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Abstract—Efficient speech enhancement techniques are essential to improve quality and intelligibility of speech signals and reliability in voice recognition applications. One way to reduce word error rate is through powerful noise reduction algorithms. This paper is intended to provide speech enhancement experiments based on deep neural networks (DNN) in far field scenarios under noisy environments. In the present work, it is investigated the use of DNNs as a front-end for automatic speech recognition (ASR). Objective metrics are used to investigate its effectiveness and results are compared against several popular speech enhancement algorithms. It is shown that DNN provides better results under all noisy and SNR conditions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Far-field automatic speech recognition (ASR) remains a challenging research field, once it is difficult to obtain the same accuracy degree of close-talking conditions. It is hoped that an efficient ASR is capable to interact with humans even in situations that have hard identification or intelligibility, like command control in factories or situations that a TV behave as interference signal.

More powerful methods are necessary to further reduce the word error rate and improve the robustness of speech recognition systems across various adverse conditions. In this context, signal processing techniques are effective allies to improve results from an ASR system. Some techniques has been employed to provide speech enhancement under noisy and reverberant environments, such as spectral subtraction [1], Wiener filter [2] and sensor array [3].

The non-linear spectral subtraction presented in [1] is a modification of the standard spectral subtraction algorithm method proposed in [4] by making the over-subtraction factor frequency dependent and the subtraction process nonlinear. In [2], it was proposed an approach for the speech enhancement method based on prior signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Sensor array is another strategy to extract speech from corrupted signals. In this technique, signals from a given desired direction are reinforced, while signals from all other directions are attenuated through a microphone-array beamforming [3].

Other research direction that has been largely used for speech enhancement is artificial neural networks (ANN) [5] [6] [7]. It consists a hierarchical representation of data whereas each layer can be seen as a high-level abstraction of previous one. Deep neural network (DNN) is a term derived from ANN and represents a conventional multilayer perceptron (MLP) with many (often more than two) hidden layers, whose weights are fully connected and often initialized either an unsupervised or a supervised pre-training technique [8].

In [5], it was presented a framework of speech enhancement based on deep neural networks in which the log-power spectral features were used to train a DNN. The DNN-based speech enhancement system was improved in [6], in which three techniques were added to improve robustness in mismatched cases, sharp the formant peaks of the recovered speech, suppress the residual noise and improve clean speech prediction.

In this paper, experiments to estimate clean speech from noisy signals through a spectral non-linear regression using supervised and unsupervised learning are presented. Three different types of noises (fan, living room and tv news) and three distances (1m, 2m and 3m) are used for training and tests.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, a brief system overview and the basic algorithm for training are described. The following section show in details the set of parameters and strategies used in the experiments are shown in details. The experimental results are presented in Section IV. Finally, our findings are summarized in Section V.

II. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

The system, illustrated in Fig. 1, is composed by the following processes: feature extraction, DNN training, DNN decoding and waveform reconstruction.

The first stage consists to extract features of training utterances. Each speech signal is divided into a set of short-time overlapped frames. The Hanning windowing function is employed to reduce spectral leakage effect. Short-time discrete Fourier transform (STFT) and log-power spectra are computed to obtain speech features used as input to DNN.

The process is finished in the waveform reconstruction block, in which inverse Fourier transform is performed and frames in time-domain are rearranged through an overlap-add method. Thus, estimations of clean signals in time domain are obtained. In next subsections, it is presented each one of these blocks in detail.
Figure 1. Block diagram of the DNN-based speech enhancement [5].

A. Feature Extraction

Feature extraction process is necessary to get a representation with low dimension and less redundant information of speech signals. Each utterance is downsampled to 8 kHz and divided into a series of overlapped frames. The frame length is chosen short enough so that noise is considered quasi-stationary and large to guarantee that spectral features remain measurable. Discrete Fourier transform (DFT) is applied to each frame and log-power magnitude spectrum is used as input to neural network. The original phase information is used in reconstruction stage. At low SNRs, clean and noisy phases are quite different [6]. Many efforts have been devoted to investigate a way to deal with this task of precisely estimating clean phase information from an utterance corrupted by noise [9].

