

Submit a Manuscript: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/ Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx DOI: 10.5410/wjcu.v3.i3.249 World J Clin Urol 2014 November 24; 3(3): 249-257 ISSN 2219-2816 (online) © 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

REVIEW

Phosphodiesterase inhibitors for treatment of voiding dysfunction: An overview of experimental and clinical evidence

Ricardo Reges, Rommel Prata Regadas, João Batista Gadelha Cerqueira, Lúcio Flávio Gonzaga-Silva

Ricardo Reges, Rommel Prata Regadas, João Batista Gadelha Cerqueira, Lúcio Flávio Gonzaga-Silva, Division of Urology, Universidade Federal of Ceara, Fortaleza, Ceara 60160-070, Brazil

Author contributions: Reges R and Regadas RP substantially contributed to conception and design, acquisition of data, analysis and interpretation of data; Reges R and Regadas RP drafted the article and revised it critically for important intellectual content; Reges R, Cerqueira JBG and Gonzaga-Silva LF approved the final version to be published.

Correspondence to: Ricardo Reges, MD, PhD, Division of Urology, Universidade Federal of Ceara, Antonele Bezerra st. Ap 1501. Meireles, Fortaleza, Ceara 60160-070,

Brazil. consultoriodeurologia@gmail.com

Telephone:+55-85-32623730 Received: April 28, 2014 Revised: June 14, 2014

Accepted: July 17, 2014

Published online: November 24, 2014

Abstract

Recently, the focus of the origin of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) has change from the prostate to the bladder. Regardless of the underlying mechanism associated with the origin of LUTS, alpha-blockers continue to be the most common medicine prescribed to treat LUTS due to benign prostatic obstruction (BPO). The newest class of drug introduced to treat LUTS/BPO is phosphodiesterase inhibitors (PDEi) and the aim of this study was to review the role of PDEi in the treatment of LUTS/BPO. In this review, the first evidence was evaluated based on epidemiological studies followed by randomized clinical trials which provide evidence on the administration of PDEi in patients with LUTS/BPO. Experimental studies were also assessed to tentatively elucidate the association between LUTS and erectile dysfunction, and to elucidate the underlying mechanism. There is still controversy regarding the administration of PDEi due to the fear of detrusor

impairment, response to acute administration, and the effects of PDEi combined with alpha-blockers. Following this review, we conclude that treatment of BPO/LUTS with PDEi is beneficial, based on experimental studies, strong evidence and the large number of randomized clinical trials confirming their efficiency.

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{C}}$ 2014 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Key words: Benign prostatic hyperplasia; Lower urinary tract symptoms; Phosphodiesterase inhibitor; Mechanism of action; Urodynamics

Core tip: In this study, an extensive review was performed on the use of phosphodiesterase inhibitors to treat lower urinary symptoms due to benign prostatic obstruction. This study explored experimental and recent clinical evidence in order to assist in the decisionmaking process in daily practice.

Reges R, Regadas RP, Cerqueira JBG, Gonzaga-Silva LF. Phosphodiesterase inhibitors for treatment of voiding dysfunction: An overview of experimental and clinical evidence. *World J Clin Urol* 2014; 3(3): 249-257 Available from: URL: http://www. wjgnet.com/2219-2816/full/v3/i3/249.htm DOI: http://dx.doi. org/10.5410/wjcu.v3.i3.249

INTRODUCTION

The population is ageing worldwide and consequently the prevalence of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) is increasing and becoming a public health problem. As men grow older the prevalence of histologic benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) also increases. BPH is observed in approximately 8% of men aged 31-40 years, 42% of men aged 51-60 years, 71% of men aged 61-70



Table 1 Initial evidence based in epidemiological studies of a common pathophysiology between lower urinary tract symptoms and erectile dysfunction

Ref.	Number of participants	Major conclusion
Cologne Male Survey		
Braun <i>et al</i> ^[6]	4000	72.2% of patients with ED had concomitant LUTS
		Only 37.7% had LUTS without ED
Population-based cohort study in Brazil		
Moreira <i>et al</i> ^[7]	602	Incidence of ED was 65.5 cases per 1000 person-years
		Relative risk of ED was 1.8-7.5 in patients with LUTS
Sexual dysfunction in European men		
Vallancien et al ^[8]	1274	Prevalence ED-Mild (55%), severe (70%) LUTS
		Prevalence of ED was 55% in men with mild LUTS and increased to 70% in
		severe LUTS
Association of LUTS in Japanese men with ere	ectile dysfunction	
Terai <i>et al</i> ^[9]	3189	Severity of ED was significantly associated with moderate to severe IPSS,
		RR = 1.5 which persisted after adjustment for age
Boston Area Community Health survey		
Brookes <i>et al</i> ^[10]	2301	Strong association was observed between the AUA-SI associated to ED and
		ED after adjusting for age

ED: Erectile dysfunction; LUTS: Lower urinary tract symptoms; RR: Relative risk; IPSS: International Prostatic Score Symptoms; AUA-SI: American Urological Association Symptom Index.

years, and 88% of men aged 81 years and older^[1]. BPH may result in enlargement of the prostate, also defined as benign prostatic enlargement and may be associated with bladder outlet obstruction (BOO). BOO in this case is defined as a benign prostatic obstruction (BPO). Permanent BPO may result in adaptive changes of the detrusor muscle causing storage LUTS, if BPO progresses and persists this may lead to a failure of the detrusor resulting in emptying LUTS. Due to these observations the focus of the origins of LUTS has changed from the prostate to the bladder. As the pathophysiology of LUTS is not totally understood it has been hypothesized that possible LUTS/BPO arises due to local alterations in detrusor smooth muscle cells, local receptors, neural signalization, blood flow and changes in the extracellular matrix. Regardless of the underlying mechanism associated with the origin of LUTS, alpha-blockers are the most common medicine prescribed to treat LUTS/BPO^[2]. Alpha-blockers decrease urethral resistance and improve the urinary flow by relaxation of smooth muscle of the prostate and bladder neck.

Other drugs have been used to treat LUTS related to BPO such as 5-alpha-reductase inhibitors. These are taken alone or with alpha-blockers to decrease progression of the disease or to avoid urinary retention^[3,4]. Anticholinergics have also been administered to patients with predominant storage LUTS/BPO with a low risk of urinary retention regardless of obstruction^[5]. The newest class of drug introduced to treat BPO is phosphodiesterase inhibitors (PDEi) and the aim of this study was to review the role of PDEi in the treatment of LUTS/BPO.

INITIAL EVIDENCE

The use of PDEi in patients with LUTS/BPO was proposed initially based on observational epidemiological studies specially designed to evaluate erectile dysfunction (ED). It was observed in these studies that demographic data showed a similar prevalence of ED and LUTS/BPO in men as they aged, raising the possibility of a common underlying mechanism contributing to both conditions.

