatalyst – solid lines and -GA 5 % modified biocatalyst – dashed lines (B); -DVS 0.5 % modified biocatalyst – solid lines and -DVS 1 % modified biocatalyst – dashed lines (C); -BQ 0.5 % modified biocatalyst – solid lines and -BQ 5 % modified biocatalyst – dashed lines (D). Experiments have been performed in sodium citrate buffer at pH 5 (■), sodium phosphate buffer at pH 7 (●) and sodium carbonate-bicarbonate buffer at pH 9 (▲)..... 188
- Figure 6.7 – Loading capacity of PFL on octyl-nanoparticles. Immobilization yield (●) and expressed activity (■)..... 189
- Figure 6.8 – SDS-PAGE gels of different PFL immobilized preparations. Lane 1: Molecular weight marker; Lane 2: soluble PFL; Lane 3: unmodified biocatalyst (OCTYL-NANO-PFL); Lane 4: OCTYL-NANO-PFL-GA 0.5 %; Lane 5: OCTYL-NANO-PFL-GA 5 %; Lane 6: OCTYL-NANO-PFL-DVS 0.5 %; Lane 7: OCTYL-NANO-PFL-DVS 1 %; Lane 8: OCTYL-NANO-PFL-BQ 0.5 %; Lane 9: OCTYL-NANO-PFL-BQ 5 %..... 190
- Figure 6.9 – Reuse of different immobilized PFL magnetic biocatalyst in the hydrolysis of *p*NPB 1 mM. OCTYL-NANO-PFL: Solid line (□); OCTYL-NANO-PFL-GA 0.5 %: Dashed line (●); OCTYL-NANO-PFL-GA 5 %: Solid line (●); OCTYL-NANO-PFL-DVS 0.5 %: Dashed line (■); OCTYL-NANO-PFL-DVS 1 %: Solid line (■); OCTYL-NANO-PFL-BQ 0.5 %: Dashed line (▲); OCTYL-NANO-PFL-BQ 5 %: Solid line (▲)..... 191
- Figure 7.1 – Schematic representation of the preparation of TEOS-NANO-DVS-PFL and TEOS-NANO-BQ-PFL..... 208
- Figure 7.2 – FTIR spectra of samples of unmodified NiZnFe₂O₄ nanoparticles and TEOS nanoparticles. Experiments were performed as described in Methods. On the left: measures conducted at FTIR Agilent technologies ATR spectrometer (4000 – 600 cm⁻¹). On the right: measures conducted at Perkin Elmer spectrometer (760 – 400 cm⁻¹)..... 213
- Figure 7.3 – Effect of different surfactants on the stability of free *PFL*. A: Anionic surfactant – SDS; B: Cationic surfactant – CTAB; C: Non-ionic surfactant - Triton X-100. 215

Experiments were performed at pH 7 and 25°C, other specifications are described in methods. Concentration of surfactants: Control – 0 %: Solid line (■); 0.01 %: Dashed line (●); 0.05 %: Solid line (▲); 0.1 %: Dashed line (□). The relative activity was calculated considering as 100 % the lipase activity in initial time of assay. The lines represent the tendency of the experimental data....

- Figure 7.4 – Immobilization of PFL on TEOS nanoparticles activated with BQ at different pH values and 25°C. These assays were performed using 0.1 M of BQ in acetate, phosphate, carbonate-bicarbonate or carbonate buffer at pH values 5, 7, 10 and 12.5, respectively. Experiments wer carried out as described in methods, Immobilization yield (●); Immobilized enzyme activity (■). The lines represent the tendency of experimental data..... 217
- Figure 7.5 PFL Immobilization course on TEOS-NANO-BQ. Other specifcations are described in Methods. Relative activities of supernatant during immobilization at pH 5 (▲); pH 7 (●) and pH 10 (■). The lines represent the tendency of experimental data..... 219
- Figure 7.6 – Schematic representation of PFL immobilization at pHs 5, 7 and 10. This figure was made using pymol educational version (PDB lipase code: 2LIP)..... 220
- Figure 7.7 – Immobilization of PFL on TEOS nanoparticles activated with DVS at different pH values. These assays were performed with 0.1 M of DVS in acetate, phosphate, carbonate-bicarbonate or carbonate buffer, 200 mM, at pHs 5, 7, 10 and 12.5, respectively, at 25 °C. Other specifications are described in methods. Immobilization yield (●); Expressed activity in the biocatalyst (■). The lines represent the tendency of experimental data..... 222
- Figure 7.8 – Theoretic activity versus offered activity of TEOS-NANO-BQ-PFL (A) and TEOS-NANO-PFL (B). The lines represent the tendency of experimental data. Other specifications are described in methods..... 224
- Figure 7.9 – Operational stability of TEOS-NANO-BQ-PFL (●) and TEOS-NANO-PFL (■) preparations. Hydrolysis of *p*NPB was carried out at 25 °C, aqueous medium and pH 7. Other specifications are described in methods. The lines represent the tendency of experimental data..... 225

