

The Biogeochemistry and Trace Metals Distribution of Mangrove Rhizospheres

Author(s): L. D. Lacerda, C. E. V. Carvalho, K. F. Tanizaki, A. R. C. Ovalle and C. E. Rezende

Source: Biotropica, Sep., 1993, Vol. 25, No. 3 (Sep., 1993), pp. 252-257

Published by: Association for Tropical Biology and Conservation

Stable URL: https://www.jstor.org/stable/2388783

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at https://about.jstor.org/terms $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Conditions}}$



Association for Tropical Biology and Conservation is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Biotropica

The Biogeochemistry and Trace Metals Distribution of Mangrove Rhizospheres¹

L. D. Lacerda, C. E. V. Carvalho, K. F. Tanizaki, A. R. C. Ovalle and C. E. Rezende

Departamento de Geoquímica, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Niteroi, 24020-007, RJ Brazil

ABSTRACT

Soils and porewater of the rhizosphere of mangrove trees, *Rhizophora mangle L.* and *Avicennia schaueriana* Stapf & Leech., of the salt marsh grass *Spartina alterniflora* Loisel, and of unvegetated mud flats, were analyzed for pH, salinity, platinum electrode redox potential, organic matter content, sulfide concentrations, and the total and exchangeable concentrations of trace metals (Fe, Zn, Cu, Pb and Cd). The study was conducted in a fringe mangrove forest in Sepetiba Bay, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. The rhizospheres differed in their biogeochemistry. Mud flats and *Rhizophora* soils were very reducing, with highest concentrations of sulfide. *Avicennia* soils showed the highest variability of the variables measured, with the rhizosphere changing from oxic to anoxic conditions. *Spartina* soils, on the other hand, were generally oxic, with very low sulfide concentration.

The distribution of trace metals in these soils varied with the major physical and chemical characteristics. Mud flat soils presented the highest total trace metal concentrations followed by mangrove soils and *Spartina* soils. However, exchangeable trace metals were similar among the different soils with the exception of *Avicennia* soils, which due to their characteristic instability of redox conditions, presented much higher exchangeable trace metals concentrations.

RESUMO

Solos e águas intersticiais sob a influência da rizosfera de árvores de mangue (*Rhizophora mangle* L. e Avicennia schaueriana Stapf & Leech.), de gramínea de marisma Spartina alterniflora Loisel, e de áreas sem vegetação em planícies de lama, foram analizados em relação ao pH, potencial redox, salinidade, teor de materia orgânica e concentração de sulfetos, e das concentrações totais e trocáveis de metais traço (Fe, Zn, Cu, Pb e Cd), em uma floresta de mangue de franja na Baía de Sepetiba, Rio de Janeiro. Os resultados mostraram que a rizosfera das diferentes plantas mostraram diferenças significativas em sua biogeoquímica. Os solos de planície de maré e sob *Rhizophora* apresentaram-se mais redutores e com altas concentrações de sulfetos. Os solos sob Avicennia mostraram as maiores variações dos parâmetros medidos, alternado condições óxicas e anóxicas. Por outro lado, os solos sob Spartina apresentaram-se geralmente óxicos, com baixas concentrações de sulfetos.

As concentrações de metais traço também foram diferentes entre os diferentes solos, variando de acordo com as principais características fisico-químicas. Os solos de planície de maré apresentaram as maiores concentrações de metais totais, seguindo-se os solos de mangue e por último os solos solo *Spartina*. Entretanto, as concentrações trocáveis de metais traço foram semelhantes para todos os solos, menos aqueles sob *Avicennia*, que devido a sua típica instabilidade de potencial redox, apresentou a maiores concentrações de metais trocáveis.

Key words: Avicennia schaueriana; biogeochemistry; Brazil; mangrove; Rhizophora mangle; rhizosphere; roots; soil chemistry; Spartina altiniflora; tropics.