The pioneering work carried out in 2000 to study the prevalence of ED in Germany in the Cologne Male Survey evaluated 4000 patients^[6]. LUTS/BPO was present in 72.2% of patients with ED, however, only 37.7% had LUTS/BPO without ED. It was also observed in a Brazilian Cohort Study that an epidemiological association existed between LUTS/BPO and ED. In this particular study, the relative risk of ED was 1.8-7.5 in patients complaining of LUTS and this risk was greater than smoking or cardiac symptoms^[7]. In Europe a demographic study evaluating 1274 European men showed that 55% of patients with mild LUTS/BPO had ED, however, the prevalence of ED increased to 70% in patients with severe LUTS/ BPO^[8]. In a Japanese Cross-Sectional Survey, a correlation between ED and LUTS/BPO was observed and the relative risk was 1.5 which persisted after adjustment for age^[9]. In the United States of America, multivariate regression of the Boston Area Community Health Survey data found an association between the American Urological Association Symptom Index and ED without differences in race or ethnicity^[10]. Therefore, in different parts of the world several studies showed an epidemiological correlation between ED and BPO/LUTS (Table 1).

Clinical use of phosphodiesterase inhibitors to treat BPO/LUTS

Following and during these observational studies, a proof-of-concept clinical study to evaluate improvement in BPO/LUTS in men taking sildenafil for ED was performed in 2002^[11]. Patients taking sildenafil were evaluated using the International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) and International Prostate Symptoms Score (IPSS) instruments at baseline, one and three months. During



the treatment period, an improvement in the IPSS and quality of life (QoL) was observed. An inverse relationship between IPSS and IIEF during treatment with sildenafil was also noted. The major limitations of this study were its open label and uncontrolled design. In other uncontrolled studies, a similar impact of sildenafil in BPO/LUTS and ED was observed^[12,13]. Different from the uncontrolled design of the papers reported above, the next generation of studies included randomized and placebo-controlled trials.

In 2007, the first multicenter, randomized, placebocontrolled, double-blind trial was reported^[14]. The end point was defined as change from baseline of erectile function assessed with the IIEF instrument. Secondary end points were changes in LUTS from baseline evaluated with the IPSS, QoL question of the IPSS, Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia Impact Index (BPHII), peak flow rate (Qmax), Self-Esteem And Relationship (SEAR) scores and end of treatment satisfaction using Erectile Dysfunction Inventory of Treatment Satisfaction Index Score. Compared with placebo, sildenafil significantly improved the IIEF, IPSS, BPHII, IPSS QoL and SEAR score. Significant improvement in Qmax was not observed in the sildenafil group compared with placebo. The limitations of this study were lack of a placebo runin period and determination of correlations between LUTS and ED improvements.

In 2007, another multicenter, randomized, placebocontrolled, double-blind trial assessed the efficacy of tadalafil once daily for BPO/LUTS^[15]. Inclusion criteria were age greater than 45 years and IPSS > 12 for at least six months. Exclusion criteria were elevated prostatic score antigen (PSA), recent use of 5^{∞} -reductase inhibitors, use of BPH medication during study, history of pelvic surgery, liver failure, other causes of LUTS, uncontrolled diabetes, and nitrate use or chemotherapy. Different from previous studies, a placebo run-in period was included in the study design. After a four-week placebo run-in period, 281 men with BPO/LUTS were randomized to 5 mg tadalafil daily for six weeks, followed by dose escalation to 20 mg for six weeks or placebo for a total of 12 wk. Tadalafil significantly improved the mean change from baseline IPSS compared with placebo. Improvement was also seen in the IPSS QoL, BPHII and IIEF. No significant change was observed in Qmax. Based on these results, the authors concluded that daily tadalafil caused a significant improvement in BPO/LUTS and ED.

In 2008, in an 8-wk randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study, vardenafil 10 mg was administered to 222 men with BPO/LUTS with or without ED. Inclusion criteria were age 45-64 years and IPSS score $\geq 12^{[16]}$. Exclusion criteria were vardenafil contraindications, spinal cord injury, prostatitis, urethral stricture, urinary retention, bladder or prostate cancer, past cancer with low life expectancy, use of androgens, anticoagulants, ED treatments, or alpha-blockers during the treatment period. The IPSS score, Qmax, postvoid residual urine volume

(PVR), and the erectile dysfunction domain of the IIEF were assessed. Vardenafil significantly improved the mean change in the IPSS from baseline compared with placebo. It also improved ED and QoL. However, no changes in Qmax or PVR were noted. A weak point of this study was the lack of a placebo run-in phase.

A dose-finding study was reported in 2008^[17]. In this study, after a 4-wk placebo run-in period, 1058 men with BPO/LUTS were randomized to receive daily tadalafil (2.5, 5, 10 or 20 mg) or placebo. Inclusion criteria were age greater than 45 years, IPSS score ≥ 12 for at least 6 mo, and Qmax between 4 and 15 mL/s. Exclusion criteria were elevated PSA, recent use of 5∝-reductase inhibitors, use of BPH medication during study, history of pelvic surgery, liver failure, other causes of LUTS, uncontrolled diabetes, and nitrate use or chemotherapy. IPSS change from baseline to endpoint was improved with all tadalafil doses compared with placebo. In the Global Assessment Questionnaire, LUTS also improved at all doses, however, doses greater than 5 mg had minimal improvement with more side effects. As a consequence, this improvement demonstrated a dose-response relationship and 5 mg tadalafil once daily had a positive risk-benefit profile. No significant change in Qmax was observed.

It is possible to conclude with a high level of evidence that PDEi clearly improves BPO/LUTS based on the results presented in these four clinical trials.

Following these randomized controlled trials (RCTs), more recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses have emerged^[18-20].

In 2013, a study that aimed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of tadalafil 5 mg once daily compared to placebo over 12 wk for the treatment of both LUTS/BPO and ED in sexually active men was performed. The data were pooled from four multinational, randomized studies of men \geq 45 years with LUTS/BPO. The randomization and placebo run-in period were strong points in this study. Principal end-points were change in the IPSS, QoL, BPHII, and IIEF. Tadalafil (n = 505) significantly improved total IPSS vs placebo (n = 521); mean changes from baseline were -6.0 and -3.6, respectively (P < 0.001). Improvements in the IIEF Domain score (tadalafil, 6.4; placebo, 1.4) were also significant vs placebo, as were the IPSS, IPSS QoL, and BPHII (all P < 0.001). The authors concluded that tadalafil was efficacious and well tolerated in the treatment of ED and LUTS/BPO^[20].