TABLES LIST

Table 2.1	– Properties of lipases from <i>Pseudomonas</i>	95
Table 2.2	– Conditions, nutrient sources and systems used to optimize the production of several strains of lipases from <i>Pseudomonas</i>	97
Table 2.3	– Strategies of immobilization of lipases from <i>Pseudomonas</i>	98
Table 2.4	– Application of lipases from <i>Pseudomonas</i>	100
Table 4.1	– Mass activity of different PFL biocatalysts in hydrolysis of 1 mM <i>p</i> NPB at 25 °C at pH 7. Experiments were performed as described in Methods. The loading of each layer as fairly similar, around 60-65 mg of protein/g of octyl agarose.....	132
Table 4.2	– Mass activity of different PFL biocatalysts in hydrolysis of 50 mM triacetin at pH 5. Activity is given in micromoles of acetic acid released per minute and gram of biocatalysts. T1: 25 °C. T2: 4 °C in the presence of 30 % acetonitrile (v/v). Experiments were carried out as described in Methods.....	135
Table 4.3	– Effect of the glutaraldehyde treatment of the PFL biocatalysts on enzyme activity in the hydrolysis of 50 mM triacetin at pH 5. T1: 25 °C. T2: 4 °C in the presence of 30 % acetonitrile (v/v). The data are given as relative activity, considering the activity of the respective no treated preparation as 100%. Experiments were performed as described in Methods.....	137
Table 6.1	– Structural parameters of the NiZnFe ₂ O ₄ nanoparticles obtained from Rietveld refinement.....	179
Table 6.2	– Vibrational modes of FTIR spectra of NiZnFe ₂ O ₄ nanoparticles. Stretching vibration (ν) and bending vibration (δ).....	181
Table 6.3	– Magnetic properties of the different samples.....	182
Table 6.4	– Effect of different treatments on activity and stability of the PFL immobilized preparations. Activity values are given as relative activity and the stability factor were calculated considering the unmodified biocatalyst as a 100 %. Inactivation conditions: 60 °C, 25 mM sodium phosphate buffer at pH 7.....	185
Table 7.1	– Values of the parameters of immobilization and thermal stability of TEOS-NANO-DVS-PFL biocatalyst. Immobilization conditions: sodium phosphate	

	buffer, 5 mM, pH 7; Triton X-100 0.1 % (v/v), during 24 hours, at 25 °C. Other specifications are described in methods. Inactivation conditions: 60 °C in presence of sodium phosphate buffer, 25 mM, pH 7.....	216
Table 7.2	– Values of the parameters of immobilization and thermal stabilities of TEOS-NANO-BQ-PFL biocatalysts produced at different concentrations of activating agent. Immobilization conditions: sodium phosphate buffer, 5 mM, pH 7; Triton X-100 0.1 % (v/v), during 24 hours, at 25 °C. Other specifications are described in methods. Inactivation conditions: 60°C in presence of sodium phosphate buffer, 25mM, pH 7.....	218
Table 7.3	– Half-lives of different TEOS-NANO-BQ-PFL immobilized at pH 5, 7 or 10 for 24 of contact (enzyme-support). Other specifications are described in methods. Inactivation conditions: 60°C in presence of sodium phosphate buffer, 25 mM, pH 7.....	221
Table 7.4	– Data of parameters of immobilization and thermal stabilities of TEOS-NANO-DVS-PFL biocatalysts produced at different concentrations of activating agent. Other specifications are described in methods. Immobilization conditions: sodium phosphate buffer, 5 mM, pH 7; Triton X-100 0.1 % (v/v), during 24 hours, at 25 °C. Inactivation conditions: 60°C in presence of sodium phosphate buffer, 25 mM, pH 7.....	223