PLANTS GROWING IN WATERLOGGED SOILS FREQUENTLY EXCRETE AIR through roots creating oxidized rhizospheres within the anaerobic soil environment and therefore creating specific biogeochemical conditions in the soils under their influence; thus, in general, differ greatly from the conditions present in the surrounding soils. The typical trees of New World mangrove forests, the genera *Rhizophora* (Rhizophoraceae) and *Avicennia* (Avicenniaceae), are commonly found in waterlogged, anaerobic soils. They translocate air, through lenticels located on the aerial parts of *Rhizophora* (proproots) and pneumatophores of *Avicennia*, to underground roots (Scholander *et al.* 1955, Thibodeau & Nickerson 1986). The activity of mangrove roots creates rhizospheres with completely different physical-chemical conditions from the surrounding soil (Nickerson & Thibodeau 1985, Carlson *et al.* 1983).

Differences between *Rhizophora* and *Avicennia* rhizospheres however, have been consistently reported and are common knowledge in areas where rice farmers use mangrove soils for cultivation. *Rhi*-

¹ Received 13 November 1991, revision accepted 3 November 1992.

zophora soils tend to develop adverse conditions for rice growth after empoldering (Mongia & Gane-shamurthy 1989).

The Avicennia rhizosphere tends to be richer in organic matter and has a higher pH (Naidoo 1980); it can be highly oxidized to an extent that sulfide is virtually absent (Nickerson & Thibodeau 1985). Rhizophora rhizospheres, on the other hand, have little effect on the general conditions of mangrove soils, being highly sulphidic, and therefore generating high acidity after empoldering (Thibodeau & Nickerson 1986, Mongia & Ganeshamurthy 1989).

Recently, mangrove forests have been shown to play an important role in the biogeochemistry of trace metal contaminants in tropical coastal areas, either as sources or sinks for these contaminants (Harbinson 1984, 1986a, b; Lacerda & Rezende 1991; Silva *et al.* 1990). However, the behavior of trace metals in mangrove ecosystems is highly dependent on the physical-chemical conditions of mangrove soils and porewaters (Harbinson 1986a, Lacerda *et al.* 1988). Therefore, if different plant covers result in differences in soil conditions, this may also affect trace metal behavior in mangrove ecosystems.

In the present study we investigated the biogeochemical conditions and the trace metal distribution in soils and pore waters in the rhizosphere of mangrove plants in Sepetiba Bay, SE Brazil. This is an industrialized area highly contaminated by trace metals. In Sepetiba Bay, mangrove forests, which cover over 35 percent of the Bay's coast, play an important role in trace metal cycling (Lacerda *et al.* 1988). Detailed descriptions of the Bay's environmental situation and of the ecology of the local mangrove forests are published elsewhere (Lacerda *et al.* 1987, Silva *et al.* 1990, Ovalle *et al.* 1990).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples were collected from a mixed (*Rhizophora* mangle L. and Avicennia schaueriana Stapf & Leech.), fringe mangrove forest along the NE coast of Sepetiba Bay. Hereafter these samples are called *Rhizophora* and Avicennia soils. Inside the forest we chose areas where only one species occurred homogeneously. These areas should also present the same microtopography, distance to sea water and tidal creeks. And in these areas the trees, at least visually, were healthy adults. We also collected samples hereafter called mud flats soils, in nearby unvegetated mud flats and inside Spartina alterniflora Loisel. (Gramineae) banks, hereafter called Spartina soils, which occur adjacent to the mangrove fringe in this area.

In each chosen area, sediments were carefully collected by inserting plastic tubes into the soil close to major root systems to a depth of 15 cm. The tubes with the soil sample were closed with plastic films, stored in ice, and transported to the laboratory. In the holes left by the tubes, we inserted porewater collectors immediately after taking out the soil samplers, which were made of polyethylene tubes with ceramic bottoms. Vacuum was created immediately after inserting the tubes by using a hand pump. With this procedure it took from 20 to 40 min to collect 100 ml of pore water and kept disturbance of soil conditions to a minimum. Porewater subsamples were collected sequentially with 20 ml plastic syringes. For sulfide analysis, 5 ml of zinc acetate were present in the syringe. The other variables (salinity, pH, and Eh, the platinum electrode redox potential) were determined directly in the field with portable equipment. All other laboratory analysis of soils and porewater were performed no later than 24 hr after collection.