In one of these meta-analyses, the use of PDEi alone or in combination with alpha-blockers was summarized to identify the best candidates for this treatment based on clinical features and LUTS severity^[18]. Trials included in this review were selected using the following inclusion criteria: (1) They were RCTs; (2) The subject of the study was a PDEi for LUTS/BPO; (3) Control groups received placebo for PDEi alone or alpha-blockers alone and PDEi plus alpha-blockers; and (4) The primary outcomes were the IPSS, IIEF, and Qmax. Of 508 retrieved studies, 497 articles were excluded; leaving only 11 studies. More than 6000 men evaluated in these 11 studies were includ-

Reges R et al. Phosphodiesterase inhibitors for treatment of voiding dysfunction

Table 2 Randomized clinical trials and meta-analyses with strong evidence for the use of phosphodiesterase inhibitors in patients with lower urinary tract symptoms due to benign prostatic obstruction

Sairam et al ^[11]			Participant/inclusion criteria	End point	Major conclusion
Sanantei ui	Not RCT	No	112 male patients	Assess relationship	No relation between ED score
			All taking sildenafil Inclusion	between ED and LUTS;	and LUTS before treat ED
			criteria was presence ED	if sildenafil influences	Sildenafil improves ED and
				LUTS in patients with ED	LUTS
McVary et al ^[14]	Open-label,	No	369 patients were randomized	Change IPSS, QoL, BPHII,	Sildenafil improve IIEF, IPSS,
	randomized, double-		to sildenafil 100 mg ($n = 189$)	Qmax, SEAR, and EDITS	BPHII, IPSS QoL and SEAR
	blind, placebo-		or placebo ($n = 180$) during 12		score
	controlled		wk/Men with ED and LUTS		Qmax not altered
McVary et al ^[15]	Randomized,	Yes	281 men randomized to tadalafil	Change IPSS, QoL,	Tadalafil improve IPSS, QoL,
	double-blind,		5 mg daily, followed by dose	BPHII, Qmax, and IIEF	BPHII, and IIEF
	placebo-controlled		escalation to 20 mg/Men aged 45		Qmax not altered
			yr or higher and IPSS > 12		
Stief et al ^[16]	Randomized,	No	222 men were randomized to	Change in IPSS, Qmac,	Vardenafil improve IPSS,
	double-blind,		vardenafil 10 mg twice daily or	PVR, and IIEF	IIEF, and QoL
	placebo-controlled		placebo/age 45-64 yr, IPSS \ge 12,		Qmax and PVR not altered
			with or without ED		
Roehrborn et al ^[17]	Randomized,	Yes	1058 men were randomized to	Change in IPSS, IIEF,	Tadalafil improve IPSS and
	double-blind,		receive daily tadalafil 2.5, 5, 10	QoL, BPHII, GAQ, and	GAQ in all doses
	placebo-controlled		or 20 mg/age greater than 45 yr,	Qmax	But, dose higher than 5 mg
			IPSS \geq 12, and Qmax between		had minimal improvement
			4-15 mL/s		with higher side effects
					Qmax not altered
Porst et al ^[20]	Meta-analysis		1026 men, tadalafil (n = 505)	Change in IPSS, QoL,	Tadalafil improve IPSS, QoL,
			compared to placebo ($n =$	BPHII, and IIEF	BPHII, and IIEF compared
			521). Data pooled from four		with placebo
			multinational study/age ≥ 45 yr,		
			presence of LUTS/BPO		
Gacci et al ^[18]	Meta-analysis		Twelve studies, been seven	Change in IPSS, IIEF, and	PDEi alone improve IPSS,
			studies ($n = 3214$) comparing	Qmax	IIEF, but not Qmax
			PDEi vs placebo, and five ($n =$	Identify best candidates	Association of PDEi with
			216) on the combination of PDEi	for treatment with PDEi	∞ -blockers improve IPSS,
			with ∞ -blockers $vs \infty$ -blockers	based on clinical features	IIEF, and Qmax
			alone/Men with LUTS/BPO		
Yan et al ^[19]	Meta-analysis		515 patients (seven studies)/	Compare combination	Combination of PDEi with
			patients with LUTS/BPO and ED	of PDEi with ∞ -blockers	∞ -blockers has additive
				$vs \propto$ -blockers alone.	favorable effects compared
				Change IPSS, QoL,	with PDEi monotherapy
				BPHII, Qmax, and IIEF	

RCT: Randomized control trial; ED: Erectile dysfunction; LUTS: Lower urinary tract symptoms; IPSS: International prostatic symptoms score; QoL: Quality of life; BPHII: Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia Impact Index; Qmax: Peak flow rate; SEAR: Self-esteem and relationship; EDITS: Erectile Dysfunction Inventory of Treatment Satisfaction Index Score; IIEF: International Index of Erectile Function; PVR: Postvoid residual urine volume; GAQ: Global Assessment Question; BPO: Benign prostatic obstruction; PDEi: Phosphodiesterase inhibitors.

ed in this meta-analysis, with seven evaluating PDEi *vs* placebo in 3214 men, and five evaluating the combination of PDEi with alpha-blockers *vs* alpha-blockers alone in 216 men. Median follow-up in all RCTs was 12 wk. The IIEF score (5.5; P < 0.0001) and IPSS (-2.8; P < 0.0001) were significantly different, but not the Qmax (-0.00; P = not significant) at the end of the study as compared with placebo. The association of PDEi and alpha-adrenergic blockers improved the IIEF score (3.6; P < 0.0001), IPSS score (-1.8; P = 0.05), and Qmax (1.5; P < 0.0001) at the end of the study as compared with a-blockers alone. Therefore, the meta-analysis suggested that PDEi can significantly improve LUTS and EF in men with BPO.

A recent meta-analysis was carried out to evaluate the efficacy of PDEi alone or in combination with alphablockers for the treatment of ED and LUTS/BPO. The databases MEDLINE, EMBASE, PubMed, the Cochrane Controlled Trial Register of Controlled Trials, and the Chinese Biological Medical Database were searched to identify RCTs that referred to the use of a combination of PDE5 inhibitors and alpha-blockers for the treatment of ED and LUTS associated with BPH. The principal objectives were to evaluate the IPSS, Qmax, and IIEF. Seven publications involving 515 patients were included in the meta-analysis. PDE5 inhibitors and alpha-blockers significantly improved the IIEF, IPSS, and Qmax values compared with PDE5 inhibitors alone (P = 0.04, 0.004, 0.007, respectively). The major conclusion was that the combined use of PDEi and alpha-blockers results in additive favorable effects in men with ED and LUTS/BPO compared with PDEi monotherapy^[19] (Table 2).

It is important to note that although many of these studies evaluated men with ED and LUTS/BPO, some studies reported an improvement in LUTS/BPO independently of ED^[21,22].

Experimental studies

Due to the particular course presented above, the clinical use of PDEi to treat BPO/LUTS began before the mechanism of action of these drugs was known. Therefore, because the mechanism of action was not understood, a common pathophysiological link between ED and BPH was investigated, and an increasing number of experimental studies have emerged in subsequent years^[23,24].

To explain the mechanism involved, several theories have been proposed. The four principal hypotheses are: ischemia due to pelvic atherosclerosis, autonomic hyperactivity, a calcium-independent Rho-kinase activation pathway, and reduced nitric oxide (NO) levels^[25,26].

The ischemia hypothesis is based on blood flow to the lower urinary tract (LUT) being affected by smooth muscle cell (SMC) contraction, thus decreasing oxygenation leading to chronic ischemia of LUT tissue and contributing to LUTS. Atherosclerosis is associated with remodeling of SMCs in the pelvic vasculature^[27,28], penis^[29,30], and bladder^[28] also associated with LUTS. Therefore PDEi may act by increasing perfusion of the bladder through relaxation of SMCs resulting in increased oxygenation.

It has also been postulated in experimental studies that overactivity of terminal afferent nerves (autonomic) within LUT may be associated with contraction of SMCs^[31-33]. Again PDEi might be associated with relaxation of SMCs thus improving LUTS.