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BQ	<i>p</i> -Benzoquinone
CTAB	Cetyltrimethylammonium Bromide
DVS	Divinylsulfone
FTIR	Fourier-Transform Infrared spectroscopy
GA	Glutaraldehyde
LU	Lecitase Ultra
OA	Octyl-agarose
OCTYL-NANO	Nanoparticles coated with octyltriethoxysilane
OTES	Octyltriethoxysilane
<i>p</i> NPB	<i>p</i> -Nitrophenyl Butyrate
PFL	Lipase from <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i>
RML	Lipase from <i>Rhizomucor miehei</i>
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulfate
TEOS	Tetraethoxysilane
TEOS-NANO	Nanoparticles coated with tetraethoxysilane
VSM	Vibrating Sample Magnetometry

SUMMARY

1	INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES	26
1.1.	Introduction	27
1.2.	Objectives	30
<i>1.2.1.</i>	<i>General objective</i>	30
<i>1.2.2.</i>	<i>Specific objectives</i>	30
1.3.	References	31
2	LITERATURE REVIEW: BIOTECHNOLOGICAL POTENTIAL OF LIPASES FROM <i>Pseudomonas</i>: SOURCES, PROPERTIES AND APPLICATIONS	35
2.1.	Abstract	36
2.2.	Lipases as biocatalysts	37
2.3.	Properties of lipases from <i>Pseudomonas</i>	38
<i>2.3.1.</i>	<i>Optimal pH and temperature</i>	40
<i>2.3.2</i>	<i>Effect of metal ions and stability in organic solvents</i>	40
<i>2.3.3.</i>	<i>Effect of Detergent</i>	40
<i>2.3.4.</i>	<i>Substrate specificity</i>	43
2.4.	Lipases from <i>Pseudomonas</i>: Production	44
<i>2.4.1.</i>	<i>Molecular cloning (folding and secretion strategies)</i>	44
<i>2.4.2.</i>	<i>Influence of media composition and presence of substrates</i>	48
<i>2.4.2.1.</i>	<i>Carbon and nitrogen sources</i>	48
<i>2.4.2.2.</i>	<i>Other sources</i>	50
2.5.	Purification of lipases from <i>Pseudomonas</i>	50
2.6.	Chemical modification of lipases from <i>Pseudomonas</i>	52
2.7.	Immobilization of lipases from <i>Pseudomonas</i>	55
<i>2.7.1.</i>	<i>Adsorption of lipases from <i>Pseudomonas</i></i>	56
<i>2.7.2.</i>	<i>Immobilization of lipases from <i>Pseudomonas</i> by covalent attachment</i>	59
<i>2.7.3.</i>	<i>Encapsulation of lipases from <i>Pseudomonas</i></i>	63
<i>2.7.4.</i>	<i>Cross-linked enzyme aggregates of lipases from <i>Pseudomonas</i></i>	64
2.8.	Application of lipases from <i>Pseudomonas</i> as biocatalysts	65
<i>2.8.1.</i>	<i>Hydrolysis reactions</i>	65
<i>2.8.2.</i>	<i>Esterification reaction</i>	67
<i>2.8.3.</i>	<i>Transesterification reaction</i>	67

2.8.4.	<i>Resolution of racemic mixtures</i>	69
2.8.5.	<i>Other applications</i>	71
2.9.	Conclusion	71
2.10.	Acknowledgments	72
2.11.	References	72
3	IMMOBILIZATION OF LIPASE FROM <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> ON GLYOXYL-OCTYL-AGAROSE BEADS: IMPROVED STABILITY AND REUSABILITY	102
3.1.	Abstract	103
3.2.	Introduction	104
3.3.	Materials and methods	106
3.3.1.	<i>Materials</i>	106
3.3.2.	<i>Methods</i>	107
3.3.2.1.	<i>Immobilization of PFL on glyoxyl-octyl agarose</i>	107
3.3.2.2.	<i>Immobilization of PFL on octyl-agarose beads</i>	107
3.3.2.3.	<i>Determination of enzyme activity and protein concentration</i>	107
3.3.2.4.	<i>Lipase biocatalysts inactivations</i>	107
3.3.2.5.	<i>Desorption of PFL from the supports</i>	108
3.3.2.6.	<i>SDS-PAGE analysis of the different biocatalysts</i>	108
3.3.2.7.	<i>Hydrolysis of triacetin</i>	108
3.4.	Results and discussion	109
3.4.1.	<i>Immobilization of PFL on octyl and glyoxyl-octyl agarose beads</i>	109
3.4.2.	<i>Study of the stability different immobilized PFL biocatalysts under different conditions</i>	112
3.4.3.	<i>Operational stability of the different preparations of PFL in hydrolysis of triacetin in 60% dioxane</i>	115
3.5.	Conclusions	116
3.6.	Acknowledgments	117
3.7.	References	117
4.	INCREASING THE ENZYME LOADING CAPACITY OF POROUS SUPPORTS BY A LAYER-BY-LAYER IMMOBILIZATION STRATEGY USING PEI AS GLUE	123
4.1.	Abstract	124