In the laboratory, pore water sulfide concentrations were analyzed colorimetrically. Bulk soil subsamples were used for gravimetric determination of organic matter, after combustion at 450°C for 24 hr. The remaining soil samples were sieved to separate the fraction $<63 \ \mu m$ for trace metal analysis, therefore avoiding errors due to presence of large root pieces and sand grains. Extraction procedures were designed to fractionate trace metals according to their binding strength to soil particles. Four grams of dried soil were first leached with a weak acidic solution (0.1 N HCl, 40 ml), after shaking at room temperature for 4 hr. The mixture was filtered and the metals present in the acid extract were considered as the exchangeable fraction. Subsamples (2 g of dry soil) were submitted to a concentrated acid extraction (conc. HNO_3 + conc. HF) for the extraction of total trace metals present, including the fraction strongly bound to sediment particles (metal sulfides, oxides, and organic matter complexes). For a complete discussion of such methods and occurrences of trace metals in soils and sediments, see Fiszman et al. (1984). All trace metal analyses were performed through conventional flame atomic absorption spectrophotometry.

Sediment and porewater sample number were different for the different parameters and plant cover. Therefore number of samples and/or determinations are shown separately in Tables 1, 2 and 3. One-way analyses of variance and Student *t*-tests were used to compare the results when appropriate.

TABLE 1.	Major physical-chemical variables of porewaters, and soil organic matter content (OM) in a fringe mangrove
	forest in Sepetiba Bay, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Same superscript letter means no significant difference between
	means of a given variable, ANOVA $P < 0.01$. SD = one standard deviation; $N =$ number of samples or
	determinations under different plant cover.

Plant cover		HS- (mg/l)	Eh (mV)	pН	Salinity (ppt)	OM (%)
Avicennia N = 13	mean	0.33ª	-63ª	6.8ª	32.8ª	24.7ª
	SD	0.49	92	0.6	6.5	11.8
Rhizophora	mean	15.6⁵	-173 ^b	6.8ª	31.2ª	12.5 [⊾]
N = 17	SD	4.6	125	0.2	4.9	4.3
Spartina	mean	0.5 8 ª	+5ª	6.9ª	25.2 ^b	5.5°
N = 16	SD	0.09	102	0.2	0.8	7.6
Mud flat $N = 13$	mean	47.1°	-204 [⊾]	7.1ª	26.4 [⊾]	20.5ª
	SD	16.3	95	0.3	2.7	7.9

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Major characteristics of porewater chemistry and soil organic matter content are presented in Table 1. The different soils showed differences in all variables except pH. Unvegetated mud flats and Avicennia soils were similar in organic matter content (20.5 and 24.7%, respectively; P > 0.01) and significantly richer than Rhizophora (12.5%) and Spartina soils (5.5%) (P < 0.01, df = 24 and P < 0.01). Sulfide concentrations were similar and very low in Avicennia (0.33 mg/liter) and Spartina (0.58 mg/ liter) soils. This is probably a reflection of the capacity of these plant species to oxidize their rhizospheres contrary to Rhizophora and mud flat soils which presented sulfide concentrations up to two orders of magnitude higher than the former ones (15.6 and 47.1 mg/liter, respectively). This oxidizing capacity is clearly seen in the redox potential of porewaters under the different vegetation. Soils under S. alterniflora, which is a grass well-known for its high capacity of soil aeration (Otte et al. 1987), presented a positive redox potential (+5.0 mV) notwithstanding the general reducing conditions of the surrounding environment. The least reducing conditions were found in Avicennia forest soils (-63 mV). However, this average presented a very high standard deviation, and during various collections the redox potential of Avicennia soils was very high and oxic. Also, due the large standard deviation found, Avicennia and Spartina soils were not significantly different (Table 1). Rhizophora forest soils (-173 mV) and unvegetated mud flat soils (-204 mV) presented significantly lower (P < 0.01) redox potential than the two former soils and were not significantly different between themselves (P <0.01). Sulfide concentrations seem to reflect the overall mean Eh values of each soil.

Differences in pH were very small and not significant, although vegetated soils were slightly more acidic than mud flats soils. Also, mangrove soils, both under *Avicennia* and *Rhizophora*, were significantly more saline than unvegetated mud flats and *Spartina* soils, probably as a reflection of water consumption and evapotranspiration by mangrove trees and of longer residence times of water inside the mangroves. This allowed stronger evaporation and higher salt concentration in the soils (Ovalle *et al.* 1990).