Rho-kinase/RhoA activation has been shown to mediate detumescence and maintain flaccidity. Rho kinase inhibits the regulatory subunit of myosin phosphatase within SMCs and maintains contractile tone under lowcytosolic calcium concentration. Upregulated Rho-kinase activity has been reported in ED, as a consequence Rhokinase inhibitors have been examined to treat ED^[34].

Despite the candidate mechanisms mentioned above, it is likely that there is an overlap between the roles of these mechanisms. The reduced NO hypothesis seems to be the best one.

The cornerstone of the process seems to be cyclic nucleotide monophosphate, cyclic adenosine monophosphate and cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP). Cyclic nucleotides are synthesized from the corresponding nucleoside triphosphates by the activity of adenylyl and guanylyl cyclases. Soluble guanylyl cyclase is a widely distributed signal transduction enzyme that, under activation by NO, converts GTP into the second messenger, cGMP, which exerts its effect by activating cyclic guanylyl kinase I (cGK I) and cGK II, cGMP-gated ion channels, and/or cGMP-regulated phosphodiesterases (PDE). The accumulation of intracellular cGMP triggers a cascade, leading to decreased intracellular calcium level and subsequent relaxation of SMCs^[35,36]. The amount of cGMP results from the balance between production (NO) and degradation due to PDE isoenzymes which can hydrolyze and inactivate cyclic nucleotides^[24]. Therefore, increased smooth muscle tension may play a central role in the pathophysiology of LUTS.

An *in vitro* study revealed that 4 wk of treatment with the NO synthase (NOS) blocker, N^{\circ}-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester hydrochloride (L-NAME), caused *in vitro* detrusor muscle supersensitivity to muscarinic agonists *via* increases in the levels of [H³]-inositol-phosphate^[23]. This finding was corroborated by in vivo experimental studies which showed that administration of L-NAME resulted in a significant increase in non-voiding contractions (NVC) in rats^[24].

Based on experimental studies which have shown that rat PDE expression is highest in the bladder, approximately 10-fold higher than in rat corpora cavernosa followed in decreasing prevalence by vas deferens, prostate, kidney, testis, and epididymis^[37], further experimental studies evaluated the action of PDEi on LUT. One of these studies demonstrated that administration of sildenafil in rats improved detrusor overactivity and bladder outlet obstruction (lack of urethral relaxation) caused by the NOS inhibitor, L-NAME, in a urodynamic study (UDS)^[24]. In another study, with similar methodology, it was also demonstrated that tadalafil decreased NVC and frequency of micturition (FM) in rats in a UDS^[38].

As a consequence of these experimental studies, there is good support for the use of PDEi in the treatment of BPO/LUTS.

CONTROVERSIES IN ADMINISTRATION OF PHOSPHODIESTERASE INHIBITORS TO TREAT BPO/LUTS

Impairment detrusor

It has been observed in several clinical trials that PDEi improved the IPSS without changing Qmax in uroflowmetry^[14,16,17,39]. If a PDEi caused relaxation of the bladder neck, urethra, and prostatic relaxation in human and animals^[24,37,40], it was expected to increase Qmax. Thus, these findings have raised the theoretical possibility that administration of PDEi cause impairment in detrusor function with unknown long-term effects^[41].

As a consequence, an experimental study was performed with the endpoint of determining whether tadalafil caused detrusor muscle impairment. In this study, it was reported that chronic depletion of NO caused an increase in NVC, volume threshold (VT) and FM in rats and treatment with tadalafil reduced VT and FM. However, tadalafil did not decrease threshold pressure or peak pressure (PP) in rats with chronic NO deficiency. Tadalafil which increased cGMP probably explains the reduction in VT (decrease in urethral resistance) and MC (relaxation of detrusor) observed in this study. As tadalafil did not decrease detrusor pressure (threshold pressure or PP) it is evident that PDE5i do not cause impairment in detrusor muscle^[38].

A clinical trial assessed the impact of tadalafil treatment (20 mg once daily) compared to placebo on detru-

WJCU www.wjgnet.com

sor pressure and maximum flow (pdetQmax) in men with BPO/LUTS with or without bladder outlet obstruction at baseline. In this study, tadalafil was not associated with a negative impact on detrusor function as the change of pdetQmax was not significant compared with the placebo arm^[42].

Acute effects of phosphodiesterase inhibitors on BPO/ LUTS

There are few reports of the acute effects of PDEi on BPO/LUTS. The vast majority of studies have evaluated chronic administration of PDEi.

In one clinical trial, 68 patients were randomized to the placebo (n = 32) or sildenafil arm (n = 36). All patients were evaluated at baseline with free uroflowmetry. Uroflowmetry was repeated two hours after administration of placebo or sildenafil. The authors concluded that sildenafil caused a significant improvement in Qmax 15.6 \pm 6.8 mL/s from baseline to end point 19.3 \pm 7.2 mL/s (P < 0.001), and compared with the placebo arm 15.8 mL/s (P < 0.0001). The increase in Qmax was attributed to urethral relaxation^[43].

An experimental study was performed to observe the effect of acute infusion of sildenafil in rats with detrusor overactivity. It was observed that sildenafil decreased the number of micturition cycles from baseline to end point $(-0.93 \pm 0.34, P = 0.031)^{[24]}$.

Combination of phosphodiesterase inhibitors with alpha-blockers

According to the American Urological Association guidelines, a1-adrenergic blockers are considered to be the most effective monotherapy for the treatment of LUTS secondary to BPH^[2]. PDE5 inhibitors are the first-line treatment for erectile ED. Due to the strong association between BPO/LUTS and ED, the coprescription of PDE5 inhibitors and a1-adrenergic blockers is likely to increase. Thus, one of the most frequently asked questions by physicians is whether to combine or replace alpha-blockers with PDEi in patients with BPO/LUTS and ED.

To address this issue a randomized, double-blind, placebo- and active-controlled (tamsulosin 0.4 mg), and parallel design trial was carried out to compare the effects of daily tadalafil 5 mg in patients with LUTS/BPO. The IPSS, BPH Impact Index, and IIEF-Erectile Function Domain (IIEF-EF) were assessed at baseline and end point (12 wk or end of therapy). The Patient and Clinician Global Impression of Improvement (PGI-I and CGI-I, respectively) instruments and the subject-rated Treatment Satisfaction Scale-BPH (TSS-BPH), evaluated from 0% (greater) to 100% (lower) satisfaction, were administered at end point. Uroflowmetry and postvoid residual were also assessed at screening, baseline, and end of visits. Tadalafil and tamsulosin caused an improvement in the IPSS from baseline to endpoint. However, for the IPSS QoL a significant improvement compared with placebo was only reported in the tadalafil arm, but not the tamsulosin arm. The TSS-BPH overall satisfaction score at endpoint was significantly lower (indicating higher satisfaction) in the tadalafil group compared with placebo, driven by greater satisfaction with efficacy. There was no significant difference between tamsulosin and placebo in TSS-BPH overall satisfaction or satisfaction with efficacy. Tadalafil resulted in an improvement in IIEF-EF, but tamsulosin did not change this index. Tadalafil and tamsulosin caused a significant increase in Qmax. For PVR, both active treatments caused reductions, but these were not statistically significant. The strong point of this study was the wash-out and placebo run-in periods. The principal limitation was that it was not powered to assess noninferiority or superiority between tadalafil and tamsulosin. The author concluded that tadalafil or tamsulosin resulted in significant improvements in IPSS and Qmax related to BPO/LUTS. However, only tadalafil had a significant impact on the IPSS QoL and erectile function^[44].