4.2.	Introduction	125
4.3.	Materials and methods	127
4.3.1.	Materials	127
4.3.2.	Methods	128
4.3.2.1.	Immobilization of PFL.....	128
4.3.2.1.1.	<i>Immobilization of PFL on octyl agarose (OA)</i>	128
4.3.2.1.2.	<i>Coating of the immobilized enzyme with PEI</i>	128
4.3.2.1.3.	<i>Immobilization of PFL on PEI-coated biocatalysts</i>	128
4.3.2.2.	Determination of enzymatic activities.....	129
4.3.2.2.1.	<i>Hydrolysis of p-nitrophenyl butyrate (p-NPB)</i>	129
4.3.2.2.2.	<i>Hydrolysis of triacetin</i>	129
4.3.2.3.	<i>Electrophoresis SDS-PAGE</i>	129
4.3.2.4.	<i>Thermal inactivations</i>	129
4.4.	Results and Discussion	130
4.4.1.	<i>Immobilization of PFL on octyl agarose</i>	130
4.4.2.	<i>Coating of OA-PFL biocatalysts with PEI</i>	131
4.4.3.	<i>Immobilization of further PFL layers on OA-PFL-PEI biocatalyst</i>	131
4.4.4.	<i>Activity of the different PFL biocatalysts versus triacetin</i>	134
4.4.5.	<i>Treatment of the biocatalysts with glutaraldehyde</i>	136
4.5.	Conclusions	139
4.6.	Acknowledgments	139
4.7.	References	140
5	REUSE OF LIPASE FROM <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> VIA ITS STEP BY STEP COIMMOBILIZATION ON GLYOXYL-OCTYL AGAROSE BEADS WITH LESS STABLE LIPASES	145
5.1.	Abstract	146
5.2.	Introduction	147
5.3.	Materials and methods	150
5.3.1.	<i>Materials</i>	150
5.3.2.	<i>Methods</i>	150
5.3.2.1.	<i>Immobilization of lipases on octyl-agarose beads</i>	151
5.3.2.2.	<i>Coimmobilization of lipases</i>	151
5.3.2.3.	<i>Determination of enzymatic activity and protein concentration</i>	151

5.3.2.4.	<i>Immobilized lipase inactivations</i>	151
5.3.2.5.	<i>Desorption of lipases from the support</i>	152
5.3.2.6.	<i>SDS-PAGE electrophoresis</i>	152
5.4.	Results and discussion	152
5.4.1.	<i>Immobilization on octyl-agarose and comparison of stabilities of immobilized RML, LU and PFL</i>	152
5.4.2.	<i>Effect of detergent on PFL-glyoxyl-octyl stability</i>	157
5.4.3.	<i>Preparation of combi PFL-RML and Combi PFL-LU</i>	159
5.4.4	<i>Cycles of enzyme inactivation, inactivated enzyme desorption and new enzyme loading</i>	161
5.5.	Conclusions	161
5.6.	Acknowledgments	162
5.7.	References	162
6.	FURTHER STABILIZATION OF LIPASE FROM <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> IMMOBILIZED ON OCTYL COATED NANOPARTICLES VIA CHEMICAL MODIFICATION WITH BIFUNCTIONAL AGENTS	168
6.1.	Abstract	169
6.2.	Introduction	170
6.3.	Materials and methods	173
6.3.1.	<i>Materials</i>	173
6.3.2.	<i>Methods</i>	173
6.3.2.1.	<i>Preparation of octyl-nanoparticles</i>	173
6.3.2.1.1.	Synthesis of superparamagnetic NiZnFe ₂ O ₄ nanoparticles.....	173
6.3.2.1.2.	Functionalization of NiZnFe ₂ O ₄ nanoparticles with OTES.....	173
6.3.2.2.	<i>Characterization of nanoparticles</i>	174
6.3.2.3.	<i>Immobilization of PFL on octyl-nanoparticles</i>	174
6.3.2.4.	<i>Chemical modification of OCTYL-NANO-PFL with GA, DVS and BQ</i>	175
6.3.2.5.	<i>Determination of enzymatic activity and protein concentration</i>	175
6.3.2.6.	<i>SDS-PAGE electrophoresis analyses</i>	176
6.3.2.7.	<i>Stress inactivation of different PFL biocatalysts</i>	176
6.3.2.8.	<i>Capture and reuse of the magnetic biocatalysts</i>	177
6.4.	Results and discussion	177