Naidoo (1980), studying South African mangroves, also found that Avicennia soils contained higher organic matter, higher cation exchange capacity, and exchangeable bases when compared to Bruguiera (Rhizophoraceae) soils. Nickerson and Thibodeau (1985) found similar sulfide distribution in Bahamas mangroves, with unvegetated and Rhizophora soils showing much higher sulfide content than Avicennia soils. These authors showed that Avicennia is able to oxidize its rhizosphere in a manner similar to S. alterniflora, resulting in comparatively high redox potentials (Thibodeau & Nickerson 1986), while Rhizophora soils were not different from the surrounding nonvegetated soil.

Interesting to note is the very high variability of redox conditions and sulfide concentrations in *Avicennia* soils when compared to the other soils. Standard deviations of Eh and HS⁻¹ means were over 145 percent, suggesting constant shifts from oxic to anoxic conditions. These changing conditions will keep unstable various chemical constituents, particularly trace metals, of the porewater and soils.

Table 2 presents total trace metal concentrations in the different soils studied. The results showed the highest concentrations of all metals occurred in unvegetated mud flat soils and the lowest occurred in

TABLE 2.	Total trace metal concentrations ($\mu g/g$ dry weight) and iron (% dry weight) in mangrove soils compared to
	salt marsh and mud flats soils in Sepetiba Bay, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. SD = one standard deviation;
	N = number of samples of each soil type. Same superscript letter means no significant difference between means
	of a given metal.

Plant cover		Fe	Zn	Pb	Cu	Cd
Avicennia	mean	3.3ª	311ª	17.8ª	12.4ª	2.8ª
N = 9	SD	0.3	180	4.7	5.3	1.4
Rhizophora	mean	3.6°	191ª	21.5ª	12.5ª	3.1ª
N = 9	SD	0.3	140	2.4	1.2	1.1
Spartina	mean	1.5 ^b	155⁵	8.0 ^b	6.3 ^b	1.3 ^b
N = 6	SD	0.3	55	1.6	1.2	0.4
Mud flats $N = 6$	mean	4.5°	823°	39.4°	23.9°	6.9°
	SD	0.4	24	1.7	1.4	0.4

Spartina soils. Soils under both mangrove species showed similar, intermediate concentrations of trace metals. However, they are significantly different from the two other soils.

Trace metals enter these mangrove forests in oxidized forms, in particular iron and manganese oxi-hydroxides, associated with suspended particles during high tide. When reaching the reducing environment inside mangrove forests, they are desorbed from particles and precipitated as sulfides (Lacerda & Rezende 1991, Lacerda et al. 1988). Therefore, the more reducing conditions the more efficient is the precipitation and accumulation of trace metals in mangrove soils, at least for those metals that form stable sulfides in reducing environments like the ones studied here (Harbinson 1986a, b; Lacerda et al. 1988). This fact explained the higher concentration of trace metals in mud flat and mangrove soils when compared to Spartina soils. In the latter, aeration capacity of the grass would dissociate recently-formed metal sulfides, liberating trace metals to the water column (Lacerda

& Rezende 1991). The low organic matter content of Spartina soils could render difficult the readsorption of the released metals (Lacerda & Abrão 1984). In fact, metals present in the rhizosphere of this grass are more likely to be fixed in the ironplaque typical of its roots (Otte et al. 1987). Comparing the two mangrove rhizospheres, however, no significant difference is found in the total metal concentrations between Rhizosphora and Avicennia soils, notwithstanding the large differences in both sulfide and redox potentials between the two rhizospheres. These differences, however, are not large enough to affect strongly bound metals. From the results presented in Table 1 a possible explanation for these apparently paradoxical results is the organic matter content of Avicennia soils: this is twice the values found for Rhizophora soils (24.7 vs 12.5%). This organic matter could act as an adsorbing surface for the trace metals eventually released from sulfides dissociated by the oxidizing activity of Avicennia roots. Also, metal-rich iron plaques may form preferentially in Avicennia roots rather than in Rhizopho-