A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study was performed to compare the effect of tamsulosin 0.4 mg/daily and tadalafil 5 mg daily with tamsulosin 0.4 mg/placebo on the lower urinary tract in a UDS. All patients underwent a baseline UDS before randomization to tamsulosin 0.4 mg/tadalafil 5 mg or tamsulosin 0.4 mg/placebo once daily for 30 d. An end of study UDS was performed on completion of treatment. The UDS assessed pdetQmax, Qmax during voiding, bladder outlet obstruction index calculated as pdetQmax-2Qmax, and detrusor overactivity (assessed as incidence). The primary end points were a change in urodynamic variables in the voiding phase, pdetQmax and Qmax, from baseline to week four. The secondary endpoint of this study was improvement in the IPSS. A total of 40 men were randomized to receive tamsulosin 0.4 mg/tadalafil 5 mg (n= 20) or tamsulosin 0.4 mg/placebo (n = 20) once daily for four weeks. When the groups were compared, pdetQmax decreased significantly in the tamsulosin/tadalafil group compared with the tamsulosin/placebo group. In both groups, Qmax increased from baseline to endpoint, however, the difference in Qmax at endpoint was not significant between the groups. Significant improvements were observed in total IPSS, IPSS filling and voiding subscore in the tamsulosin/tadalafil group compared with the tamsulosin/placebo group. The limitations of this study were lack of placebo run-in period and the small number of participants. A strong point was that this was the first study to define the action of tadalafil in LUT using a computerized UDS. The principal conclusion of this study was that only the combination of tamsulosin/ tadalafil decreased after-load (pdetQmax) and had the potential to protect detrusor smooth muscle. In addition, the combination significantly improved the IPSS compared with tamsulosin/placebo^[45].

In another clinical trial similar to the above study, tadalafil 20 mg in combination with tamsulosin 0.4 mg was compared to tamsulosin 0.4 mg in patients with LUTS. Improvement in the IPSS was greater with the combination treatment. No difference was observed in



WJCU www.wjgnet.com

Table 3 Studies	s evaluating the combination	on of phosphodi	Table 3 Studies evaluating the combination of phosphodiesterase inhibitors and alpha-blockers in patients with lower urinary tract symptoms	r urinary tract symptoms	
Ref.	Design of study	Placebo run-in	Participant /Inclusion criteria	End point	Major conclusion
Oelke et al ^[44]	Randomized, multicentric, placebo controlled, and parallel- group	Yes	Men ≥ 45 yr of age with BPO/LUTS, IPSS ≥ 13 , and Qmax between 4-15 mL/s 512 men were randomized to placebo ($n = 172$), tadalafil 5 mg ($n = 171$) or tamsulosin 0.4 mg ($n = 168$); Men ≥ 45 ro 6 age with BPO/LUTS, IPSS ≥ 13 , and Omax between 4-15 mL/s	Compare effect of tadalafil 5 mg once daily with placebo on BPO/LUTS	Tadalafil 5 mg and tamsulosin 0.4 mg had similar improvement in BPO/LUTS and Qmax compared with placebo However, only tadalafil caused a significant improvement in OoL, treatment satisfaction, and erectile function
Regadas <i>et al</i> ^[45]	Randomized, double- blind, and placebo controlled	No	A total of 45 yr of age, BOOI \geq 20 and IPSS \geq 14 A total of 40 men were randomized to tadalafil 5 mg/ tamsulosin 0.4 mg ($n = 20$) or tamsulosin 0.4 mg / placebo ($n = 20$) Men \geq 45 yr of age, BOOI \geq 20 and IPSS \geq 14	Observe changes in urodynamic variables (Qmax and PdetQmax)	Combination of tamsulosin/ tadalafil decrease after-load (pdetQmax) and has potential to protect detrusor smooth muscle Additionally, the combination resulted in a significant
Bechara <i>et al</i> ^[46]	Randomized, double- blind, and crossover study	No	History of LUTS/BPO of at least six months Thirty men were randomized to tamsulosin 0.4 mg or tamsulosin 0.4 mg/tadalafil 20 mg daily History of LUTS/BPO of at least six months	Acess efficacy and safety of combination of tamsulosin with tadalafil compared with tamsulosin alone	Combination in the Second parter with fains uccessing praction Combination therapy had more significative impact in IPSS and ED compared with tamsulosin alone
Kaplan <i>et al</i> ^[39]	Randomized, double- blind study	No	Men aged 50-76 yr with untreated LUTS and ED Sixty two patients were randomized to receive alfuzosin 10 mg (n = 20), sildenafil 25 mg (n = 21), or a combination of both (n = 20) Men aced 50-76 vr with untreated 1.11TS and FD	Acess efficacy and safety of combination of alfuzosin with sildenafil	Only sildenafil or combination improve ED Improvement in IPSS was observed with three treatments
Gacci <i>et al^[47]</i>	Randomized, double- blind placebo-controlled trial	Yes	Men with persistent storage LUTS Sixty men were randomized to tamsulosin 0.4 mg or tamsulosin 0.4 mg/vardenafil 10 mg Men with persistent storage LUTS	Acess efficacy and safety of combination of tamsulosin with vardenafil	Combination therapy had more significative impact in IPSS and ED compared with tamsulosin alone
 BPO/LUTS: Benign dysfunction; BOOI: dysfunction; BOOI: Diversifient armore effective 1 In 2007, a p IPSS and IIEF the sildenafil arming and sexual (daily)^[39]. Tamsulosin ized to a 12-wk urine were reconducted to a 12-wk 	BPO/LUTS: Benign prostatic hyperplasia/lower urinary tract symptoms; Qmax: Peal dysfunction; BOOI: Bladder outlet obstruction index. Qmax or PVR between the groups. The IIEF improved in the comore effective than tamsulosin alone in improving LUTS and ED. In 2007, a pilot study was carried out to assess the efficacy of files and IIEF were significant with the three treatments, but were the sildenafil and combination groups. The authors concluded tha ing and sexual dysfunction in men. Weak points of this study wer daily) ^[39] Tamsulosin 0.4 mg/d was compared with the combination of the ized to a 12-wk treatment period. The IPSS, IPSS, Pother, IIEF-5 urine were recorded after run-in (baseline), and 2 and 12 wk after t vardenafil: 2.56, $P = 0.034$; Qave (placebo: -0.15, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 2.56, $P = 0.034$; Qave (placebo: -0.15, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 2.56, $P = 0.034$; Qave (placebo: -0.15, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 2.56, $P = 0.034$; Qave (placebo: -0.15, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 2.56, $P = 0.034$; Qave (placebo: -0.15, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 2.56, $P = 0.034$; Qave (placebo: -0.15, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 2.56, $P = 0.034$; Qave (placebo: -0.15, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 2.56, $P = 0.034$; Qave (placebo: -0.15, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 2.56, $P = 0.034$; Qave (placebo: -0.15, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 2.56, $P = 0.034$; Qave (placebo: -0.15, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 2.56, $P = 0.034$; Qave (placebo: -0.15, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 2.56, $P = 0.034$; Qave (placebo: -0.15, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 2.56, $P = 0.034$; Qave (placebo: -0.15, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 2.56, $P = 0.034$; Qave (placebo: -0.15, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 2.56, $P = 0.034$; Qave (placebo: -0.15, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 2.56, $P = 0.034$; Qave (placebo: -0.15, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 2.56, $P = 0.034$; Qave (placebo: -0.15, vardenafil: 1.02, vardenafil: 2.56, $P =$	he IIEF impr he IIEF impr n improving I but to assess th the three treatm in three treatm eak points of ed with the co e IPSS, IPSS-I ine), and 2 and cebo: -0.15, vz	BPO/LUTS: Benign prostatic hyperplasia/lower urinary tract symptoms. Qmax: Feak flow rate: Ool: Quality of life, IPSS: International Prostatic Symptoms Score: PdetQmax: Detrusor pressure at maximum flow, ED: Erectile dystanction; BOOI: Bladder outlet obstruction index. Qmax or PVR between the groups: The IIEF improved in the combination group, but not in the tamsulosin group. The authors concluded that tamsulosin with tadalafil was more effective than tamsulosin alone in improving LUTS and ED. Small sample size was the principal limitation of this study ⁴⁶¹ . In 2007, a pilot study was carried out to assess the efficacy of alfuzosin 10 mg, sildenafil 25 mg daily, and the combination of both on LUTS and ED. Improvements in the IPSS and IIEF were significant with the three treatments, but were greatest with the combination of alfuzosin and sildenafil. An improvement in the IIEF was only observed in the sildenafil and accurate structure than monotherapy in improving both voiding and sexual dysfunction in men. Weak points of this study were no placebo arm in the study design, small sample size, and the experimental dose of sildenafil (25 mg once daily) ¹⁹¹ . Tamsulosin 0.4 mg/ d plus vardenafil 10 mg/d. After a 2-wk trun-in period, tamsulosin patients were randomice to a 12-wk treatment period. The IPSS, IPSS-bother, IIEF-5 and Over Active Bladder questionnaire scores, unoflowmetry data (Qmax, Qave), and postvoiding residual urine were recorded after run-in (baseline), and 2 and 12 w after treatment. Significant differences from baseline to 12 wk were observed in the following: Qmax (placebo: 0.15, vardenafil: 256, $P = 0.034$); Qare (placebo: 0.15, vardenafil: 256, $P = 0.034$); Qare (placebo: 0.15, vardenafil: 256, $P = 0.034$); Qare (placebo: 0.15, vardenafil: 256, $P = 0.034$); Qare (placebo: 0.15, vardenafil: 256, $P = 0.034$); Qare (placebo: 0.15, vardenafil: 2031); of the condition of glacebo: -1.67, vardenafil: -3.11, $P = 0.033$); and IIEF (placebo: 0.06, var-	al Prostatic Symptoms Score, Pulosin group. The author nitation of this study ¹⁴⁶¹ . And the combination of zosin and sildenafil. An in fuzosin was more effectivismall sample size, and the 0 mg/d. After a 2-wk run ire scores, uroflowmetry baseline to 12 wk were of techo: -1.67, vardenafil: -1.61, vardenafil]	k flow rate; QoL: Quality of life; IPSS. International Prostatic Symptoms Score; PdetQmax: Detrusor pressure at maximum flow; ED: Erectile ambination group, but not in the tamsulosin group. The authors concluded that tamsulosin with tadalafil was Small sample size was the principal limitation of this study ¹⁴⁶¹ . alfuzosin 10 mg, sildenafil 25 mg daily, and the combination of both on LUTS and ED. Improvements in the e greatest with the combination of alfuzosin and sildenafil. An improvement in the IIEF was only observed in at the combination of sildenafil and alfuzosin was more effective than monotherapy in improving both void- e no placebo arm in the study design, small sample size, and the experimental dose of sildenafil (25 mg once tamsulosin 0.4 mg/d plus vardenafil 10 mg/d. After a 2-wk run-in period, tamsulosin patients were random- areatment. Significant differences from baseline to 12 wk were observed in the following: Qmax (placebo: 0.07, P = 0.031); filling-IPSS subscores (placebo: -1.67, vardenafil: -3.11, P = 0.039); and IIEF (placebo: 0.06, var-