B. Deep Neural Network

1) Pre-training with restricted Boltzmann machine: Pre-training is employed to provide a reduction of mean square error (MSE) and avoid local minima. Some techniques, like restricted Boltzmann machines (RBM) and denoising autoencoder, have been successfully used. RBM is defined as an unsupervised algorithm that uses a dataset to make initial adjustments to weights and biases instead of initial random values in traditional neural networks. Due to size of layers, contrastive divergence algorithm [10] is used to estimate a given data in visible and hidden layers through an specified number of iterations. One-step contrastive divergence approximation for the gradient with regard to the visible-hidden weights is [11]:

\[ \nabla_{W_{ij}} J_{\text{NLL}}(W, a, b; v) = -[(v_i h_j)_{\text{data}} - \langle v_i h_j \rangle_{\infty}], \]

\approx -[(v_i h_j)_{\text{data}} - \langle v_i h_j \rangle_1],

where \( W \) is the weight matrix, \( a \) is the visible layer bias vector, and \( b \) is the hidden layer bias vector, \( \langle \cdot \rangle_{\infty} \) and \( \langle \cdot \rangle_1 \) denote the exception computed with samples generated by running the Gibbs sampler infinite and one step, respectively, \( v_i \) denote the \( i \)th visible neuron and \( h_j \) is the \( j \)th hidden neuron.

According to [12], before any training, most hidden probabilities should be around 0.5 with some as low as 0.4 or as high as 0.6. Otherwise, all hidden neurons have already determined what features they are looking for before seeing any of the data. It is usually helpful to initialize the weights and biases to small random values chosen from a zero-mean Gaussian with a standard deviation of about 0.01. Using larger random values can speed the initial learning, but it may lead to a slightly worse final model [13]. A set of tips like how to set the values of numerical meta-parameters such as the learning rate, the momentum, the weight-cost, the initial values of the weights, the number of hidden units and the size of each minibatch can also be found in [13].

2) Fine-Tuning: The conventional multilayer perceptron (MLP) based on MSE criterion is used to perform this stage. The minibatch training is used to estimate the gradient with multiple epochs to improve learning convergence. Minibatch training allows to parallelize the computations within the minibatch and thus can converge faster than stochastic gradient descent (SGD) [11]. The MSE is defined as:

\[ J_{\text{MSE}}(W, b; o^m, y^m) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} (v^L - y^m)^T(v^L - y^m), \]

where \( o^m \) is the \( m \)th observation vector, \( v^L \) is the output vector, \( y^m \) is the corresponding desired output vector and \( L \) is the number of layers.

The sigmoid function is used as activation function for hidden units, while a linear layer is used to obtain the output vector.

C. Waveform Reconstruction

Finally, in the last stage, three processes are used to reconstruct signals: exponential operation, inverse discrete Fourier transform (IDFT) and overlap-add. Exponential operation converts back to linear scale, IDFT converts frequency-domain frames back to time domain representation and overlap-add is a procedure to synthesize the set of overlapped frames in time domain.

III. Experiments

The CMU Communicator corpus [14] was partially used to conduct the experiments. Three additive noise conditions were examined: fan, living room and tv news. Clean audio files were corrupted with these noise types. Table I shows the SNR
levels for each noise type and distances to the source. Multi-condition training set contains about 19 hours of simulated speech data. Validation and test set consist of approximately 4 and 2 hours, respectively. The frame length was set to 32 ms with a frame shift of 50%. The segment overlap from 50% to 85.5% can significantly improve the quality of noisy speech [15]. The DFT size was 256. An expansion of 11 frames was used as input to DNN. A total of 3 hidden layers were used with 2048 neurons each one. The weight matrices were initialized with random values and standard deviation of 0.01. Learning rate of pre-training was set as 0.0005. The mini-batch size was 128. The initial and final momentum was 0.5 and 0.9, respectively. Four different learning rates of training was empirically found and their respectively values are 0.0512, 0.0256, 0.0128 and 0.0004.