of each soil type. Same superscript letter means no significant difference between means of a given metal.							
Plant cover		Fe	Zn	Pb	Cu	Cd	
$ Avicennia \\ N = 4 $	mean	172ª	6.6ª	0.5ª	0.1ª	0.1ª	
	SD	47	1.7	0.1	0.01	0.01	
Rhizophora	mean	35 ^ь	1.1 ^ь	0.1 ^b	0.01 ^ь	0.01 ^b	
N = 3	SD	6.7	0.7	0.01	0.01	0.01	
Spartina	mean	44 ^ь	1.9 ^ь	0.1 ^b	0.06 ^ь	0.01 ^b	
N = 5	SD	19	0.9	0.1	0.01	0.01	
Mud flats $N = 4$	mean	43 ^b	2.9 [⊾]	0.1 ^b	0.01 ^b	0.01 ^b	
	SD	4.7	0.7	0.01	0.01	0.01	

TABLE 3. Exchangeable trace metal concentrations ($\mu g/g$ dry weight) in mangrove soils compared to salt marsh and mud flats soils in Sepetiba Bay, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. SD = one standard deviation; N = number of samples of each soil type. Same superscript letter means no significant difference between means of a given metal. ra roots (Tanizaki, pers. comm.). These iron plaques are well known for their capacity in adsorbing and accumulating trace metals under conditions of waterlogging (Taylor & Crowder 1983, Otte et al. 1987, St-Cyr & Crowder 1990). Preliminary research developed in the area showed that over 60 percent of the trace metal content of Avicennia roots is bound to iron plaque (Tanizaki, pers. comm.). However, this hypothesis is weakened by the low trace metal content of Spartina soils which are known to present intense formation of iron plaques (Otte et al. 1987). Finally, the redox conditions of Avicennia soils were the most variable among all soils studied, suggesting a permanent shift from oxic to anoxic conditions. Since trace metals will constantly move from oxidizable to reduced substrates, this will result in a more dynamic state of trace metal species in Avicennia rhizosphere.

Table 3 shows exchangeable trace metal concentrations in the studied soils. Although concentrations in this fraction are very low, particularly for Cu, Pb, and Cd, and therefore difficult to compare statistically, exchangeable trace metal concentrations were significantly higher in *Avicennia* soils than in all other soils which presented similar concentrations of exchangeable trace metals.

The changing redox conditions found for Avicennia soils, the higher content of organic matter, and the different stability of iron plaques under such conditions would affect the stability of the trace metal complexes precipitated in Avicennia soils. This results in higher trace metal concentrations under exchangeable form. But since these concentrations are much lower than the strongly bound metal concentrations, this phenomenon can not be detected by analyzing for total trace metal concentrations. Previous studies on trace metal concentrations in mangrove plants (Lacerda et al. 1986) showed that Avicennia systematically presents higher concentrations of trace metals in its leaves than *Rhizophora*. This has been accounted for by the salt-filtrating mechanism present in *Rhizophora* roots and by its absence in *Avicennia* (Scholander *et al.* 1955). The present results, however, suggest that trace metals are much more available for plant uptake in *Avicennia* soils and this may also explain the differences in trace metal contents of mangrove leaves previously reported.

The more stable conditions, either oxic in *Spartina* soils, or anoxic in *Rhizophora* and mud flat soils, will result in higher stability of the trace metal complexes precipitated at the rhizospheres, and therefore will decrease exchangeable trace metal concentrations.

In conclusion, we confirm previous reports on the significant differences in porewater chemistry of mangrove soils under different vegetation cover as well as between soils under mangrove and under other vegetation types and unvegetated mud flats. However, although these differences result in different trace metal accumulations between mangrove and salt marsh and unvegetated tidal soils, they are not large enough to create differences between total trace metal concentrations in *Avicennia* and *Rbizophora* soils. However, they were large enough to affect exchangeable trace metal concentrations and therefore their availability to plant uptake.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq-Brazil) and Fundação de Amparo a Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FA-PERJ) for financial support. We also like to especially thank Dr. N. H. Nickerson for greatly improving an earlier version of this manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

CARLSON, P. R., L. A. YARBRO, C. F. ZIMMERMAN, AND J. R. MONTGOMERY. 1983. Pore water chemistry of an overwash mangrove island. Florida Scientist 46: 239–249.