Baishideng®

WJCU | www.wjgnet.com

255

November 24, 2014 | Volume 3 | Issue 3 |

denafil: 2.61, P = 0.030). The authors concluded that the combination of tamsulosin and vardenafil was more effective in improving both LUTS and erectile function, as com-

pared with tamsulosin alone^[47] (Table 3).

CONCLUSION

Treatment of BPO/LUTS with phosphodiesterase inhibitors is beneficial, based on experimental studies, strong evidence and a large number of randomized clinical trials confirming their efficiency.

REFERENCES

- Berry SJ, Coffey DS, Walsh PC, Ewing LL. The development of human benign prostatic hyperplasia with age. J Urol 1984; 132: 474-479 [PMID: 6206240]
- 2 AUA Practice Guidelines Committee. AUA guideline on management of benign prostatic hyperplasia (2003). Chapter 1: Diagnosis and treatment recommendations. J Urol 2003; 170: 530-547 [PMID: 12853821]
- 3 Fwu CW, Eggers PW, Kaplan SA, Kirkali Z, Lee JY, Kusek JW. Long-term effects of doxazosin, finasteride and combination therapy on quality of life in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia. J Urol 2013; 190: 187-193 [PMID: 23357210 DOI: 10.1016/j.juro.2013.01.061]
- 4 **Roehrborn CG**, Barkin J, Siami P, Tubaro A, Wilson TH, Morrill BB, Gagnier RP. Clinical outcomes after combined therapy with dutasteride plus tamsulosin or either monotherapy in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) by baseline characteristics: 4-year results from the randomized, double-blind Combination of Avodart and Tamsulosin (CombAT) trial. *BJU Int* 2011; **107**: 946-954 [PMID: 21332630 DOI: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2011.10124.x]
- 5 Filson CP, Hollingsworth JM, Clemens JQ, Wei JT. The efficacy and safety of combined therapy with α-blockers and anticholinergics for men with benign prostatic hyperplasia: a meta-analysis. *J Urol* 2013; **190**: 2153-2160 [PMID: 23727412 DOI: 10.1016/j.juro.2013.05.058]
- 6 Braun M, Wassmer G, Klotz T, Reifenrath B, Mathers M, Engelmann U. Epidemiology of erectile dysfunction: results of the 'Cologne Male Survey'. Int J Impot Res 2000; 12: 305-311 [PMID: 11416833 DOI: 10.1038/sj.ijir.3900622]
- 7 Moreira ED, Lbo CF, Diament A, Nicolosi A, Glasser DB. Incidence of erectile dysfunction in men 40 to 69 years old: results from a population-based cohort study in Brazil. Urology 2003; 61: 431-436 [PMID: 12597962 DOI: 10.1016/S0090-4 295(02)02158-1]
- 8 Vallancien G, Emberton M, Harving N, van Moorselaar RJ. Sexual dysfunction in 1,274 European men suffering from lower urinary tract symptoms. J Urol 2003; 169: 2257-2261 [PMID: 12771764 DOI: 10.1097/01.ju.0000067940.76090.73]
- 9 Terai A, Ichioka K, Matsui Y, Yoshimura K. Association of lower urinary tract symptoms with erectile dysfunction in Japanese men. *Urology* 2004; 64: 132-136 [PMID: 15245950 DOI: 10.1016/j.urology.2004.02.019]
- 10 Brookes ST, Link CL, Donovan JL, McKinlay JB. Relationship between lower urinary tract symptoms and erectile dysfunction: results from the Boston Area Community Health Survey. J Urol 2008; 179: 250-255; discussion 255 [PMID: 18001787 DOI: 10.1016/j.juro.2007.08.167]
- 11 Sairam K, Kulinskaya E, McNicholas TA, Boustead GB, Hanbury DC. Sildenafil influences lower urinary tract symptoms. *BJU Int* 2002; **90**: 836-839 [PMID: 12460342 DOI: 10.1046/j.1464-410X.2002.03040.x]
- 12 Ying J, Yao D, Jiang Y, Ren X, Xu M. [The positive effect of sildenafil on LUTS from BPH while treating ED]. *Zhonghua Nankexue* 2004; 10: 681-683 [PMID: 15497711]
- 13 Mulhall JP, Guhring P, Parker M, Hopps C. Assessment of the impact of sildenafil citrate on lower urinary tract symptoms in men with erectile dysfunction. J Sex Med 2006; 3: 662-667 [PMID: 16839322 DOI: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2006.0025 9.x]
- 14 McVary KT, Kaufman J, Young JM, Tseng LJ. Sildenafil