6.4.1.	<i>Characterization of superparamagnetic NiZnFe₂O₄ nanoparticles before and after enzyme immobilization</i>	177
6.4.2.	<i>Immobilization of PFL on octyl-nanoparticles</i>	183
6.4.3.	<i>Chemical modification of OCTYL-NANO-PFL with GA, DVS and BQ</i>	184
6.4.4.	<i>Effect of inactivation pH on the stability of the different PFL biocatalysts</i>	186
6.4.5.	<i>Loading capacity of OCTYL-NANO for PFL immobilization</i>	188
6.4.6.	<i>SDS-PAGE analysis of immobilized preparations</i>	189
6.4.7.	<i>Repetitive capture of the different OCTYL-NANO-PFL particles</i>	190
6.5.	Conclusion	192
6.6.	Acknowledgements	192
6.7.	References	192
7.	COMPARISON OF THE IMMOBILIZATION OF LIPASE FROM <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> ON DIVINYLSULFONE OR <i>P</i>-BENZOQUINONE ACTIVATED SUPPORT	204
7.1.	Abstract	205
7.2.	Introduction	206
7.3.	Materials and methods	209
7.3.1.	<i>Materials</i>	209
7.3.2.	<i>Methods</i>	209
7.3.2.1.	<i>Synthesis of superparamagnetic NiZnFe₂O₄ nanoparticles</i>	209
7.3.2.2.	<i>Functionalization of superparamagnetic NiZnFe₂O₄ nanoparticles with tetraethoxysilane (TEOS)</i>	209
7.3.2.3.	<i>Activation of TEOS functionalized nanoparticles with divinylsulfone (DVS) or <i>p</i>-benzoquinone (BQ)</i>	210
7.3.2.4.	<i>Characterization of superparamagnetic modified and non-modified NiZnFe₂O₄ nanoparticles</i>	210
7.3.2.5.	<i>Immobilization of PFL on TEOS-NANO-DVS or TEOS-NANO-BQ</i>	210
7.3.2.5.1.	<i>Immobilization of PFL on no treated TEOS-NANO</i>	211
7.3.2.6.	<i>Enzyme activity</i>	211
7.3.2.7.	<i>Immobilization parameters</i>	211
7.3.2.8.	<i>Thermal inactivation of immobilized enzymes</i>	211
7.3.2.9.	<i>Support loading capacity</i>	212
7.3.2.10.	<i>Operational stability of immobilized biocatalysts</i>	212

7.4.	Results and discussion.....	212
7.4.1.	<i>Fourier Transform Infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) of TEOS-NiZnFe₂O₄ superparamagnetic nanoparticles</i>	212
7.4.2.	<i>Effect of surfactants on the stability of PFL.....</i>	213
7.4.3.	<i>Immobilization of PFL on TEOS-NANO support</i>	216
7.4.4.	<i>Immobilization of PFL on TEOS-NANO-BQ support: optimization of the support activation.....</i>	216
7.4.4.1.	<i>Immobilization of Pseudomonas fluorescens lipase on TEOS-NANO-BQ support: optimization of immobilization conditions.....</i>	218
7.4.5.	<i>Immobilization of PFL on TEOS-NANO-DVS support: optimization of support activation.....</i>	221
7.4.6.	<i>Enzyme load of TEOS-NANO-BQ-PFL and TEOS-NANO-PFL biocatalysts..</i>	224
7.4.7.	<i>Operational stability of immobilized PFL.....</i>	225
7.5.	Conclusion.....	225
7.6.	Acknowledgements.....	226
7.7.	References.....	226
8.	FINAL CONSIDERATIONS.....	233
8.1.	Final considerations.....	234
9	APPENDIX.....	236