To compare algorithm performance, we plot results for two other popular speech enhancement methods, namely spectral subtraction [1] and Wiener filter [2].

Three metrics are used to performance evaluation. The first one is short-time objective intelligibility (STOI) score [16]. STOI algorithm compares temporal envelopes of clean and degraded speech in short time segments by means of a correlation coefficient. The output is a scalar value which have a monotonic relation with the average intelligibility and range from 0 to 1. The second measure is log-spectral distance (LSD) [17], an Euclidean distance between log spectra to evaluate the fundamental distortion criteria. The perceptual evaluation of speech quality (PESQ) [18] is the third objective measure and the most complex to compute. The algorithm estimates the objective mean opinion score between original and degraded speech signal. This metric has a high correlation with subjective score.

### IV. Results and Discussion

The Fig. 2(a) presents a comparative of log-spectral distance for fan noise between the three algorithms. DNN has the best results reaching an average value of 0.77. It also has the best result under living room noise, as we can see in Fig. 2(b). The performance difference was more significant in this scenario for spectral subtraction and Wiener filter because this noise consists of impulsive sounds. The distortion degree caused by tv news noise is, as we expect, higher than other noises. The disparity between algorithms is more expressive when we compare the enhanced versions of corrupted utterances with tv noise, as we can see in Fig. 2(c).

In Fig. 3(a), it is shown intelligibility level measured by STOI under fan noise. Results confirm DNN efficiency. However, when compared to the other algorithms, it is possible to observe that the discrepancy is lower than previous analyzed cases. Although distortion degree is high, this factor do not contribute significantly to difference between intelligibility levels. A similar result can be observed in Fig. 3(b). In Fig. 3(c), the difference between average STOI is more evident. TV News noise disturbs more significantly intelligibility of spectral subtraction and Wiener filter algorithms results, while DNN keeps almost the same result of fan and living room noise.

PESQ results in fan noise scenario are shown in Fig. 4(a). Although the average difference between the algorithms is small, the DNN has also the best performance. This small difference is resulted by high SNR used for fan noise. This disparity is higher for PESQ result in the other scenarios, shown in Fig. 4(b) and Fig. 4(c).

Fig. 5 shows auditory spectrograms comparison between a clean test utterance, its version corrupted by TV news noise and their corresponding enhanced versions processed by spectral subtraction, Wiener filter and DNN algorithms. The speech utterance is separated into frequency bands and the frequency channel intensity is represented over time.

From a set of experiments, we conclude that the main challenge of spectral subtraction and Wiener filter was extract the signal of interest from the corrupted utterance with tv news noise, whereas it is more difficult to distinguish the signal of interest in this scenario. The Figs. 5(d) and 5(c) show the enhanced versions of spectral subtraction and Wiener filter. When we compare these results with the clean version illustrated in Fig. 5(a), we observe some residual noise. This interference may directly disturb results from automatic speech recognition systems. It is possible to infer through spectrogram analysis a significant noise reduction in three algorithms. However, the DNN algorithm was the only to efficiently suppress the tv news noise without causing a significant distortion, as we can observe in Fig. 2. The suppression of tv news noise by DNN algorithm can be visualized in Fig 5(e).
V. Conclusions

In this paper, we presented a set of experiments using deep neural networks as a front-end speech enhancement in far field scenarios with three types of noises. The method was objectively evaluated using the STOI, LSD and PESQ metrics. The analysis of performance evaluation demonstrated that the DNN algorithm provide consistent improvements across the three types of noises and distances used in these experiments.

In the future, we will investigate phase estimation and beamforming algorithms combined with deep neural networks to improve noise reduction and dereverberation tasks.

Fig. 3. STOI for scenarios with (a) fan, (b) living room and (c) tv news noise.

Fig. 4. PESQ for scenarios with (a) fan, (b) living room and (c) tv news noise.

Fig. 5. Signals in time domain (left) and auditory spectrograms (right) of (a) a clean test utterance, (b) its corrupted version with tv news noise in 3m distance, (c) its spectral subtraction enhanced version, (d) its Wiener filter enhanced version and (e) its DNN enhanced version.
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