FISZMAN, M., W. C. PFEIFFER, AND L. D. LACERDA. 1984. Comparison of methods used for extraction and geochemical distribution of heavy metals in bottom sediments from Sepetiba Bay, Rio de Janeiro. Environm. Technol. Lett. 5: 567–575.

HARBINSON, P. 1984. Regional variation in the distribution of trace metals in modern intertidal sediments of Northern Spencer Gulf, South Australia. Mar. Geol. 61: 221-247.

-. 1986a. Mangrove mud-a sink and a source for trace metals. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 17: 246–250.

— 1986b. Diurnal variations in the chemical environment of a shallow tidal inlet, Gulf St. Vincent, South Australia: implications for water quality and trace metal migration. Mar. Environm. Res. 20: 161–195.

LACERDA, L. D., AND J. J. ABRÃO. 1984. Heavy metal accumulation by mangrove and saltmarsh intertidal sediments. Revta. Brasil. Bot. 7: 49–52.

—, C. E. REZENDE, D. M. V. JOSÉ, AND M. C. F. FRANCISCO. 1986. Metallic compositions of mangrove leaves from the Southeastern Brazilian coast. Rev. Brasil. Biol. 46: 385–389. W. C. PFEIFFER, AND M. FISZMAN. 1987. Heavy metals distribution availability and fate in the Sepetiba Bay, SE-Brazil. Sci. Tot. Environm. 65: 163-173.

- L.A. MARTINELLI, C. A. REZENDE, A. A. MOZETTO, A. R. C. OVALLE, R. L. VICTORIA, C. A. R. SILVA, AND F. B. NOGUEIRA. 1988. The fate of trace metals in suspended matter in a mangrove creek during a tidal cycle. Sci. Tot. Environm. 75: 249-259.
- , AND C. E. REZENDE. 1991. Heavy metal biogeochemistry in mangrove ecosystems. In T. C. Hutchinson, C. A. Gordon, and K. M. Meema (Eds.). Global perspectives on lead, mercury and cadmium cycling in the environment, pp. 289–297. Willey Eastern, New Delhi. 302 pp. MONGIA, A. D., AND A. N. GANESHAMURTHY. 1989. Typical differences between the chemical characteristics of
- Rhizophora and Avicennia mangrove forest soils in South Andamans. Agrochimica 33: 1-7.
- NAIDOO, G. 1980. Mangrove soils of the beach wood area, Durban. J. S. Afr. Bot. 46: 293-304.
- NICKERSON, N. H., AND F. R. THIBODEAU. 1985. Association between porewater sulfide concentrations and the distribution of mangroves. Biogeochemistry 1: 183-192.
- OTTE, M. L., E. P. BUIJS, L. REIMER, J. ROZENA, AND R. A. BROEKMAN. 1987. The iron plaque of the roots of saltmarsh plants: a barrier to heavy metal uptake? In S. E. Lindberg and T. C. Hutchindon (Eds.). Proc. 6th Intern. Conf. Heavy Metals in the Environment, New Orleans, Louisiana, pp. 407-409.
- OVALLE, A. R. C., L. D. LACERDA, AND C. E. REZENDE. 1990. Hydrochemistry of a mangrove tidal creek in Sepetiba Bay, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Estuar. Coast Shelf Sci. 30: 641-645.
- SCHOLANDER, P. F., L. VAN DAM, AND S. I. SCHOLANDER. 1955. Gas exchange in the roots of mangroves. Amer. J. Bot. 42: 92-98.
- SILVA, C. A. R., L. D. LACERDA, AND C. E. REZENDE. 1990. Heavy metal reservoirs in a red mangrove forest. Biotropica 22: 339-345.
- ST-CYR, L., AND A. A. CROWDER. 1990. Manganese and copper in the root plaque of Phragmites australis (Cav.) Trin ex Stendel. Soil Sci. 149: 191–198. TAYLOR, G. J., AND A. A. CROWDER. 1983. Use of the DCB technique for extraction of hydrous iron oxides from
- roots of wetland plants. Amer. J. Bot. 70: 1254-1257.
- THIBODEAU, F. R., AND N. H. NICKERSON. 1986. Differential oxidation of mangrove substrate by Avicennia germinans and Rhizophora mangle. Amer. J. Bot. 73: 512-516.