citrate improves erectile function: a randomised doubleblind trial with open-label extension. *Int J Clin Pract* 2007; **61**: 1843-1849 [PMID: 17887993 DOI: 10.1111/j.1742-1241.2007.01 585.x]

- 15 McVary KT, Roehrborn CG, Kaminetsky JC, Auerbach SM, Wachs B, Young JM, Esler A, Sides GD, Denes BS. Tadalafil relieves lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia. J Urol 2007; 177: 1401-1407 [PMID: 17382741 DOI: 10.1016/j.juro.2006.11.037]
- 16 Stief CG, Porst H, Neuser D, Beneke M, Ulbrich E. A randomised, placebo-controlled study to assess the efficacy of twice-daily vardenafil in the treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Eur Urol* 2008; 53: 1236-1244 [PMID: 18281145 DOI: 10.1016/ j.eururo.2008.01.075]
- 17 Roehrborn CG, McVary KT, Elion-Mboussa A, Viktrup L. Tadalafil administered once daily for lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia: a dose finding study. J Urol 2008; 180: 1228-1234 [PMID: 18722631 DOI: 10.1016/j.juro.2008.06.079]
- 18 Gacci M, Corona G, Salvi M, Vignozzi L, McVary KT, Kaplan SA, Roehrborn CG, Serni S, Mirone V, Carini M, Maggi M. A systematic review and meta-analysis on the use of phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors alone or in combination with α-blockers for lower urinary tract symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Eur Urol* 2012; **61**: 994-1003 [PMID: 22405510 DOI: 10.1016/j.eururo.2012.02.033]
- 19 Yan H, Zong H, Cui Y, Li N, Zhang Y. The efficacy of PDE5 inhibitors alone or in combination with alpha-blockers for the treatment of erectile dysfunction and lower urinary tract symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Sex Med 2014; 11: 1539-1545 [PMID: 24621088 DOI: 10.1111/jsm.12499]
- 20 Porst H, Roehrborn CG, Secrest RJ, Esler A, Viktrup L. Effects of tadalafil on lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia and on erectile dysfunction in sexually active men with both conditions: analyses of pooled data from four randomized, placebo-controlled tadalafil clinical studies. *J Sex Med* 2013; **10**: 2044-2052 [PMID: 23782459 DOI: 10.1111/jsm.12212]
- 21 Broderick GA, Brock GB, Roehrborn CG, Watts SD, Elion-Mboussa A, Viktrup L. Effects of tadalafil on lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia in men with or without erectile dysfunction. Urology 2010; 75: 1452-1458 [PMID: 20163842 DOI: 10.1016/ j.urology.2009.09.093]
- 22 Porst H, Kim ED, Casabé AR, Mirone V, Secrest RJ, Xu L, Sundin DP, Viktrup L. Efficacy and safety of tadalafil once daily in the treatment of men with lower urinary tract symptoms suggestive of benign prostatic hyperplasia: results of an international randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Eur Urol* 2011; 60: 1105-1113 [PMID: 21871706 DOI: 10.1016/j.eururo.2011.08.005]
- 23 Mónica FZ, Bricola AA, Báu FR, Freitas LL, Teixeira SA, Muscará MN, Abdalla FM, Porto CS, De Nucci G, Zanesco A, Antunes E. Long-term nitric oxide deficiency causes muscarinic supersensitivity and reduces beta(3)-adrenoceptormediated relaxation, causing rat detrusor overactivity. *Br J Pharmacol* 2008; **153**: 1659-1668 [PMID: 18297104 DOI: 10.1038/bjp.2008.39]
- 24 Reges R, D'Ancona C, Mônica F, Antunes E. Effect of acute administration of sildenafil to rats with detrusor overactivity induced by chronic deficiency of nitric oxide. *Int Braz J Urol* 2013; 39: 268-275 [PMID: 23683673]
- 25 Engström G, Walker-Engström ML, Lööf L, Leppert J. Prevalence of three lower urinary tract symptoms in men-a population-based study. *Fam Pract* 2003; **20**: 7-10 [PMID: 12509363 DOI: 10.1093/fampra/20.1.7]
- 26 **Gacci M**, Eardley I, Giuliano F, Hatzichristou D, Kaplan SA, Maggi M, McVary KT, Mirone V, Porst H, Roehrborn



CG. Critical analysis of the relationship between sexual dysfunctions and lower urinary tract symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia. *EUR UROL* 2011; **60**: 809-825 [DOI: 10.1016/j.eururo.2011.06.037]

- 27 Kolpakov V, Di Sciullo A, Nasuti M, Di Nardo P, Mironov A, Poggi A. Reduced smooth muscle cell regeneration in Yoshida (YOS) spontaneously hypercholesterolemic rats. *Atherosclerosis* 1994; **111**: 227-236 [PMID: 7718025 DOI: 10.101 6/0021-9150(94)90097-3]
- 28 Azadzoi KM, Tarcan T, Siroky MB, Krane RJ. Atherosclerosis-induced chronic ischemia causes bladder fibrosis and non-compliance in the rabbit. J Urol 1999; 161: 1626-1635 [PMID: 10210430 DOI: 10.1016/S0022-5347(05)68995-1]
- 29 Ioakeimidis N, Kostis JB. Pharmacologic therapy for erectile dysfunction and its interaction with the cardiovascular system. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol Ther 2014; 19: 53-64 [PMID: 24281316 DOI: 10.1177/1074248413504034]
- 30 Montorsi P, Ravagnani PM, Galli S, Salonia A, Briganti A, Werba JP, Montorsi F. Association between erectile dysfunction and coronary artery disease: Matching the right target with the right test in the right patient. *Eur Urol* 2006; **50**: 721-731 [PMID: 16901623 DOI: 10.1016/j.eururo.2006.07.015]
- 31 Andersson KE, de Groat WC, McVary KT, Lue TF, Maggi M, Roehrborn CG, Wyndaele JJ, Melby T, Viktrup L. Tadalafil for the treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia: pathophysiology and mechanism(s) of action. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2011; **30**: 292-301 [PMID: 21284024 DOI: 10.1002/nau.20999]
- 32 Giuliano F, Ückert S, Maggi M, Birder L, Kissel J, Viktrup L. The mechanism of action of phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors in the treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms related to benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Eur Urol* 2013; 63: 506-516 [PMID: 23018163 DOI: 10.1016/j.eururo.2012.09.006]
- 33 Minagawa T, Aizawa N, Igawa Y, Wyndaele JJ. Inhibitory effects of phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitor, tadalafil, on mechanosensitive bladder afferent nerve activities of the rat, and on acrolein-induced hyperactivity of these nerves. *BJU Int* 2012; **110**: E259-E266 [PMID: 22591258 DOI: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2012.11255.x]
- 34 Lasker GF, Maley JH, Kadowitz PJ. A Review of the Pathophysiology and Novel Treatments for Erectile Dysfunction. *Adv Pharmacol Sci* 2010; 2010: pii: 730861 [PMID: 21152267]
- 35 Hedlund P. Nitric oxide/cGMP-mediated effects in the outflow region of the lower urinary tract--is there a basis for pharmacological targeting of cGMP? *World J Urol* 2005; 23: 362-367 [PMID: 16283327 DOI: 10.1007/s00345-005-0019-1]
- 36 Kedia GT, Uckert S, Jonas U, Kuczyk MA, Burchardt M. The nitric oxide pathway in the human prostate: clinical implications in men with lower urinary tract symptoms. World J Urol 2008; 26: 603-609 [PMID: 18607596 DOI: 10.1007/s00345-008-0303-y]
- 37 Filippi S, Morelli A, Sandner P, Fibbi B, Mancina R, Marini M, Gacci M, Vignozzi L, Vannelli GB, Carini M, Forti G, Maggi M. Characterization and functional role of androgendependent PDE5 activity in the bladder. *Endocrinology* 2007; 148: 1019-1029 [PMID: 17138653 DOI: 10.1210/en.2006-1079]
- 38 Regadas RP, Reges R, Cerqueira JB, Sucupira DG, Jamacaru FV, Moraes MO, Gonzaga-Silva LF. Effects of chronic admin-

istration of tamsulosin and tadalafil, alone or in combination, in rats with bladder outlet obstruction induced by chronic nitric oxide deficiency. *Int Braz J Urol* 2014; **40**: 546-552 [PMID: 25251959 DOI: 10.1590/S1677-5538.IBJU.2014.04.15]

- 39 Kaplan SA, Gonzalez RR, Te AE. Combination of alfuzosin and sildenafil is superior to monotherapy in treating lower urinary tract symptoms and erectile dysfunction. *Eur Urol* 2007; 51: 1717-1723 [PMID: 17258855 DOI: 10.1016/j.eururo.2007.01.033]
- 40 Uckert S, Sormes M, Kedia G, Scheller F, Knapp WH, Jonas U, Stief CG. Effects of phosphodiesterase inhibitors on tension induced by norepinephrine and accumulation of cyclic nucleotides in isolated human prostatic tissue. *Urol*ogy 2008; 71: 526-530 [PMID: 18342202 DOI: 10.1016/j.urology.2007.10.051]
- 41 **Roehrborn CG**, Kaminetsky JC, Auerbach SM, Montelongo RM, Elion-Mboussa A, Viktrup L. Changes in peak urinary flow and voiding efficiency in men with signs and symptoms of benign prostatic hyperplasia during once daily tadalafil treatment. *BJU Int* 2010; **105**: 502-507 [PMID: 19732051 DOI: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2009.08822.x]
- 42 **Dmochowski R**, Roehrborn C, Klise S, Xu L, Kaminetsky J, Kraus S. Urodynamic effects of once daily tadalafil in men with lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to clinical benign prostatic hyperplasia: a randomized, placebo controlled 12-week clinical trial. *J Urol* 2010; **183**: 1092-1097 [PMID: 20092847 DOI: 10.1016/j.juro.2009.11.014]
- 43 Guven EO, Balbay MD, Mete K, Serefoglu EC. Uroflowmetric assessment of acute effects of sildenafil on the voiding of men with erectile dysfunction and symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2009; 41: 287-292 [PMID: 18649004 DOI: 10.1007/s11255-008-9423-y]
- 44 Oelke M, Giuliano F, Mirone V, Xu L, Cox D, Viktrup L. Monotherapy with tadalafil or tamsulosin similarly improved lower urinary tract symptoms suggestive of benign prostatic hyperplasia in an international, randomised, parallel, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Eur Urol* 2012; 61: 917-925 [PMID: 22297243 DOI: 10.1016/j.eururo.2012.01.013]
- 45 Regadas RP, Reges R, Cerqueira JB, Sucupira DG, Josino IR, Nogueira EA, Jamacaru FV, de Moraes MO, Silva LF. Urodynamic effects of the combination of tamsulosin and daily tadalafil in men with lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia: a randomized, placebocontrolled clinical trial. *Int Urol Nephrol* 2013; **45**: 39-43 [PMID: 23108604]
- 46 Bechara A, Romano S, Casabé A, Haime S, Dedola P, Hernández C, Rey H. Comparative efficacy assessment of tamsulosin vs. tamsulosin plus tadalafil in the treatment of LUTS/BPH. Pilot study. J Sex Med 2008; 5: 2170-2178 [PMID: 18638006 DOI: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2008.00940.x]
- 47 Gacci M, Vittori G, Tosi N, Siena G, Rossetti MA, Lapini A, Vignozzi L, Serni S, Maggi M, Carini M. A randomized, placebo-controlled study to assess safety and efficacy of vardenafil 10 mg and tamsulosin 0.4 mg vs. tamsulosin 0.4 mg alone in the treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms secondary to benign prostatic hyperplasia. *J Sex Med* 2012; 9: 1624-1633 [PMID: 22510238 DOI: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2012.02 718.x]

P- Reviewer: Sacco E, Valdevenito JP S- Editor: Ji FF L- Editor: Webster JR E- Editor: Liu SQ



WJCU | www.wjgnet.com



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

8226 Regency Drive, Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA Telephone: +1-925-223-8242 Fax: +1-925-223-8243 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: http://www.wjgnet.com/esps/helpdesk.aspx http://www.wjgnet.com

